Appendix Exhibit 35

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

	1 (Pages 1 to 4
1	3
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA	1 INDEX
ABBY B. CONLEY, Plaintiff v. : Civil Action No. 05-76E COUNTY OF ERIE, ERIE COUNTY: OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND YOUTH; a/k/a ERIE COUNTY CHILD WELFARE SERVICE, RICHARD: SCHENKER, individually and: in his capacity as County: Executive of Erie County, Pennsylvania, PETER CALLAN, individually and in his : capacity as Erie County Director of Personnel, DEBRA: LIEBEL, individually and in : her capacity as Executive: Director, Erie County Office: of Children and Youth, a/k/a: Erie County Child Welfare: Service, and JOHN A. ONORATO,: ESQUIRE, individually and in: his capacity as Erie County: Solicitor, Defendants: Deposition of JOHN ONORATO, taken before and by Carol A. Holdnack, Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, on Monday, April 3, 2006, commencing at 9:43 a.m., at the offices of Timothy D. McNair, Esquire, 821 State Street, Erie, Pennsylvania 16501. Reported by Carol A. Holdnack, RPR Ferguson & Holdnack Reporting, Inc.	TESTIMONY OF JOHN ONORATO Direct Examination by Mr. McNair
	25
2	4
1 For the Plaintiff: 2 Timothy D. McNair, Esquire 821 State Street 3 Erie, PA 16501 4 Anthony Angelone, Esquire Vendetti & Vendetti 5 3820 Liberty Street Erie, PA 16509 6 For the County of Erie, Erie County Office of Children and 7 Youth, a/k/a Erie County Child Welfare Service: Neal R. Devlin, Esquire 8 Knox McLaughlin Gornall & Sennett, PC 120 West 10th Street 9 Erie, PA 16501 10 For the Defendants Richard Schenker, Peter Callan, and Debra Liebel: 11 Edmund R. Joyal, Jr., Esquire Law Office of Joseph S. Weimer 12 975 Two Chatham Center Pittsburgh, PA 15219 13 14 For the Defendant John A. Onorato, Esquire: Mark R. Lane, Esquire 15 Dell Moser Lane & Loughney, LLC 525 William Penn Place 16 Suite 3700 Pittsburgh, PA 15219 17 18 Also Present: Wallace J. Knox, Esquire 19 Solicitor, County of Erie	been duly sworn, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. McNAIR: Q. Good morning, Mr. Onorato. My name is Tim McNair. I'm an attorney. I represent Abby Conley in a case that she's filed against the County of Erie arising out of the termination of her employment with Erie County's Office of Children and Youth. You've been named as a Defendant in this case for a couple different reasons. Number one is your participation in the decision to terminate her employment. And number two are statements which Ms. Conley feels were libelous that you made concerning her in the newspaper article. So I'm going to be asking you a number of questions today about both of those issues and some other issues having to do with the case. I just ask that before we start, you would agree with me that if at any time my question is not clear, if you don't understand it, just that you would stop me at that
20 21 22 23 24 25	 don't understand it, just that you would stop me at that point and tell me to ask me to repeat, rephrase or clarify the question. I'll be glad to do that for you. Is that agreeable with you?

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

			2 (Pages 5 to 8)
	5		7
1	A. It is.	1	A. The summer after graduating.
2	Q. And you understand that if you don't do that, that	2	Q. Okay.
3	the answer you give is the answer that you intended to give,	3	A. August of 1990.
4	and that you understood the question.	4	Q. You passed on the first attempt?
5	A. Okay. I understand.	5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Okay. Would you state your full name and address,	6	Q. Okay. What did you do after that?
7	please.	7	A. In what way?
8	A. John Anthony Onorato. 711 West Tenth Street,	8	Q. After you graduated from law school, did you seek
9	Erie, Pennsylvania 16502. And that's my home address.	9	employment, or did you go to more school, or did you take a
10	Q. How old are you, Mr. Onorato?	10	vacation?
11	A. I'm 40.	11	A. I was employed both prior to taking the bar exam
12	Q. 40 years old. And I would like to ask you a	12	and after taking the bar exam.
13	little about your educational background. Where did you go	13	Q. And where were you employed?
14	to high school?	14	A. With the law firm of Griffith Strickler Lerman
15	A. Mercyhurst Preparatory School.	15	Solymos & Calkins.
16	Q. When did you graduate?	16	Q. Where are they?
17	A. 1983.	17	A. York, Pennsylvania.
18	Q. What did you do after that?	18	Q. What type of work did you do there?
19	A. I attended Gannon University.	19	A. I was an associate, primarily engaged in insurance
20	Q. Okay. And did you graduate from Gannon?	20	defense work.
21	A. Yes.	21	Q. When did you start working there? 1990?
22	Q. When was that?	22	A. In what capacity?
23	A. 1987.	23 24	Q. In any capacity.
24	Q. And what degree did you receive from Gannon?A. Bachelor of arts.	25	A. I began there as a law clerk between my second and third year of law school.
23	A. Dadiciol of arts.	23	unic year or law scrioor.
	6		8
1	Q. Did you have a major?	1	Q. And you continued your employment; became an
2	A. Yes.	2	associate once you passed the bar?
3	Q. What was that?	3	A. That's correct.
4	A. Political science.	4	Q. Okay. And how long were you employed at the
5	Q. Okay. What did you do after you graduated from	5	Griffith firm?
6	Gannon?	6	A. From my time as an from my time as a
7	A. I attended Dickinson the Dickinson School of	7	Q. From the time you passed the bar.
8	Law.	8	A. Say 1990 to maybe December of 1992.
9	Q. Okay. Did you graduate from there?	9	Q. Where did you go in December of 1992?
10	A. Yes.	10	A. Returned to Erie.
11	Q. And when was that?	11	Q. And were you employed in Erie?
12	A. 1990.	12	A. I began my education at Gannon University for an
13	Q. Did you receive any honors or awards while you	13	MBA.
14	were at Dickinson?	14	Q. Did you complete your MBA?
15	A. Yes.	15	A. Yes. Q. When was that?
16	Q. What were those?	16 17	When was that? A. Let's see. It took 18 months. I began in January
17	A. I was selected to Who's Who of American Law Students. I was also an editor for the Dickinson Journal of	18	of 2003. So May of 2005. Is that 18 months?
18	International Law.	19	Q. Sounds like
20	Q. Okay. And what did you do after you graduated?	20	A. It was 18 months.
21	A. One moment. I also received an award, the ABA	21	Q. It would have been May of '04.
22	Award for State and Local Government Law.	22	A. '04, yes. That's correct.
23	Q. And did you take the Pennsylvania bar exam?	23	Q. And were you employed during that period of time?
24	A. Yes.	24	A. Yes.
25	Q. When did you do that?	25	Q. Where?
25	Z. WHICH did you do tridt:		ą. m.o.o.

Conley v. County of Erie, et al.

John Onorato

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

1 A. In several capacities. 2 Q. Okay. What were they? 3 A. Graduate assistant at Gannon University. 4 Q. Okay. 9 1 Campaign Committee. 2 Q. Okay. And were you involved in 3 campaign? 4 A. Campaign of State Senator Jane I	11
2 Q. Okay. What were they? 2 Q. Okay. And were you involved in 3 A. Graduate assistant at Gannon University. 3 campaign?	
2 Q. Okay. What were they? 2 Q. Okay. And were you involved in 3 A. Graduate assistant at Gannon University. 3 campaign?	
3 A. Graduate assistant at Gannon University. 3 campaign?	anv particular
	, para.ca.a.
	Earll. Prior to
5 A. And self-employed in the practice of law. 5 that, I was involved in her district attorned	
6 Q. Did you have an office or did you work out of an 6 that was not that was as a volunteer.	,
7 office? 7 Q. But you had a paid position with	the Earll
8 A. Primarily out of the place where I was residing. 8 Jane's	
9 Q. Okay. Worked out of home? 9 A. Second campaign.	
10 A. Yes. 10 Q. Second campaign or senate camp	paign?
11 Q. What type of work were you involved in as a 11 A. Her senate campaign, yes, that's	
12 self-employed attorney? 12 Q. Now, that was her initial one, the	
13 A. Preparing discovery requests and various contract 13 A. No, she ran for district attorney b	efore she ran
14 work with other attorneys. 14 for senate.	•
15 Q. You were subcontracting with other attorneys? 15 Q. Right. Okay. But it was her first	campaign for
16 A. Yes. 16 senate.	
17 Q. And who were some of those attorneys that you were 17 A. Yes.	
18 working for? 18 Q. All right. When was that?	
19 A. Various firms. Ron Susmarski, primarily. But 19 A. When was what?	
20 other attorneys. 20 Q. The election.	
21 Q. You don't recall them? 21 A. What election?	
22 A. It's been a while. 22 Q. Where Jane Earll won the senate	
Q. Now, when you said you did your MBA, you said that 23 A. That was 2004. No, 1996. I'm so	-
24 was January of '03. Was that actually January of '93? 24 Q. Okay. All right. And then after the	
25 A. It would have been '93, excuse me. January '93 to 25 did you find other employment or did you	continue
10	12
1 1 A. Yes. In 1996 upon her election in 19	96,
2 Q. May of '94. 2 Senator Earll extended to me an offer to becom	e her chief of
3 A. Yes, that's correct. 3 staff.	
4 Q. I lost it back there. 4 Q. And where were you based in that job?	
5 A. So did I. 5 A. Erie, with direct oversight of her office i	, i
6 Q. Okay. 6 Pittsburgh as well or, excuse me, Harrisburg	
7 A. It would be I don't know where it went. 7 Q. Okay. You were chief of staff for both	the Erie
8 Q. So then in during that period of time, up to 8 office and the Harrisburg office.	
9 May '94, you were in school and practicing law? 9 A. That's correct.	
10 A. Yes. I was engaged in 12 or more graduate credits 10 Q. How long did you continue in that positions in the continue in t	ion?
11 and also had responsibilities as a graduate assistant. 11 A. I would say till March of 2000.	
12 Q. What did you do there? 13 A. The Deblacement was that? 14 A. The Deblacement Cabacter of Business	
13 A. The Dahlkemper School of Business. 13 A. I accepted a position with PNC Bank. 14 O. And then once you get your MPA degree in May of	
14 Q. And then once you got your MBA degree in May of 14 Q. Doing what? 15 '94, what did you do? 15 A. Municipal bond underwriting.	
16 A. I initiated a job search. 16 Q. And how long did you work for PNC?	
17 Q. Okay. Did you find employment? 17 A. Approximately two years.	
18 A. No. Eventually, yes, but not initially. 18 Q. During the time you were working for the	ne State
19 Q. Okay. How did you support yourself during that 19 Republican Senatorial Campaign Committee or a	i
20 period of time? 20 chief of staff or in the PNC position, did any of y	1
21 A. Contract work. 21 duties involve the practice of law?	
22 Q. When did you find employment after May of '94? 22 A. I remained an active member of the bar	.
23 A. I began I continued self-employed, doing the 23 Q. Okay. But in the course of you perform	1
24 contract work. And then became employed by the State 24 duties in those positions.	
25 Republican Campaign Committee. State Republican Senatorial 25 A. I would say yes, in the for the Senato	r, I

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

_			4 (Pages 13 to 16)
	13	Consumerate a state of	15
1	would be involved in drafting of legislation and amendments	1	A. Yes.
2	to bills, resolutions.	2	Q. Where was that?
3	Q. Okay.	3	A. The County of Erie.
4	A. I consider that to be the practice of law.	4	Q. When did you begin there?
5	Q. All right.	5	A. January of '02.
6	A. With PNC Bank, I would be involved in the drafting	6	Q. What was your position?
7	of municipal bond documents.	7	A. County Solicitor.
8	Q. And where was that where were you located in	8	Q. And who was your supervisor?
9	that position with PNC?	9	A. I would not characterize a supervisor. I am an
10	A. 901 State Street.	10	appointed the County Solicitor is an appointed position,
11	Q. When you left PNC, what was your next employment?	11	appointed by the County Executive. I was appointed by Rick
12	A. Gannon University.	12	Schenker in January of '02 to be his County Solicitor.
13	Q. What did you do there?	13	Q. Did you participate in Mr. Schenker's campaign?
14	A. I had been an adjunct professor at Gannon	14	A. Yes.
15	University. And a position opened up for me as a full-time	15	Q. What was your role?
16	instructor. Excuse me, associate professor is the term.	16	A. I facilitated statistical work, assisted in the
17	Q. What did you teach?	17	drafting of policy or position papers, and advised the
18	A. Business law.	18	candidate.
19	Q. That's all?	19	Q. Did you have a position on his committee?
20	A. I taught a various number of courses, including	20	A. Define position.
21	human resource management, which is something I taught since	21	Q. A position that would be reported on a campaign
22	1998 at the University. Some areas of employment law.	22	report.
23	Q. How long were you at Gannon?	23	A. I don't believe so.
24	A. I began as an adjunct professor in 1998. I took	24	Q. As a member of the committee. Okay.
25	the position in August of 2001 as a full-time instructor.	25	A. If you're asking was I the chair or treasurer, the
printer spragoverson	14		16
1	Q. And when did you leave PNC?	1	answer is no.
2	A. I stayed on at PNC as a part-time attorney till	2	Q. Who was the chair of that campaign?
3	or part-time employee, until 2002.	3	A. I don't recall.
4	Q. All right. Do you continue to be an associate	4	Q. Do you recall who the treasurer was?
5	professor at Gannon?	5	A. No, I don't recall.
6	A. Yes.	6	Q. And aside from the Earll campaign and the Schenker
7	Q. How many credit hours do you teach?	7	campaign, have you participated in any other political
8	A. 12 per semester.	8	campaigns?
9	Q. Do you have any other or did you have any other	9	A. Yes.
10	employment after you left PNC in '02?	10	Q. What other campaigns?
11	A. Aside from Gannon?	11	A. George Bush, Rick Santorum, Phil English, Michael
12	Q. Right.	12	Joyce. I'm going to ask in what capacity, because we all
13	A. Occasional private clients.	13	participate in the sense that we vote, or some of us do
14	Q. And do you still maintain a practice of law?	14	perhaps.
15	A. Yes.	15	Q. I would say something more than that. Where you
16	Q. And do you maintain a separate office for the	16	were recognized as part of the campaign.
17	practice of law?	17	A. Recognized by whom?
18	A. I am currently the vice president and general	18	Q. By the candidate.
19	counsel of the Manufacturers Association of Northwest	19	A. By the candidate. Well, then, we'll cross off
20	Pennsylvania.	20	George Bush from that list.
21	Q. When did you take that position?	21	Q. Or his staff. Or his appointed staff or paid
22	A. January of this year.	22	staff, as somebody that was involved in the campaign.
23	Q. Okay. And between '02 and January of '06, did you	23	A. Michael Dunlavey. You might want to add to that
24	have employment other than as an associate professor at	24	list. I would say it would be fair to say that I
25	Gannon and as a private practitioner?	25	participated in the election efforts of many Republicans.

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

17 19 1 Q. Okay. Pretty much every year you would be 1 A. Well, then. involved in one campaign or another? I do it too. 2 Q. How often were you contacted by employees of the 3 A. That would be fair to say. Office of Children and Youth in the course of your duties, 4 Q. All right. or how often did you have contact with employees of the 5 A. I've not seen you at any of our meetings. 5 Office of Children and Youth in the course of your duties? Q. I don't tend to participate in Republican 6 A. Could you repeat the question. 7 campaigns for some reason. What were your duties as the Q. How frequently did you have contact with employees 8 City Solicitor -- or the County Solicitor? Excuse me. 8 of the Erie County Office of Children and Youth in the 9 A. They are set forth in the County Charter. 9 course of your duties as County Solicitor for Erie County? 10 Q. Okay. Could you just summarize those for me. 10 A. As they require. If they sought the input of the 11 A. The County Solicitor is the chief legal officer of County Solicitor's Office, I would be contacted. If I 11 12 the County of Erie. 12 believed that there was something of which they should be 13 Q. Okay. 13 aware, I would contact them. 14 14 A. All the duties attended thereto. Representing the Q. Okay. And the question is, how frequently did 15 County in various legal matters. 15 that happen? 16 Q. All right. And did you represent the County in 16 A. Again, it's as a doctor would prescribe a medication PRN. It would be as if -- think of it this way; 17 court cases? 17 18 how often do you take aspirin? You take it when you have a A. On occasion. 19 Q. How many times did you do that? 19 need. 20 20 Q. Right. A. I have no idea. 21 Q. Where you formally entered an appearance on behalf 21 A. How often would --22 22 Q. The question is, how often did the need arise? of the County with the court. 23 23 A. I've done that, yes. Was it weekly, monthly, annually? Was it twice in the time that you worked there? Was it every day? 24 24 Q. Okay. You don't recall how many times? 25 25 A. Over the course of four years, all that I could A. It was not every day. 18 20 give you would be a guess, and I don't want to guess. 1 Q. Okay. 1 2 2 Q. Okay. You can't estimate it? A. And it was more than twice. Somewhere in there. 3 A. No. 3 Q. Okay. That's really not very responsive to my 4 Q. More than ten or less than ten? question. I don't think the question is that difficult. So 5 A. I would say perhaps more than ten. Again, what --5 I'm going --6 how do you define a court case? Is it a --6 I'm not trying ---7 7 Q. Litigation filed in a court. Q. -- to ask it again and give you another chance to 8 8 answer it. A. Well, then, Court of Common Pleas, the 9 9 A. I'm not trying to be difficult. Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission, Workers' Q. I'm sure. How often would you have contact with 10 10 Compensation, Unemployment Compensation. Q. All right. Did you represent the County in those 11 employees of the Office of Children and Youth in the course 11 12 administrative tribunes that you just mentioned? 12 of performing your duties as Erie County Solicitor? 13 A. Yes. 13 A. Sometimes it would be daily. Sometimes there would be -- it would be less than once a month. Again, it 14 Q. And on how many occasions did you do that? 14 15 A. Numerous. Scores. 15 depended upon the circumstances at the time. Q. What is your involvement on a day-to-day basis 16 Q. In 2004, how frequently were you contacted -- or 16 17 with the Office of Children and Youth? How often did you 17 how frequently were you involved with the Office of Children have contact with the Office of Children and Youth in the 18 and Youth? 18 19 course of your duties as County Solicitor? 19 A. I don't recall. I don't have my -- I don't 20 20 A. Define Office of Children and Youth. recall. Q. The Office of Children and Youth. 21 21 Q. Was your contact more frequent after the death of 22 A. How do you have contact with an office? Tell me 22 Brittany Legler came to light? 23 A. I would not say so. 23 the individual, and I'll tell you the contact. Q. I don't know all the individuals who worked there 24 Q. So they didn't seek your advice or involvement in 24 handling any of the difficulties that arose out of that who would have contacted you.

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

21 23 event? with the exception of one, the one involving your client, 1 2 A. What would happen -- what happened is during which was withdrawn when we provided the information that 3 meetings with Deb Liebel, the subject of the child death 3 showed that she had released confidential information. review would be discussed. And the fact that the District 4 Q. And how many were those involving OCY in that four 5 5 Attorney had asked not to conduct that until the criminal years? 6 matter was disposed of. 6 A. All of them. 7 Q. Okay. So you were consulted on that -- holding 7 Q. How many is all? 8 off on the death review committee? 8 A. Well, I don't recall the number because, again --9 A. Yes. Q. Was it more than ten? 10 10 OCY was the only civil service entity under the Q. Aside from those issues that arose in connection 11 with Brittany Legler's death, how often would you meet with 11 County. 12 12 Deb Liebel? Q. Okay. 13 13 A. During what time? A. So every civil service case, including the one 14 Q. During the time you were County Solicitor. which I believe you had a client -- do you remember the 14 15 A. Initially, Deb Liebel -- I did not meet with her. 15 16 She was not the director. She had been appointed director 16 Q. Um-hum. Okay. And I'm just asking you about how 17 after Mr. Petulla left. 17 many there were? 18 18 Q. Okay. And so you didn't meet with her before she A. Maybe -- maybe 10 or 12. I don't recall, truly. 19 19 was appointed director. I'm not trying to --20 20 10 or 12 civil service commission cases. A. I may meet with -- I may have met with her on occasion with Mr. Petulla or as part of administrative staff 21 A. Yes. 22 22 meetings that were called by the then -- the then director Q. Okay. 23 of administration, Susan Breon. 23 A. Again, I'm not trying to be anything but 24 Q. On how many occasions were you contacted by the 24 responsive. 25 25 Office of Children and Youth regarding employment law Q. Okay. 22 24 A. So those would be employee matters which I would 1 issues? 2 A. Frequently. If there were an issue regarding 2 be -- in which I would be involved. 3 3 Q. Okay. So over four years you handled 10 to 12 employment law, they would contact me. 4 Q. And who would contact you from OCY? cases for OCY in the -- or before the Pennsylvania Civil 5 5 Service Commission; is that a fair statement? A. Either the director or Michael Cauley. Let me go back. When I say frequently, I meant frequently when they A. Yes. 7 would have -- if they would have an issue, I would be their 7 Q. Were you involved in any employment litigation in 8 point of contact, not that they had many issues. There were 8 the Courts; either the Court of Common Pleas or the District 9 departments that had more issues. Court, involving Office of Children and Youth employees in that four-year period? By "involved," I mean did you enter 10 10 Q. All right. How many issues do you recall being involved in during the four years you were County Solicitor? 11 an appearance? 11 12 12 A. No. A. What type of issues? 13 Q. Employment issues with the Office of Children and 13 Q. So you never went to court for the County in an 14 Youth. 14 employment case involving the Office of Children and Youth. A. That's correct. We have a fine insurance company. 15 15 A. I'm going to say that there's attorney/client 16 privilege on that. I will answer questions regarding your 16 Q. On how many occasions did you enter an appearance 17 client. I'm not sure it's -- I should be speaking of 17 on behalf of the County before the Pennsylvania Human 18 Relations Commission or the Federal Equal Employment matters involving other clients. 18 19 Q. I'm not asking for any specifics. I'm not asking Opportunity Commission in matters involving employees of the

20

21

22

23

24

25

was.

Office of Children and Youth?

Q. Who handled those on behalf of the County?

A. There's an assumption in your question that there

Q. I know that there were. Dave Dowes (phonetic) had

A. Zero.

you to identify anything. I'm not asking you for any

A. Every civil service case which I handled. I

handled all the civil service cases for the County of Erie

statements made by your client. I'm asking you to tell me

the number of times you were involved in an employment of

law issue with the Erie County Office of Children and Youth.

20

21

22

23

24

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

			7 (Pages 25 to 26)
	25	and the same of th	27
1	one, didn't he?	1	Q. Okay. And in general terms, how was that
2	A. An appearance was never entered. Your question	2	investigation conducted?
3	was how many times was an appearance entered. The answer is	3	MR. LANE: Objection to form.
4	zero.	4	A. Would you define for me "general terms".
5	Q. So there were no PHRC cases or EEOC cases filed	5	Q. What was the procedure that was followed in
6	against the County in that four year	6	conducting that investigation?
7	MR. JOYAL: Involving OCY.	7	MR. LANE: Which investigation; Abby Conley's or
8	MR. McNAIR: Involving OCY.	8	the other?
9	A. That's a different question.	9	Q. My question excluded this case, so it would be the
10	Q. Okay. Well, then, get an answer to that one.	10	other one. We're following the same line.
11	A. One.	11	A. A concern was raised. The matter was looked into
12	Q. One. And who was involved in that?	12	and resolved.
13	A. The County of Erie and David Dowes.	13	Q. Okay.
14	Q. And how many unemployment compensation cases	14	A. In general terms, that's how the investigation was
15	involving the Office of Children and Youth were you involved	15	conducted.
16	in?	16	Q. And how was the concern brought to your attention
17	MR. LANE: Objection to form.	17	in that case?
18	Q. In the four years you were County Solicitor.	18	A. I believe it was the director who raised the
19	MR. LANE: Same objection.	19	matter to me.
20	MR. McNAIR: What's the matter with the form?	20	Q. Okay. And who conducted the investigation?
21	MR. LANE: "Involved in" is a little broad.	21	A. I asked the director what he was doing to look
22	Q. Did you participate in as an attorney.	22	into it. He provided me an answer. And I was satisfied.
23	A. I don't recall any for that office.	23	The director at the time was John Petulla.
24	Q. How many court cases involving employment law were	24	Q. Was any action taken as a result of the findings
25	filed against the County involving the Office of Children	25	of that investigation?
	26		28
1	and Youth during the four years that you were County	1	A. I don't believe so.
2	Solicitor?	2	Q. Do you know if Mr. Petulla interviewed any
3	A. When you say Court cases, do you include	3	witnesses or people with knowledge of the facts of the
4	Q. I mean Common Pleas or Federal District Court.	4	allegations involved in that investigation?
5	 I believe your client was the only one. 	5	A. I was satisfied that Mr. Petulla had done his due
6	Q. And aside from the case that we're here about,	6	diligence and that the department had done.
7	during those four years, how many times did you participate	7	Q. And did you independently investigate any of the
8	or supervise an investigation of allegations of wrongdoing	8	allegations?
9	against an employee of the Office of Children and Youth?	9	A. No.
10	MR. LANE: Object to the form of the question.	10	Q. Did you speak to any of the witnesses or the
11	MR. McNAIR: What's wrong with the form of the	11	employee involved?
12	question?	12	A. No.
13	MR. LANE: "Allegation of wrongdoing" is overly	13	Q. Did you speak to the supervisor of the employee
14	broad and ambiguous. Could you read the question	14	involved or anyone other than the director?
15	back again.	15	A. No.
16	(Record read by reporter.)	16	Q. Do you know my client, Abby Conley?
17	MR. LANE: "Wrongdoing" also requires a legal	17	A. The first time I met her was the day of her
	conclusion to, in the event that you're	18	resignation.
18	MR. McNAIR: I said allegations of wrongdoing.	19	Q. Were you aware of who she was, who she is?
19	MD LANC. Chill requires a family and applying	20	
19 20	MR. LANE: Still requires a legal conclusion.	20	A. Yes.
19 20 21	Also "investigation" is overly broad.	21	Q. How did you become aware of who she is?
19 20 21 22	Also "investigation" is overly broad. MR. McNAIR: Okay. Would you please answer the	21 22	Q. How did you become aware of who she is? A. I remember her as the individual who from
19 20 21 22 23	Also "investigation" is overly broad. MR. McNAIR: Okay. Would you please answer the question.	21 22 23	Q. How did you become aware of who she is? A. I remember her as the individual who from various I was aware of her as her membership or,
19 20 21 22	Also "investigation" is overly broad. MR. McNAIR: Okay. Would you please answer the	21 22	Q. How did you become aware of who she is? A. I remember her as the individual who from

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

Page 9 of 71

r			8 (Pages 29 to 32)
	29	A COMMANDE AND A COMMAND A COMMAND AND A COMMAND AND A COMMAND AND A COMMAND AND A COMMAND A COMMAND AND A COMMAND AND A COMMAND AND A COMMAND AND A COMMAND A COMMAND AND	31
1	blocks for what was the motto for her election. I also	1	Q. Okay. Did he advise you of any other motivation
2	know that she was the individual who collected in excess	2	other than his concern over Ms. Conley's testimony in that
3	of or coordinated the collection of in excess of well	3	hearing of July 28th?
4	over 10,000 signatures, I believe, to not have the library	4	A. His concerns were Abby's testimony and the
5	placed on the Bayfront. And I believe I also knew that I	5	credibility of the department.
6	was aware of the name.	6	Q. Okay. What was his concern regarding Ms. Conley's
7	Q. Okay. In 2003 or 2004, to your knowledge, was	7	testimony?
8	Ms. Conley involved in any petition drives or running for	8	A. That she had provided Attorney Villella a draft
9	any public office?	9	copy of a document.
10	A. No, not that I'm aware.	10	Q. Okay.
11	Q. And prior to September 10, 2004, are you aware	11	A. Prior to her testimony.
12	that Ms. Conley made any effort to be involved in any debate	12	Q. Do you know whether or not that allegation is
13	over issues affecting the general public?	13	true?
14	A. Not that I recall.	14	 I read the transcript and a draft copy of the
15	Q. During that period of time, are you aware of any	15	document which was provided to Attorney Villella. I do not
16	efforts that Ms. Conley made to seek publicity for herself	16	know who provided it.
17	for any purpose?	17	Q. You couldn't determine that by reading the
18	A. Not that I'm aware.	18	transcript?
19	Q. Did you know what political party Ms. Conley is	19	A. The transcript speaks for itself.
20	affiliated with?	20	Q. I know. And you couldn't determine whether or not
21	A. Could you rephrase your question. When, I guess	21	Mr. Villella possessed that document prior to the hearing by
22	is the I knew that she was a Democrat when she was a	22	reading the transcript?
23	member of the School District the School Board. I was	23	A. If you show me the transcript, we could work
24	not aware of her political party affiliation, you know,	24	through the testimony provided and come to the conclusion
25	aside from that. I don't know if she's changed parties or	25	based upon what is written there.
	30	A Company of the Comp	32
1	not.	1	Q. Okay. Is that an exercise that you previously
2	Q. And your first knowledge that Ms. Conley worked at	2	performed?
3	the Office of Children and Youth was when?	3	A. I've read the transcript.
4	A. When Michael Cauley told me that he had an	4	Q. Okay. Did you read the transcript with a view
5	occurrence with her as a witness in a case.	5	toward determining whether or not it gave an indication that
6	Q. When was that, in relation to that hearing? If it	6	Ms. Conley provided a draft of a document to Mr. Villella
7	refreshes your recollection, that hearing was on Friday	7	prior to the commencement of the hearing of July 28, 2004?
8	July 28th, 2004.	8	A. I read the transcript to ascertain what happened
9	A. I would say it was, if not that day, then the	9	in the courtroom on that date. I was not reading
10	Monday. I would believe, actually, it was the Monday.	10	specifically to find an occurrence or a nonoccurence of an
11	Q. How did Mr. Cauley approach you about this issue?	11	event, but rather to come to an understanding of what
12	A. It was the I remember it being the summer.	12	occurred in that courtroom on that date.
13	What date did you say it was, again?	13	Q. When did you read that transcript for the first
14	Q. The hearing was July 28th.	14	time?
15	A. So I remember Mr I remember it being the	15	A. I do not recall. But it was prior to the
16	summer, because when Gannon was on summer break, I was at	16	resignation of your client from employment with the County
17	the County 8:30 to 4:30. And he came into my office and	17	of Erie.
18	told me what happened.	18	Q. So it was sometime between that Monday, would have
19	Q. What did he tell you had happened?	19	been August 31st, and September 10th.
20	A. He outlined for me what happened to Ms. Conley	20	A. That's correct.
21	while she was on the stand. Q. Okay. Was there any other motivation for him	21 22	Q. All right. Was Mr. Cauley concerned that Ms. Conley had given testimony that was not truthful?
23	approaching you other than the events of that hearing of	23	A. Mr. Cauley's concern was that Ms. Conley had acted
	approaching you other than the events of that hearing of		•
	luly 28th?	74	inappropriately. And the larger overreaching concern was
24 25	July 28th? A. You'd have to talk to him.	24 25	inappropriately. And the larger overreaching concern was for the credibility of the department in the eyes of Judge

9 (Pages 33 to 36)

1 Kelly. 2 Q. Okay. In what way did Mr. Cauley aside from 3 allegedly giving this document to Mr. Villella, in what way 4 did Ms. Conley act inappropriately, according to Mr. Cauley? 5 A. Your question set aside from, I believe that that 6 is in and of itself enough, if that, indeed, is what 7 occurred. 8 Q. Okay. And aside from that, or was that the only 9 concern? 10 A. I don't recall any other concerns. 11 Q. So if I understand correctly, your testimony is 12 that on that Monday Mr. Cauley approached you in your office 13 with a concern regarding Abby Conley's testimony at the 14 July 28th hearing alleging that she had provided a document 15 to Jerry Villella, and that this impacted the credibility of 16 the agency with Judge Kelly. Is that a fair 17 A. Yes. 18 Q summary of your testimony? 19 A. I believe so. That is a fair yes. 20 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Cauley express any concerns to you 21 that he or others at the agency believed that Ms. Conley was	that nine on
2 Q. Okay. In what way did Mr. Cauley aside from 3 allegedly giving this document to Mr. Villella, in what way 4 did Ms. Conley act inappropriately, according to Mr. Cauley? 5 A. Your question set aside from, I believe that that 6 is in and of itself enough, if that, indeed, is what 7 occurred. 8 Q. Okay. And aside from that, or was that the only 9 concern? 10 A. I don't recall any other concerns. 11 Q. So if I understand correctly, your testimony is 12 that on that Monday Mr. Cauley approached you in your office 13 with a concern regarding Abby Conley's testimony at the 14 July 28th hearing alleging that she had provided a document 15 to Jerry Villella, and that this impacted the credibility of 16 the agency with Judge Kelly. Is that a fair 17 A. Yes. 18 Q summary of your testimony? 19 A. I believe so. That is a fair yes. 20 Q. And you teach employment personnel issues, 4 correct? 5 A. That's correct, yes. 6 Q. And you would agree with me, would you not if you're going to undertake an investigation to detern whether or not an employee should be objected to discipline, that investigation should be objective and unbiased? Would you agree with me? 10 unbiased? Would you agree with me? 11 MR. JOYAL: I'm going to object to the form. 12 That's presuming that there was an investigation he was conducting on July 31st. 13 MR. LANE: I'm going to join in that and also object to the form. 14 A. I would not say that we began an investigation object to the concern. I responded. 15 Isigned to the concern. And we discussed what to define the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the that the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern.	that nine on
2 Q. Okay. In what way did Mr. Cauley aside from 3 allegedly giving this document to Mr. Villella, in what way 4 did Ms. Conley act inappropriately, according to Mr. Cauley? 5 A. Your question set aside from, I believe that that 6 is in and of itself enough, if that, indeed, is what 7 occurred. 8 Q. Okay. And aside from that, or was that the only 9 concern? 10 A. I don't recall any other concerns. 11 Q. So if I understand correctly, your testimony is 12 that on that Monday Mr. Cauley approached you in your office 13 with a concern regarding Abby Conley's testimony at the 14 July 28th hearing alleging that she had provided a document 15 to Jerry Villella, and that this impacted the credibility of 16 the agency with Judge Kelly. Is that a fair 17 A. Yes. 18 Q summary of your testimony? 19 A. I believe so. That is a fair yes. 20 Q. And you teach employment personnel issues, 4 correct? 5 A. That's correct, yes. 6 Q. And you would agree with me, would you not if you're going to undertake an investigation to detern whether or not an employee should be objected to discipline, that investigation should be objective and unbiased? Would you agree with me? 10 unbiased? Would you agree with me? 11 MR. JOYAL: I'm going to object to the form. 12 That's presuming that there was an investigation he was conducting on July 31st. 13 MR. LANE: I'm going to join in that and also object to the form. 14 MR. LANE: I'm going to join in that and also object to the form. 15 MR. LANE: I'm going to join in that and also object to the form. 16 A. I would not say that we began an investigation should be objective and unbiased? Would you agree with me? 17 MR. JOYAL: I'm going to join in that and also object to the form. 18 MR. LANE: I'm going to join in that and also object to the form. 19 A. I would not say that we began an investigation should be objective and unbiased? Would you agree with me? 19 A. I would not say that we began an investigation should be objective and unbiased? Would you agree with me? 11 MR. JOYAL: I'm going	that nine on
3 allegedly giving this document to Mr. Villella, in what way 4 did Ms. Conley act inappropriately, according to Mr. Cauley? 5 A. Your question set aside from, I believe that that 6 is in and of itself enough, if that, indeed, is what 7 occurred. 8 Q. Okay. And aside from that, or was that the only 9 concern? 10 A. I don't recall any other concerns. 11 Q. So if I understand correctly, your testimony is 12 that on that Monday Mr. Cauley approached you in your office 13 with a concern regarding Abby Conley's testimony at the 14 July 28th hearing alleging that she had provided a document 15 to Jerry Villella, and that this impacted the credibility of 16 the agency with Judge Kelly. Is that a fair 17 A. Yes. 18 Q summary of your testimony? 19 A. I believe so. That is a fair yes. 20 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Cauley express any concerns to you 20 that Judge Kelly heard many of these cases, and make	that nine on
4 did Ms. Conley act inappropriately, according to Mr. Cauley? 5 A. Your question set aside from, I believe that that 6 is in and of itself enough, if that, indeed, is what 7 occurred. 8 Q. Okay. And aside from that, or was that the only 9 concern? 10 A. I don't recall any other concerns. 11 Q. So if I understand correctly, your testimony is 12 that on that Monday Mr. Cauley approached you in your office 13 with a concern regarding Abby Conley's testimony at the 14 July 28th hearing alleging that she had provided a document 15 to Jerry Villella, and that this impacted the credibility of 16 the agency with Judge Kelly. Is that a fair 17 A. Yes. 18 Q summary of your testimony? 19 A. I believe so. That is a fair yes. 20 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Cauley express any concerns to you 20 that Judge Kelly heard many of these cases, and make	that nine on
5 A. Your question set aside from, I believe that that 6 is in and of itself enough, if that, indeed, is what 7 occurred. 8 Q. Okay. And aside from that, or was that the only 9 concern? 10 A. I don't recall any other concerns. 11 Q. So if I understand correctly, your testimony is 12 that on that Monday Mr. Cauley approached you in your office 13 with a concern regarding Abby Conley's testimony at the 14 July 28th hearing alleging that she had provided a document 15 to Jerry Villella, and that this impacted the credibility of 16 the agency with Judge Kelly. Is that a fair 17 A. Yes. 18 Q summary of your testimony? 19 A. I believe so. That is a fair yes. 20 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Cauley express any concerns to you 20 that Judge Kelly heard many of these cases, and make	nine on
6 is in and of itself enough, if that, indeed, is what 7 occurred. 8 Q. Okay. And aside from that, or was that the only 9 concern? 10 A. I don't recall any other concerns. 11 Q. So if I understand correctly, your testimony is 12 that on that Monday Mr. Cauley approached you in your office 13 with a concern regarding Abby Conley's testimony at the 14 July 28th hearing alleging that she had provided a document 15 to Jerry Villella, and that this impacted the credibility of 16 the agency with Judge Kelly. Is that a fair 17 A. Yes. 18 Q summary of your testimony? 19 A. I believe so. That is a fair yes. 20 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Cauley express any concerns to you 20 that Judge Kelly heard many of these cases, and make	nine on
7 occurred. 8 Q. Okay. And aside from that, or was that the only 9 concern? 9 discipline, that investigation should be subjected to 10 A. I don't recall any other concerns. 11 Q. So if I understand correctly, your testimony is 12 that on that Monday Mr. Cauley approached you in your office 13 with a concern regarding Abby Conley's testimony at the 14 July 28th hearing alleging that she had provided a document 15 to Jerry Villella, and that this impacted the credibility of 16 the agency with Judge Kelly. Is that a fair 17 A. Yes. 18 Q summary of your testimony? 19 A. I believe so. That is a fair yes. 20 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Cauley express any concerns to you 17 if you're going to undertake an investigation to determ 8 8 whether or not an employee should be subjected to 9 9 discipline, that investigation should be objective and 10 10 unbiased? Would you agree with me? 11 MR. JOYAL: I'm going to object to the form. 12 That's presuming that there was an investigation to determ 11 13 he was conducting on July 31st. 14 MR. LANE: I'm going to join in that and also object to the form. 15 object to the form. 16 A. I would not say that we began an investigation to determ 12 17 A. Yes. 18 Q summary of your testimony? 19 A. I believe so. That is a fair yes. 19 the concern. And we discussed what to do the concern. The main concern which he had was the 12 19 that Judge Kelly heard many of these cases, and make	nine on
8 Q. Okay. And aside from that, or was that the only 9 concern? 10 A. I don't recall any other concerns. 11 Q. So if I understand correctly, your testimony is 12 that on that Monday Mr. Cauley approached you in your office 13 with a concern regarding Abby Conley's testimony at the 14 July 28th hearing alleging that she had provided a document 15 to Jerry Villella, and that this impacted the credibility of 16 the agency with Judge Kelly. Is that a fair 17 A. Yes. 18 Q summary of your testimony? 19 A. I believe so. That is a fair yes. 20 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Cauley express any concerns to you 8 whether or not an employee should be subjected to 9 discipline, that investigation should be objective and 10 unbiased? Would you agree with me? 11 MR. JOYAL: I'm going to object to the form. 12 That's presuming that there was an investigation should be subjected to 9 discipline, that investigation should be objective and 10 unbiased? Would you agree with me? 11 MR. JOYAL: I'm going to object to the form. 12 That's presuming that there was an investigation should be subjected to 13 unbiased? Would you agree with me? 14 MR. JOYAL: I'm going to object to the form. 15 he was conducting on July 31st. 16 MR. LANE: I'm going to object to the form. 16 A. I would not say that we began an investigation should be subjected to	on
9 concern? 10 A. I don't recall any other concerns. 11 Q. So if I understand correctly, your testimony is 12 that on that Monday Mr. Cauley approached you in your office 13 with a concern regarding Abby Conley's testimony at the 14 July 28th hearing alleging that she had provided a document 15 to Jerry Villella, and that this impacted the credibility of 16 the agency with Judge Kelly. Is that a fair 17 A. Yes. 18 Q summary of your testimony? 19 A. I believe so. That is a fair yes. 20 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Cauley express any concerns to you 20 discipline, that investigation should be objective and 10 unbiased? Would you agree with me? 11 MR. JOYAL: I'm going to object to the form. 12 That's presuming that there was an investigation should be objective and 10 unbiased? Would you agree with me? 11 MR. JOYAL: I'm going to object to the form. 12 That's presuming that there was an investigation should be objective and 10 unbiased? Would you agree with me? 11 MR. JOYAL: I'm going to object to the form. 12 That's presuming that there was an investigation should be objective and 10 unbiased? Would you agree with me? 11 MR. JOYAL: I'm going to object to the form. 12 That's presuming that there was an investigation should be objective and 12 unbiased? Would you agree with me? 13 MR. JOYAL: I'm going to object to the form. 14 MR. LANE: I'm going to join in that and also object to the form. 15 object to the form. 16 A. I would not say that we began an investigation should be objective and 18 Unbiased? Would you agree with me? 19 MR. JOYAL: I'm going to object to the form. 19 A. I would not say that we began an investigation should be objective and unbiased? Would you agree with me? 19 MR. JOYAL: I'm going to object to the form. 19 A. I would not say that we began an investigation should be objective and unbiased? Would you agree with me? 19 A. I would not say that we began an investigation should be objective and unbiased? Would you agree with me? 19 A. I would not say that we began an investigation should be objective	
10 A. I don't recall any other concerns. 11 Q. So if I understand correctly, your testimony is 12 that on that Monday Mr. Cauley approached you in your office 13 with a concern regarding Abby Conley's testimony at the 14 July 28th hearing alleging that she had provided a document 15 to Jerry Villella, and that this impacted the credibility of 16 the agency with Judge Kelly. Is that a fair 17 A. Yes. 18 Q summary of your testimony? 19 A. I believe so. That is a fair yes. 20 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Cauley express any concerns to you 10 unbiased? Would you agree with me? 11 MR. JOYAL: I'm going to object to the form. 12 That's presuming that there was an investigation he was conducting on July 31st. 14 MR. LANE: I'm going to object to the form. 15 object to the form. 16 A. I would not say that we began an investigation object to the form. 16 Istened to the concern. And we discussed what to do the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the sum of the concern. The main concern which he had was the sum of the concern. The main concern which he had was the sum of the concern. The main concern which he had was the sum of the concern. The main concern which he had was the sum of the concern. The main concern which he had was the sum of the concern. The main concern which he had was the sum of the concern. The main concern which he had was the sum of the concern. The main concern which he had was the sum of the concern. The main concern which he had was the sum of the concern. The main concern which he had was the sum of the concern. The main concern which he had was the sum of the concern. The main concern which he had was the sum of the concern. The main concern which he had was the sum of the concern. The main concern which he had was the sum of the concern. The main concern which he had was the sum of the concern. The main concern which he had was the sum of the concern. The main concern which he had was the sum of the concern. The main concern which he had was t	
11 Q. So if I understand correctly, your testimony is 12 that on that Monday Mr. Cauley approached you in your office 13 with a concern regarding Abby Conley's testimony at the 14 July 28th hearing alleging that she had provided a document 15 to Jerry Villella, and that this impacted the credibility of 16 the agency with Judge Kelly. Is that a fair 17 A. Yes. 18 Q summary of your testimony? 19 A. I believe so. That is a fair yes. 20 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Cauley express any concerns to you 11 MR. JOYAL: I'm going to object to the form. 12 That's presuming that there was an investigation he was conducting on July 31st. 13 MR. LANE: I'm going to object to the form. 14 MR. LANE: I'm going to object to the form. 15 object to the form. 16 A. I would not say that we began an investigation object to the form. 16 Istened to the concern. And we discussed what to do the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the sum of the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern.	
that on that Monday Mr. Cauley approached you in your office with a concern regarding Abby Conley's testimony at the July 28th hearing alleging that she had provided a document to Jerry Villella, and that this impacted the credibility of the agency with Judge Kelly. Is that a fair A. Yes. Q summary of your testimony? A. I believe so. That is a fair yes. Q. Okay. Did Mr. Cauley express any concerns to you That's presuming that there was an investigation he was conducting on July 31st. MR. LANE: I'm going to join in that and also object to the form. A. I would not say that we began an investigation he was conducting on July 31st. MR. LANE: I'm going to join in that and also object to the form. A. I would not say that we began an investigation he was conducting on July 31st. MR. LANE: I'm going to join in that and also object to the form. If A. I would not say that we began an investigation he was conducting on July 31st. MR. LANE: I'm going to join in that and also object to the form. If A. I would not say that we began an investigation he was conducting on July 31st. It is a fair to provide a document object to the form. If A. I would not say that we began an investigation object to the form. If A. I would not say that we began an investigation object to the form. If A. I would not say that we began an investigation object to the form. If A. I would not say that we began an investigation object to the form. If A. I would not say that we began an investigation object to the form. If A. I would not say that we began an investigation object to the form. If A. I would not say that we began an investigation object to the form. If A. I would not say that we began an investigation object to the form. If A. I would not say that we began an investigation object to the form. If A. I would not say that we began an investigation object to the form. If A. I would not say that we began an investigation object to the form. If A. I would not say that we began an investigation object to the	
with a concern regarding Abby Conley's testimony at the July 28th hearing alleging that she had provided a document to Jerry Villella, and that this impacted the credibility of the agency with Judge Kelly. Is that a fair A. Yes. Q summary of your testimony? A. I believe so. That is a fair yes. Q. Okay. Did Mr. Cauley express any concerns to you 13 he was conducting on July 31st. 14 MR. LANE: I'm going to join in that and also object to the form. 15 object to the form. 16 A. I would not say that we began an investigation in the second concern. I responded. 18 listened to the concern. And we discussed what to do the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern.	
14 July 28th hearing alleging that she had provided a document 15 to Jerry Villella, and that this impacted the credibility of 16 the agency with Judge Kelly. Is that a fair 17 A. Yes. 18 Q summary of your testimony? 19 A. I believe so. That is a fair yes. 20 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Cauley express any concerns to you 14 MR. LANE: I'm going to join in that and also object to the form. 15 object to the form. 16 A. I would not say that we began an investigation in that and also object to the form. 18 Is would not say that we began an investigation in that and also object to the form. 19 A. I believe to me. He had a concern. I responded. 19 the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern.	n
to Jerry Villella, and that this impacted the credibility of the agency with Judge Kelly. Is that a fair A. Yes. Q summary of your testimony? A. I believe so. That is a fair yes. Q. Okay. Did Mr. Cauley express any concerns to you 15 object to the form. 16 A. I would not say that we began an investigation. A. I would not say that we began an investigation. I believe to the form. 16 A. I would not say that we began an investigation. I believe to the form. 18 Iistened to the concern. And we discussed what to do the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. 19 The concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. 20 Use of the form. 16 A. I would not say that we began an investigation. 18 Iistened to the concern. And we discussed what to do the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. 19 The concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. 20 Use of the form.	n
16 the agency with Judge Kelly. Is that a fair 17 A. Yes. 18 Q summary of your testimony? 19 A. I believe so. That is a fair yes. 20 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Cauley express any concerns to you 16 A. I would not say that we began an investigation. 17 Mike spoke to me. He had a concern. I responded. 18 listened to the concern. And we discussed what to do the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. 20 that Judge Kelly heard many of these cases, and make	n
17 A. Yes. 18 Q summary of your testimony? 19 A. I believe so. That is a fair yes. 20 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Cauley express any concerns to you 17 Mike spoke to me. He had a concern. I responded. 18 listened to the concern. And we discussed what to do the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. The main concern which he had was the concern. 20 that Judge Kelly heard many of these cases, and make	4.1-
18 Q summary of your testimony? 19 A. I believe so. That is a fair yes. 20 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Cauley express any concerns to you 20 that Judge Kelly heard many of these cases, and make	
19 A. I believe so. That is a fair yes. 19 the concern. The main concern which he had was the 20 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Cauley express any concerns to you 20 that Judge Kelly heard many of these cases, and make	
20 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Cauley express any concerns to you 20 that Judge Kelly heard many of these cases, and mak	
	_
22 leaking other information? 22 Q. Okay. Was there a discussion of requiring OC	
23 A. I don't recall anything, other than the concern 23 employees to testify to the party line, whatever the	
24 for the draft of the report going to Attorney Villella. Or 24 decision was that OCY management had made in a page	articular
25 rather Attorney Villella obtaining a copy inappropriately of 25 case?	
34	36
1 that draft, and the credibility of the department. 1 A. Attorney Cauley I would not characterize	ounh.
2 Q. Did Mr. Cauley tell you whether or not he had 2 testimony as party line. All that we ever ask of any C 3 discussed this issue with Mr. Villella, to find out if or 3 employee to do when they're on the stand is tell the t	
	Joiney
5 A. At what time? 5 told the truth on July 28th? 6 Q. Any time. 6 A. You would have to ask her.	ļ
7 A. I know that 7 Q. I'm asking you whether or not you know whe	rhar cha
8 Q. Specifically in this meeting, I guess this Monday, 8 did or not.	arer sire
9 August 31st meeting? 9 MR. JOYAL: I'm going to object to the form.	
10 MR. LANE: Is it August 31st or July 31st? 10 Again, it asks for him to decide for himself who	at
11 MR. McNAIR: Or July 31st, excuse me. Thank you. 11 Abby Conley said during the course of her	
12 MR. LANE: Because I think you used August 31st 12 testimony in all instances was true or false.	ĺ
13 before too. 13 MR. McNAIR: I'm aware of the question.	ĺ
14 MR. McNAIR: Yeah. I meant July 31st. 14 A. I do not believe that I'm in a position to judge	
15 THE WITNESS: I'm terrible with dates. 15 the veracity of Ms. Conley's statements on that day.	
Q. I'm making it up as I go along, obviously. 16 Q. So as you sit here today, you can't tell us	
17 A. It's okay. I'm not surprised. 17 whether or not Abby Conley's testimony on July 28th,	2004
18 Q. Thanks. Did he tell you in that meeting on 18 was truthful or not.	
19 July 31st if he spoke to Mr. Villella and asked him where he 19 MR. LANE: Objection to form.	
20 got that document? 20 A. It was what it was. Whether it's true or not, I	
21 A. I don't recall. 21 cannot make that determination.	ı
1	ny
22 Q. Would that have been an appropriate manner of 22 Q. So you're not alleging that Ms. Conley made a	,
	ŀ
22 Q. Would that have been an appropriate manner of 22 Q. So you're not alleging that Ms. Conley made a	ue

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

37 39 1 Q. Did you, yourself, undertake -- or take any A. The look was at all of the e-mail. 1 efforts to find out how Mr. Villella obtained this document 2 Q. Why did you feel the need to look at all of the or if he did? 3 e-mails to investigate a specific allegation concerning A. If he did. The transcript shows, I believe, that 4 Jerry Villella? 5 he had possession of it. So he did have it. I believe. 5 A. Because oftentimes information does not go 6 Did I undertake; no. 6 directly to the recipient, but could perhaps go to a third 7 Q. Okay. And your interpretation of the transcript 7 party. The question here was whether or not this individual 8 is that Mr. Villella had possession of that document prior had released information to third parties. to the beginning of the hearing. 9 Q. Was there any discussion of the contents of 10 A. I don't have the transcript. If you have the 10 Ms. Conley's personnel file in the course of this meeting transcript -- the transcript will speak for itself. I don't 11 11 with Mr. Cauley? know if he had it before the hearing, during the hearing, or 12 12 A. No. I have not -- I had not -- no. I don't at what time. However, at one point he had possession 13 13 believe I ever saw Ms. Conley's personnel file. because he approached Ms. Conley on the stand with the 14 Q. How long did this meeting last? A. I don't recall. I don't believe it took more than 15 document. 15 16 Q. All right. Well, the question was whether or not 16 20 minutes. 17 you did anything yourself to investigate Mr. Cauley's 17 Q. And at the conclusion of this meeting, what was 18 allegation. 18 the resolution? What did you instruct Mr. Cauley to do, if 19 A. I suggested to Mike that we check the e-mails of 19 anything? 20 Ms. Conley. 20 A. I suggested -- I don't believe I instructed 21 Q. Okay. And you were the person that first brought 21 Michael to do anything. Rather, it was discussed that we had the right to look at any e-mail, County e-mails. This 22 up the subject of checking her e-mails? 22 23 23 A. I believe Mike came to me. And as a result of is County property. Under our policies, we have the ability that meeting, I knew what our computer-use policy was, and to do that. I suggested that he contact individuals at BAC that we would be able to do this. So I believe I suggested Computer to facilitate this. 38 40 1 it to Mike. 1 Q. Were you involved in any subsequent meetings 2 Q. Okay. So that suggestion came from you. That 2 concerning this issue, prior to September 10th? 3 wasn't something that OCY asked you for. 3 4 A. I think that -- I'm not sure that -- I wanted to 4 Q. Okay. What was the next time you were -- met with 5 assist Mike in understanding his concern. And, certainly, anybody from OCY concerning this -- concerning Abby Conley? if an individual was submitting information, privileged 6 A. Shortly after I authorized -- or shortly after I 7 7 information, to third parties, we wanted to know about it. spoke with Michael about the review of Ms. Conley's e-mail, 8 Q. Okay. And you had assumed that she had done this 8 I met with Mike to discuss the contents of what he had via e-mail. That she would have e-mailed this to 9 found. 10 Mr. Villella? 10 Q. Did you ever meet with anyone from OCY other than 11 A. There was no assumption of that sort. Rather, 11 Mr. Cauley? 12 this was the first place to look. 12 A. Yes. 13 O. Who was that? 13 Q. Okay. What made you believe that looking at 14 A. The director. 14 Abby's e-mails would lead to evidence concerning 15 Q. And who is the director? 15 Mr. Cauley's allegation that she gave a document to Mr. 16 Villella? 16 A. Deb Liebel. A. The document, as my understanding, was created 17 Q. When did you meet with Deb Liebel? 17 18 A. I don't recall. 18 or -- created or maintained on Abby's system, computer. And at some point it ended up in Mr. Villella's possession. If 19 Q. Do you recall where you met with her? 19 20 through a check of her e-mail, it could be determined that 20 A. I would say in the courthouse. 21 he -- it was e-mailed to him. 21 Q. Do you have any recollection of that meeting? 22 22 Q. Okay. So that's why you restricted the search for A. Yes. 23 e-mails from Abby to Jerry Villella. 23 Q. Okay. Who was present? 24 A. Perhaps -- certainly, Deb, myself, Michael. At 24 A. No. 25 MR. LANE: Objection to form. some point, Pete Callan was engaged.

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

	The state of the s	,	11 (Pages 41 to 44)
	41	-	43
1	Q. And do you recall when this meeting took place?	1	rights are preserved. That's all you need to do.
2	A. No.	2	You don't need to suggest an answer to the
3	Q. Was anyone else present besides the people you've	3	witness. You don't need to give an explanation.
4	named?	4	You may simply object, and your rights are
5	A. I believe Ann Bloxdorf may or may not have been	5	preserved. Thank you.
6	present. I know that she would have been informed.	6	MR. JOYAL: Mr. McNair, this is Federal Court, Mr.
7	Q. And from OCY, were Mr. Cauley and Ms. Liebel the	7	McNair. Don't lecture me. Don't even try. He's
8	only participants?	8	never classified it as an investigation that he
9	A. Yes.	9	participated in.
10	Q. How long did this meeting last?	10	MR. McNAIR: I have. Let's go off the record.
11	A. It was we met I met with Mike initially	11	I'm not going to pay for this.
12	to when he told me the content of the e-mails that he had	12	MR. JOYAL: You haven't paid for anything yet,
13	found, then there was a greater meeting that was established	13	Tim. That's my objection, as to form of the
14	with those participants.	14	question.
15	Q. Okay. And, again, do you recall when that was?	15	MR. McNAIR: This is off the record. I'm not
16	A. No.	16	putting
17	Q. Was it about a week?	17	MR. JOYAL: You can't stop something from going on
18	A. If you're looking for the chronology, Mike met	18	the record.
19	with me. I suggested to Mike the e-mails should be looked	19	THE REPORTER: If you're going to go off, I would
20	at. Make sure you talk to Deb about it, and tell Deb that	20	like you all to agree to go off.
21	that's what we're doing. The e-mails were reviewed. Mike told me some of what he found. I said, we better get	21 22	MR. McNAIR: If I tell you we're off, we're off.
23	everybody we should get everyone together, and we did.	23	MR. JOYAL: I don't believe so, Mr. McNair. The
24	Q. So that meeting that you're talking about occurred	23	record is the record. You can't unilaterally go off the record.
25	after Mr. Cauley had reviewed these e-mails.	25	MR. McNAIR: Look, I'm sick and tired of listening
			The Figure 100 of 100 o
	42		44
1	A. Yes. That's my recollection.	1	to your speeches. Please cut it out. Act
2	Q. And what was the topic of discussion at that	2	professional. Make an objection. Your rights are
3	meeting?	3	preserved. If I need an explanation, I'll ask for
4	A. The result of what had been found.	4	an explanation.
5	Q. What were you told had been found?	5	MR. JOYAL: Mr. McNair, learn the rules.
6	A. That your client had dispensed information to a	6	MR. McNAIR: Don't lecture me on the rules.
7	former caseworker, a resident of North Carolina, regarding	7	MR. JOYAL: The objection is on the record,
8	an order of court that a child should be taken from its	8	according to the rules of civil procedure and the
9	birth mother upon the birth of the child. And that this	9	rules of court.
10	revelation was done with intent that the mother should	10	MR. McNAIR: The record's on the objection [sic]
11	receive it and act upon it.	11	according to the rules of Ed Joyal. Okay. Can
12 13	Q. And can you explain to me how or if that ties into an allegation that Ms. Conley provided Mr. Villella with a	12 13	you read back my question, please. MR. JOYAL: We're done, Mr. McNair. If you had
14	document prior to the July 28th hearing. Was there any	14	not decided to go for four minutes about talking
15	discussion of that document in this e-mail?	15	about my objection, we would be done.
16	MR. LANE: Objection to form.	16	MR. McNAIR: Would you read back the question,
17	MR. JOYAL: Objection to form. You keep talking	17	please.
1			·
19	-	19	
1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ĺ	-
21		21	•
22	don't have time. This witness doesn't have time.	22	·
23	You're just lengthening this. I have depositions	23	you've described, to this former caseworker, and
24		24	Mr. Cauley's allegation that Ms. Conley had improperly
25		25	provided Mr. Villella with a draft document?
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	about an investigation about a document. And I don't think he's ever, again MR. McNAIR: Well, look, his testimony speaks for itself. Mr. Joyal, stop with the lectures. I don't have time. This witness doesn't have time.	20 21 22 23 24	(Record read by reporter.) MR. LANE: Objection to form. MR. McNAIR: You've already made it. A. I don't understand the question. Q. Was there any connection between this e-mail the you've described, to this former caseworker, and Mr. Cauley's allegation that Ms. Conley had improperly

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

45 47 1 A. My understanding of the e-mails that were reviewed 1 somewhat remote in time, wouldn't you? unearthed something far more disturbing than what happened 2 MR. LANE: Objection to form. 3 in that courtroom. It showed that your client had --3 A. What is remote? Q. This is not responsive to the question. Okay. 4 Q. May. You were doing this investigation in August. 5 5 A. If you don't like the response, that's your issue. A. It was unacceptable behavior and a breach of 6 Q. It's not responsive to the question. 6 confidentiality. 7 A. I believe I'm responding to the question. 7 Q. Do you know whether or not the employee was 8 MR. LANE: You can finish your answer. Finish 8 previously counseled about such behavior prior to 9 9 your answer. Mr. Cauley's investigation? 10 MR. McNAIR: Make your speech. 10 A. I do not know. 11 Q. I'll ask the question again. And we'll do this --11 Q. Would that have made any difference to you? 12 and if you have to come back, we'll do it again. A. I only know -- it would not -- the County of 12 Erie -- as County Solicitor, I cannot tolerate behavior of 13 A. What was found was something very disturbing. 13 14 Q. That you would agree with me, however disturbing Ms. Conley's type. So it would not have made any 15 it was, it was completely unrelated to the issue that 15 difference. 16 Mr. Cauley approached you with on Monday, July 31st. 16 Q. Okay. So the fact that she was told not to do it, 17 A. Was it related? What was found was what was 17 and thereafter didn't do it, did not make any difference to 18 found. What was found was that your client had told a third 18 you in this matter. 19 19 party that a child would be taken from its mother at birth, MR. LANE: Objection to form. 20 20 something that mother should not have been aware of, with A. What do you mean by -- I don't understand the 21 intent that that mother be informed. 21 question. 22 22 Q. What makes you think the mother wasn't aware of Q. Okay. There was an e-mail. Subsequently, there 23 this? 23 was a counseling, in violation of the union contract, but 24 A. It's something that of which the mother -- the 24 counseling nonetheless, where Ms. Conley was told to not 25 court does not inform the person. I do not practice in this 25 disclose or e-mail information concerning the agency. And 46 48 area of law. Michael Cauley, who has spent the better part that thereafter there's no evidence that she did. Now, 2 of his professional life in this area, informed me that it 2 would that initial e-mail still, in your mind, constitute is the general rule that these orders are issued, given to 3 grounds for termination even after it's been dealt with by the area hospitals. And the mother is not informed because the employee's supervisor? 5 5 of fear of flight of the mother or that the mother would MR. LANE: Objection to form. 6 6 injure the baby. A. I believe that what she did constituted grounds 7 7 Q. Okay. I understand that. Did you review the OCY for termination. 8 8 file in that case? Q. Regardless of the fact that she had been warned 9 9 A. No. and heeded the warning. 10 10 Q. Do you know whether or not that file indicates MR. LANE: Objection to form. Lack of foundation. that the mother was fully aware of the existence of that 11 MR. McNAIR: Come on. order? 12 12 MR. LANE: Come on what? 13 A. That's not relevant. What is relevant is that a 13 MR. McNAIR: There's foundation. 14 third party was informed of a matter, and that is 14 MR. LANE: She is warned about that particular 15 15 unacceptable. instance of conduct; is that what you're saying? 16 Q. Whether it was relevant or not, are you aware of 16 That's a misrepresentation. 17 whether or not that mother had knowledge that such an order 17 MR. JOYAL: It's an outright lie, as a matter of 18 would be entered? 18 fact. 19 A. You would have to speak to that mother. I don't 19 A. What she did was grounds for termination. She 20 20 know whether she was aware or not. informed a third party of the existence of a detention 21 Q. And you didn't do that. 21 order. 22 A. It was not warranted. We found that your client 22 Q. And what makes you think that Ms. Conley -- how 23 23 had told a third party of a matter involving a client. would Ms. Conley know about that detention order? 24 24 Q. And there was a different client, and it was a A. You would have to ask her. 25 different case. And you would agree with me, it was Q. I'm asking you.

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

49 51 1 A. I don't know the operations of that department. I 1 Q. Now, just so I'm very clear on this. The idea of snooping through Abby's e-mails was raised by you rather 2 have no basis upon which to answer your question. 2 3 Q. All right. Was this particular e-mail something 3 than anybody at OCY? that you were specifically looking for in your investigation 4 4 MR. LANE: Objection to form. 5 5 of Ms. Conley's allegedly providing a document to MR. JOYAL: Objection to form. 6 6 MR. DEVLIN: Objection to form. 7 MR. LANE: Objection to form. 7 A. First of all, those are County e-mails. They are 8 our property. We have a right to inspect our property at I was not looking for anything. When you say 8 her -- I suggested that her e-mails should be reviewed. 9 any time. Snooping is an inappropriate characterization of 10 Q. Okay. And all of her e-mails, not just ones that the activities which we conducted. Second, I believe that I 11 were relevant to the allegation. raised -- I suggested it as the appropriate way to 12 A. Yes. 12 understand the actions of this employee. 13 Q. And was that done in the hopes that something 13 Q. Okay. And the question was, was it your idea or would turn up that would provide grounds for discipline, somebody else's idea? 14 14 15 aside from what Mr. Cauley alleged? 15 MR. LANE: Objection to form, and lack of 16 MR. LANE: Objection to form. 16 foundation. 17 A. No one hopes to discipline anyone. It was to come 17 A. I believe it was -- I believe that I suggested -to an understanding of the actions of this employee. 18 18 or if I did not suggest, then I certainly agreed with the 19 Q. So this wasn't done with a view toward idea of looking through --19 20 20 Q. So you don't know whose idea it was. disciplinary action. 21 A. It was done with an understanding -- to come to an 21 A. I believe it was -- I believe it was mine, but I 22 22 understanding of the actions of the employee while acting cannot state entirely. within the course and scope of her employment. 23 23 Q. Okay. 24 24 Q. And did the review of those e-mails enhance your A. If you're asking me, did Michael Cauley, frothing 25 understanding of whether or not Abby provided any document 25 from the mouth, come to me and say I want to look through 50 52 to Mr. Villella? this woman's e-mails, I don't believe that was it. 1 2 A. It did not resolve the issue. It is unknown how 2 Q. I'm not asking you that. 3 it got from Abby to Attorney Villella. 3 A. Okay. 4 Q. Were you concerned at all about Mr. Cauley's 4 Q. Okay. And no effort was made to narrow the scope of the search to make it relevant to the specific issue that 5 objectivity in this investigation? 6 A. No. 6 Mr. Cauley raised. 7 7 MR. DEVLIN: Objection to form. Q. Why not? 8 A. Mike Cauley has been an assistant public defender 8 MR. LANE: Objection to form. 9 9 and member of the bar and the solicitor for the Office of A. The idea was to come to an understanding of the Children and Youth in either a contract capacity or as an 10 actions of the employee. One achieves that by looking at 10 employment -- employment capacity. He replaced, I believe, 11 all of the actions. 11 12 Beveridge -- not Beveridge. I forget the attorney who --12 Q. So the answer to my question is that it is true 13 Q. I think it was Jim Blackwood. that no effort was made to narrow the scope of the search to 14 A. Blackwood. In addition, I believe Michael Cauley 14 relate only to the issue that Mr. Cauley was concerned 15 to be an honorable man and able to conduct this review. 15 about. 16 MR. LANE: Objection to form. 16 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Cauley express any anger to you in 17 17 that meeting about what had happened at that hearing of A. I'm sorry. 18 July 28th? 18 Q. Would you answer the question. 19 A. His presentation to me was factual. 19 A. We reviewed her e-mails to come to an 20 Q. Okay. So you never thought that Mr. Cauley might 20 understanding of how this document may have gotten to Attorney Villella. When the e-mails were reviewed, 21 be looking for retribution against Ms. Conley for 21 22 frustrating the department's goal in that particular 22 something far more disturbing was found. 23 23 dependency case? Q. Strictly by accident; is that what you're trying A. No. In fact, I think his presentation to me was 24 24 25 factual and void of emotion. A. What do you mean, "strictly by accident"? It

Conley v. County of Erie, et al.

John Onorato

14 (Pages 53 to 56)

			14 (Pages 53 to 56)
	53		55
1	happened to be on her e-mails. I'm sure it was accidental,	1	A. No.
2	and that she probably wishes she had removed it.	2	Q. Is there any policy or are there any standards
3	Q. Okay. But that's not something you were looking	3	governing when the County will review an employee's e-mails,
4	for.	4	as was done with Ms. Conley?
5	A. No, it's not what we were looking for.	5	A. We have a computer use policy, which I believe
6	Q. But it sure came in handy.	6	we've supplied.
7	MR. JOYAL: Objection to form.	7	Q. Right. And the computer use policy says that you
8	MR. LANE: Objection to form. That's not a	8	can do it. It doesn't set forth any standards about when
9	question. Don't answer, because it's not a	9	that will be done. Is there any standard, or is that
10	question.	10	something that is done in the unbridled discretion of the
11	Q. Would you regard it as fortunate that that e-mail	11	County Solicitor?
12	was found?	12	MR. LANE: Objection to form.
13	A. I consider it very unfortunate.	13	A. I only know of this occurrence, being the only
14	Q. Unfortunate.	14	time at which an individual's e-mails have been looked at.
15	A. Yes. It's unfortunate when a County employee does	15	Q. Okay.
16	something like Ms. Conley does. It's also unfortunate to	16	A. My concern with using BAC was that they were an
17	have to terminate someone.	17	independent contractor who had provided service to the
18	Q. Was there any discussion in either of these	18	County. And I believe that if we needed to account for how
19	meetings, either the one with Mr. Cauley or the one with	19	we did this, I would rather we do it through someone who was
20	Ms. Liebel, of an allegation that Abby had filed a report of	20	not a County employee.
21	suspected child abuse against an OCY caseworker.	21	Q. Okay.
22	A. I believe the matter may have been discussed.	22	A. And had the technical expertise.
23	However, I suggested to Mike that he formalize his concerns	23 24	Q. And so there was no policy, no internal policy in
25	in a report to me. Q. When did you suggest that?	25	place in the administration of the County that gave any standards for when such an effort and expenditure of County
2.3	Q. Which did you suggest that:		surface of County
	54		56
		1	
1	A. I don't recall.	1	funds would be appropriate. It was done solely at your
2	A. I don't recall.Q. At the first meeting or the second meeting or was	1 2	funds would be appropriate. It was done solely at your discretion.
1		-	
2 3 4	Q. At the first meeting or the second meeting or was there another meeting?A. I would say it was after the first meeting and in	2 3 4	discretion. MR. LANE: Objection to form. A. The request was made, and I rather, we talked
2 3 4 5	Q. At the first meeting or the second meeting or was there another meeting?A. I would say it was after the first meeting and in preparation for the second meeting. In addition, there were	2 3 4 5	discretion. MR. LANE: Objection to form. A. The request was made, and I rather, we talked about it. I suggested that we do that. But I don't know if
2 3 4 5 6	Q. At the first meeting or the second meeting or was there another meeting? A. I would say it was after the first meeting and in preparation for the second meeting. In addition, there were meetings of that because we needed to inform the County	2 3 4 5 6	discretion. MR. LANE: Objection to form. A. The request was made, and I rather, we talked about it. I suggested that we do that. But I don't know if there was I don't know of any policy. I wouldn't
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. At the first meeting or the second meeting or was there another meeting? A. I would say it was after the first meeting and in preparation for the second meeting. In addition, there were meetings of that because we needed to inform the County Executive.	2 3 4 5 6 7	discretion. MR. LANE: Objection to form. A. The request was made, and I rather, we talked about it. I suggested that we do that. But I don't know if there was I don't know of any policy. I wouldn't characterize that as a policy. It's our right to inspect
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. At the first meeting or the second meeting or was there another meeting? A. I would say it was after the first meeting and in preparation for the second meeting. In addition, there were meetings of that because we needed to inform the County Executive. Q. Okay. And what were you told about this incident, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	discretion. MR. LANE: Objection to form. A. The request was made, and I rather, we talked about it. I suggested that we do that. But I don't know if there was I don't know of any policy. I wouldn't characterize that as a policy. It's our right to inspect County e-mail, just as it's our right to inspect any other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. At the first meeting or the second meeting or was there another meeting? A. I would say it was after the first meeting and in preparation for the second meeting. In addition, there were meetings of that because we needed to inform the County Executive. Q. Okay. And what were you told about this incident, the allegation that Ms. Conley made concerning the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	discretion. MR. LANE: Objection to form. A. The request was made, and I rather, we talked about it. I suggested that we do that. But I don't know if there was I don't know of any policy. I wouldn't characterize that as a policy. It's our right to inspect County e-mail, just as it's our right to inspect any other County property. The question was the issue was brought
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. At the first meeting or the second meeting or was there another meeting? A. I would say it was after the first meeting and in preparation for the second meeting. In addition, there were meetings of that because we needed to inform the County Executive. Q. Okay. And what were you told about this incident, the allegation that Ms. Conley made concerning the caseworker?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	discretion. MR. LANE: Objection to form. A. The request was made, and I rather, we talked about it. I suggested that we do that. But I don't know if there was I don't know of any policy. I wouldn't characterize that as a policy. It's our right to inspect County e-mail, just as it's our right to inspect any other County property. The question was the issue was brought to my attention. And I suggested that as a means to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. At the first meeting or the second meeting or was there another meeting? A. I would say it was after the first meeting and in preparation for the second meeting. In addition, there were meetings of that because we needed to inform the County Executive. Q. Okay. And what were you told about this incident, the allegation that Ms. Conley made concerning the caseworker? A. PW?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	discretion. MR. LANE: Objection to form. A. The request was made, and I rather, we talked about it. I suggested that we do that. But I don't know if there was I don't know of any policy. I wouldn't characterize that as a policy. It's our right to inspect County e-mail, just as it's our right to inspect any other County property. The question was the issue was brought to my attention. And I suggested that as a means to evaluate what participation, if any, Ms. Conley had in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. At the first meeting or the second meeting or was there another meeting? A. I would say it was after the first meeting and in preparation for the second meeting. In addition, there were meetings of that because we needed to inform the County Executive. Q. Okay. And what were you told about this incident, the allegation that Ms. Conley made concerning the caseworker? A. PW? Q. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	discretion. MR. LANE: Objection to form. A. The request was made, and I rather, we talked about it. I suggested that we do that. But I don't know if there was I don't know of any policy. I wouldn't characterize that as a policy. It's our right to inspect County e-mail, just as it's our right to inspect any other County property. The question was the issue was brought to my attention. And I suggested that as a means to evaluate what participation, if any, Ms. Conley had in supplying Attorney Villella the document.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. At the first meeting or the second meeting or was there another meeting? A. I would say it was after the first meeting and in preparation for the second meeting. In addition, there were meetings of that because we needed to inform the County Executive. Q. Okay. And what were you told about this incident, the allegation that Ms. Conley made concerning the caseworker? A. PW? Q. Yes. A. Is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	discretion. MR. LANE: Objection to form. A. The request was made, and I rather, we talked about it. I suggested that we do that. But I don't know if there was I don't know of any policy. I wouldn't characterize that as a policy. It's our right to inspect County e-mail, just as it's our right to inspect any other County property. The question was the issue was brought to my attention. And I suggested that as a means to evaluate what participation, if any, Ms. Conley had in supplying Attorney Villella the document. Q. Okay. Did you ever think to ask Mr. Cauley before
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. At the first meeting or the second meeting or was there another meeting? A. I would say it was after the first meeting and in preparation for the second meeting. In addition, there were meetings of that because we needed to inform the County Executive. Q. Okay. And what were you told about this incident, the allegation that Ms. Conley made concerning the caseworker? A. PW? Q. Yes. A. Is that Q. That would be the one.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	discretion. MR. LANE: Objection to form. A. The request was made, and I rather, we talked about it. I suggested that we do that. But I don't know if there was I don't know of any policy. I wouldn't characterize that as a policy. It's our right to inspect County e-mail, just as it's our right to inspect any other County property. The question was the issue was brought to my attention. And I suggested that as a means to evaluate what participation, if any, Ms. Conley had in supplying Attorney Villella the document. Q. Okay. Did you ever think to ask Mr. Cauley before you expended County funds on this e-mail search, did you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. At the first meeting or the second meeting or was there another meeting? A. I would say it was after the first meeting and in preparation for the second meeting. In addition, there were meetings of that because we needed to inform the County Executive. Q. Okay. And what were you told about this incident, the allegation that Ms. Conley made concerning the caseworker? A. PW? Q. Yes. A. Is that Q. That would be the one. A. That it occurred and that it was judged to be I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	discretion. MR. LANE: Objection to form. A. The request was made, and I rather, we talked about it. I suggested that we do that. But I don't know if there was I don't know of any policy. I wouldn't characterize that as a policy. It's our right to inspect County e-mail, just as it's our right to inspect any other County property. The question was the issue was brought to my attention. And I suggested that as a means to evaluate what participation, if any, Ms. Conley had in supplying Attorney Villella the document. Q. Okay. Did you ever think to ask Mr. Cauley before
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. At the first meeting or the second meeting or was there another meeting? A. I would say it was after the first meeting and in preparation for the second meeting. In addition, there were meetings of that because we needed to inform the County Executive. Q. Okay. And what were you told about this incident, the allegation that Ms. Conley made concerning the caseworker? A. PW? Q. Yes. A. Is that Q. That would be the one.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	discretion. MR. LANE: Objection to form. A. The request was made, and I rather, we talked about it. I suggested that we do that. But I don't know if there was I don't know of any policy. I wouldn't characterize that as a policy. It's our right to inspect County e-mail, just as it's our right to inspect any other County property. The question was the issue was brought to my attention. And I suggested that as a means to evaluate what participation, if any, Ms. Conley had in supplying Attorney Villella the document. Q. Okay. Did you ever think to ask Mr. Cauley before you expended County funds on this e-mail search, did you ever think of asking Mr. Cauley to ask Ms. Conley whether or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. At the first meeting or the second meeting or was there another meeting? A. I would say it was after the first meeting and in preparation for the second meeting. In addition, there were meetings of that because we needed to inform the County Executive. Q. Okay. And what were you told about this incident, the allegation that Ms. Conley made concerning the caseworker? A. PW? Q. Yes. A. Is that Q. That would be the one. A. That it occurred and that it was judged to be I forget the term. I want to say baseless, but that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	discretion. MR. LANE: Objection to form. A. The request was made, and I rather, we talked about it. I suggested that we do that. But I don't know if there was I don't know of any policy. I wouldn't characterize that as a policy. It's our right to inspect County e-mail, just as it's our right to inspect any other County property. The question was the issue was brought to my attention. And I suggested that as a means to evaluate what participation, if any, Ms. Conley had in supplying Attorney Villella the document. Q. Okay. Did you ever think to ask Mr. Cauley before you expended County funds on this e-mail search, did you ever think of asking Mr. Cauley to ask Ms. Conley whether or not she had done this?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. At the first meeting or the second meeting or was there another meeting? A. I would say it was after the first meeting and in preparation for the second meeting. In addition, there were meetings of that because we needed to inform the County Executive. Q. Okay. And what were you told about this incident, the allegation that Ms. Conley made concerning the caseworker? A. PW? Q. Yes. A. Is that Q. That would be the one. A. That it occurred and that it was judged to be I forget the term. I want to say baseless, but that's whenever term they used to say that it was unsupported or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	discretion. MR. LANE: Objection to form. A. The request was made, and I rather, we talked about it. I suggested that we do that. But I don't know if there was I don't know of any policy. I wouldn't characterize that as a policy. It's our right to inspect County e-mail, just as it's our right to inspect any other County property. The question was the issue was brought to my attention. And I suggested that as a means to evaluate what participation, if any, Ms. Conley had in supplying Attorney Villella the document. Q. Okay. Did you ever think to ask Mr. Cauley before you expended County funds on this e-mail search, did you ever think of asking Mr. Cauley to ask Ms. Conley whether or not she had done this? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. At the first meeting or the second meeting or was there another meeting? A. I would say it was after the first meeting and in preparation for the second meeting. In addition, there were meetings of that because we needed to inform the County Executive. Q. Okay. And what were you told about this incident, the allegation that Ms. Conley made concerning the caseworker? A. PW? Q. Yes. A. Is that Q. That would be the one. A. That it occurred and that it was judged to be I forget the term. I want to say baseless, but that's whenever term they used to say that it was unsupported or un	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	discretion. MR. LANE: Objection to form. A. The request was made, and I rather, we talked about it. I suggested that we do that. But I don't know if there was I don't know of any policy. I wouldn't characterize that as a policy. It's our right to inspect County e-mail, just as it's our right to inspect any other County property. The question was the issue was brought to my attention. And I suggested that as a means to evaluate what participation, if any, Ms. Conley had in supplying Attorney Villella the document. Q. Okay. Did you ever think to ask Mr. Cauley before you expended County funds on this e-mail search, did you ever think of asking Mr. Cauley to ask Ms. Conley whether or not she had done this? A. No. Q. Why not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. At the first meeting or the second meeting or was there another meeting? A. I would say it was after the first meeting and in preparation for the second meeting. In addition, there were meetings of that because we needed to inform the County Executive. Q. Okay. And what were you told about this incident, the allegation that Ms. Conley made concerning the caseworker? A. PW? Q. Yes. A. Is that Q. That would be the one. A. That it occurred and that it was judged to be I forget the term. I want to say baseless, but that's whenever term they used to say that it was unsupported or un Q. Unfounded.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	discretion. MR. LANE: Objection to form. A. The request was made, and I rather, we talked about it. I suggested that we do that. But I don't know if there was I don't know of any policy. I wouldn't characterize that as a policy. It's our right to inspect County e-mail, just as it's our right to inspect any other County property. The question was the issue was brought to my attention. And I suggested that as a means to evaluate what participation, if any, Ms. Conley had in supplying Attorney Villella the document. Q. Okay. Did you ever think to ask Mr. Cauley before you expended County funds on this e-mail search, did you ever think of asking Mr. Cauley to ask Ms. Conley whether or not she had done this? A. No. Q. Why not? A. Why does one not think of a thing. I don't know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. At the first meeting or the second meeting or was there another meeting? A. I would say it was after the first meeting and in preparation for the second meeting. In addition, there were meetings of that because we needed to inform the County Executive. Q. Okay. And what were you told about this incident, the allegation that Ms. Conley made concerning the caseworker? A. PW? Q. Yes. A. Is that Q. That would be the one. A. That it occurred and that it was judged to be I forget the term. I want to say baseless, but that's whenever term they used to say that it was unsupported or un Q. Unfounded. A. Unfounded. That may have been the term.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	discretion. MR. LANE: Objection to form. A. The request was made, and I rather, we talked about it. I suggested that we do that. But I don't know if there was I don't know of any policy. I wouldn't characterize that as a policy. It's our right to inspect County e-mail, just as it's our right to inspect any other County property. The question was the issue was brought to my attention. And I suggested that as a means to evaluate what participation, if any, Ms. Conley had in supplying Attorney Villella the document. Q. Okay. Did you ever think to ask Mr. Cauley before you expended County funds on this e-mail search, did you ever think of asking Mr. Cauley to ask Ms. Conley whether or not she had done this? A. No. Q. Why not? A. Why does one not think of a thing. I don't know why one does not think of a thing. I'm not thinking about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. At the first meeting or the second meeting or was there another meeting? A. I would say it was after the first meeting and in preparation for the second meeting. In addition, there were meetings of that because we needed to inform the County Executive. Q. Okay. And what were you told about this incident, the allegation that Ms. Conley made concerning the caseworker? A. PW? Q. Yes. A. Is that Q. That would be the one. A. That it occurred and that it was judged to be I forget the term. I want to say baseless, but that's whenever term they used to say that it was unsupported or un Q. Unfounded. A. Unfounded. That may have been the term. Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not Ms. W had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	discretion. MR. LANE: Objection to form. A. The request was made, and I rather, we talked about it. I suggested that we do that. But I don't know if there was I don't know of any policy. I wouldn't characterize that as a policy. It's our right to inspect County e-mail, just as it's our right to inspect any other County property. The question was the issue was brought to my attention. And I suggested that as a means to evaluate what participation, if any, Ms. Conley had in supplying Attorney Villella the document. Q. Okay. Did you ever think to ask Mr. Cauley before you expended County funds on this e-mail search, did you ever think of asking Mr. Cauley to ask Ms. Conley whether or not she had done this? A. No. Q. Why not? A. Why does one not think of a thing. I don't know why one does not think of a thing. I'm not thinking about something right now, and I don't know why I'm not thinking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. At the first meeting or the second meeting or was there another meeting? A. I would say it was after the first meeting and in preparation for the second meeting. In addition, there were meetings of that because we needed to inform the County Executive. Q. Okay. And what were you told about this incident, the allegation that Ms. Conley made concerning the caseworker? A. PW? Q. Yes. A. Is that Q. That would be the one. A. That it occurred and that it was judged to be I forget the term. I want to say baseless, but that's whenever term they used to say that it was unsupported or un Q. Unfounded. A. Unfounded. That may have been the term. Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not Ms. W had previously been disciplined for similar incidents?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	discretion. MR. LANE: Objection to form. A. The request was made, and I rather, we talked about it. I suggested that we do that. But I don't know if there was I don't know of any policy. I wouldn't characterize that as a policy. It's our right to inspect County e-mail, just as it's our right to inspect any other County property. The question was the issue was brought to my attention. And I suggested that as a means to evaluate what participation, if any, Ms. Conley had in supplying Attorney Villella the document. Q. Okay. Did you ever think to ask Mr. Cauley before you expended County funds on this e-mail search, did you ever think of asking Mr. Cauley to ask Ms. Conley whether or not she had done this? A. No. Q. Why not? A. Why does one not think of a thing. I don't know why one does not think of a thing. I'm not thinking about something right now, and I don't know why I'm not thinking about that thing that I'm not thinking about.

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

57 1 wrongdoing, as Ms. Conley was, to call the employee in on A. My answer is, Abby was never asked because what we the carpet and ask them whether or not they did it. It 2 found was far more egregious. never occurred to you to do that. 3 Q. Okay. And why wasn't she asked before you went A. She was not accused of wrongdoing at that moment. 4 off on this search? 5 5 Q. She was accused of providing a document to MR. LANE: Objection to form. 6 Mr. Villella that was -- you said was inappropriate. Is 6 A. I don't think that that would -- the idea was to 7 inappropriate not wrong? try to determine what happened. And one way we did this was 8 8 to look at her County e-mails. Those are County e-mails. A. What was going on was that the document had gotten into the hands of Attorney Villella. It was not clear how We have every right to look at them. We looked at them. 10 10 it had gotten into that. We were trying to come to an Let's say a car has a speeding -- a parking ticket 11 understanding of that. It doesn't matter what that issue 11 and it's also involved -- you find something else. You 12 was -- that issue became irrelevant. Because, guite don't worry about the parking ticket when you see evidence 13 that it had gone through many red lights. The issue -- it's 13 frankly, what was found in her e-mail was something which 14 14 no longer relevant. was not tolerable. 15 Q. Okay. And I'm sorry, but that really isn't 15 Q. Okay. So you knew that you would find something 16 responsive to my question. 16 else in the e-mails that would make it not worth your while 17 A. Well, it's the facts of the matter. 17 talking to Abby. 18 MR. LANE: Objection to form. 18 Q. My question is, is it your testimony that when 19 19 Mr. Cauley came to you and said, in effect, Abby Conley gave A. We knew no such thing. 20 Q. Then can you tell me why the first step in the a document to Jerry Villella that she shouldn't have, or at 21 least I think she did, and we should do something about 21 investigation wasn't to talk to Abby. 22 this, only he never had asked Ms. Conley if or how 22 A. We wanted to come to an understanding of how it Mr. Villella got such a document, it never occurred to you 23 may have ended up -- we knew it was on Abby's computer 24 24 to ask her. system. We knew that it ended up with Attorney Villella. 25 The first place to look would be to check on the computer. MR. LANE: Objection to form. Argumentative. 60 58 A. That is a mischaracterization. Rather, Michael 1 Q. How did you know it was on her system? Did Cauley 1 2 came to me and spoke of what happened in the courtroom. The 2 tell you that? 3 main concern that he had was the credibility of the 3 A. Yes. 4 department. He also had concerns about how this document Q. He said he had already checked her computer, and 5 it was on there? may have ended up there. 6 6 A. No. He told me that she would have been the I suggested that he pull the e-mails and review 7 it. The e-mails were reviewed. He provided -- supplied me drafter. And I believe that it would be drafted on a 8 with copies of the e-mail. It became quite clear that computer, so. In addition, I think not only did I suggest 9 Ms. Conley had done something completely inappropriate, in 9 her e-mail be looked at, but that the whole of her computer. 10 violation of all standards within the department, and 10 Q. Okay. So, again, the question is, why isn't the 11 first thing you did to have somebody ask Abby what happened? 11 perhaps in violation of the --12 12 A. We chose the action because we thought this was Q. And, again, that's not responsive. 13 A. How is that not responsive? 13 appropriate. 14 Q. The question is, why wasn't Abby asked in the Q. And when did you receive Mr. Cauley's report? 14 15 15 course of this investigation what she had or had not done. A. I believe it was dated. I don't remember the 16 A. Because that matter was not the reason why she was 16 date. terminated, or why she was asked to resign. That matter 17 17 Q. I'm going to give you a copy of what's been marked 18 became no longer relevant. as Cauley Deposition Exhibit No. 1. It appears to be a letter to you dated August 20, 2004. I believe it's signed 19 Q. And it was not relevant because you choose to by Mr. Cauley. Is that the report that you were speaking 20 expend County funds to search through 2000 e-mails. And my 20 21 of? 21 question to you is, why was Abby never asked. 22 22 MR. LANE: Objection to form. A. There are --23 23 Q. Or are there other reports? MR. JOYAL: Objection to form. 24 MR. LANE: And asked and answered. 24 A. This is the report. However, there are handwritten notes on this. So this is not a true and MR. JOYAL: Relevance.

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

61 63 Q. What was Mr. Schenker's first knowledge of the accurate copy. It says Cauley's Depo. Exhibit No. 1. And I 1 2 believe that -pendency of this issue or any issue involving Ms. Conley, to 3 3 your knowledge? Q. Let me just switch, okay. And that's also marked 4 Cauley No. 1. Okay. And it didn't come to you marked that 4 A. That meeting. 5 5 way, did it? Q. Okay. He just came into the meeting on 6 A. Pardon? No. 6 September 10th? 7 Q. Okay. 7 A. No. There was one meeting which was -- it was --8 A. No, it did not. 8 I forget when it was scheduled. However, a meeting was 9 Q. All right. And it's dated August 20th. Is that scheduled with Mr. Schenker, myself, Peter Callan, I believe 10 the date day that you first saw it? 10 Deb Liebel, and Ann Bloxdorf. 11 A. I believe so, yes. 11 Q. When was that meeting? 12 Q. Did you review it with any other administration 12 A. Early September. I don't recall the date. 13 officials or employees? 13 Q. Okay. At what point was a decision made that 14 A. Mr. Cauley hand brought this to me, is my Ms. Conley's employment would be terminated? 14 15 recollection. So when you say other, do you mean other than 15 A. Prior to the meeting with Mr. Schenker. 16 the author? 16 Q. Okay. 17 Q. Yeah. 17 A. I don't recall. 18 18 A. I believe I shared it with the personnel director. Q. That gives us pretty much from the beginning of the world to September 10th. Could you narrow it down a 19 I believe I -- Mr. Callan. I believe that I also asked if 19 Ms. Liebel had reviewed this or had knowledge of its 20 little bit. 20 21 contents. 21 A. Between August 20th and September 10th. 22 22 Q. Okay. And she is noted as having received a copy, O. Who made that decision? 23 right? CC Debbie Liebel, at the end underneath Mr. Cauley's 23 A. I would say it was a collective decision. 24 signature. 24 Q. Okay. Who was ultimately responsible for that 25 decision? 25 A. Yes, that's correct. 62 64 MR. JOYAL: Objection to form. 1 Q. Did you discuss it with Ms. Bloxdorf, or did she 1 2 review it? 2 A. The termination is -- I would say there was a 3 A. I believe I may have briefed -- I believe that I 3 collective decision. I would say that it's the department's orally briefed Ms. Bloxdorf. I do not believe that she read 4 4 employee. 5 ít. 5 Q. So you can't tell me who was ultimately responsible for the decision. 6 Q. Okay. But Mr. Callan read the report and the 6 7 7 MR. LANE: Objection to form. attachments? 8 A. Yes. 8 A. I would say the department head. 9 9 Q. Okay. So the decision to terminate Abby was made Q. What about Rich Schenker? 10 by Debi Liebel. 10 A. I do not believe I provided Mr. Schenker a copy of 11 A. I would say that it was a decision we -- it was 11 this. However --Q. Do you know if he's subsequently seen this 12 quite clear that we could not tolerate an employee 12 13 performing in the manner in which Ms. Conley had. document? 14 A. You would have to ask him. 14 Q. Going into court and testifying the way she did; Q. I will. I just want to find out if you know. I'm 15 is that what you mean? 15 A. No. Rather, dispensing information to third 16 anxious. 16 17 A. Your anticipation will have to wait. 17 parties. 18 18 Q. So you didn't sit down and review this with Mr. Q. Okay. So it was Liebel's decision? 19 Schenker. 19 A. You know, if you would ask who made the decision, A. That's not true. You're asking me if he reviewed 20 we all went into a room, and a decision was made. And, 20 21 it. And my answer to that is no. However, I did brief him, 21 quite frankly, it was all supported -- it was a collective 22 22 yes. 23 Q. Who was the ranking official in the group of 23 Q. When was that? 24 24 people that made the decision? A. I would say shortly before the day Ms. Conley

25

I guess I was.

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

65 Q. Okay. Would it be fair to say that that decision time about Mrs. Conley, and that was the actual meeting of would not have been made without your agreement? September 10th. 2 3 3 MR. LANE: Objection to form. A. My recollection is that your client was -- final 4 A. Would you answer -- would you state the question day with the County was on a Friday. I believe we met with 5 again. If your question is if I disagreed with the 5 Mr. Schenker on the Thursday before that. 6 Q. The day before or week before? 7 7 Q. No, that's not the question. A. Day before. And it would have been -- that would 8 A. Okav. 8 have been the meeting at which I informed him of the 9 Q. The question is, would a decision to terminate contents of this document, and in a very generic form, and 10 Ms. Conley have gone forward if you had disagreed with it? 10 also the decision to terminate. 11 11 A. Probably not. Q. Okay. When was the option of terminating 12 Q. When was this September 10th meeting actually 12 Ms. Conley's employment first raised, to your knowledge? 13 13 planned? A. A meeting prior to that with Mr. Schenker. 14 14 A. I don't recall. However, I do know that it had to Q. Okay. So nobody had suggested terminating Abby's be rescheduled on at lease one occasion due to 15 employment until you met with Mr. Schenker? 16 Mr. Schenker's schedule. 16 A. No, no, no. At a meeting prior to that --17 Q. All right. So it had been planned. It doesn't 17 Q. What meeting? 18 really answer the question. 18 A. Let's be clear. I mean, there --19 19 A. Sometime subsequent to August 20th there was a Q. I'm trying to. meeting that was scheduled, which had to be rescheduled on 20 A. Of course. I asked Mike Cauley to prepare a 21 at least one occasion. But it took place, I believe, the 21 document outlining what he had found. He did that. We got 22 day before the -- your client's resignation. I'm not very 22 together. I would say "we" meaning Peter Callan, myself, 23 23 Deb Liebel, Mike Cauley. We discussed -- and Ann Bloxdorf. good with dates, so. 24 24 Q. So you don't remember how long before We discussed the nature of the contents. And a decision was September 10th this meeting was initially planned. 25 made. We decided to inform the County Executive of what we 66 68 1 had found and the basis of what we had -- what decision we 1 A. No. had made. Basically, we were informing Mr. Schenker of a 2 Q. When was Mr. Schenker first informed that such a 3 meeting would be held? heads-up. Mr. Schenker would not be involved in a matter of 4 this nature. A. I'm not sure he was ever informed that a meeting 5 would be held. Rather, the schedule was informed of a need 5 Q. And who in that meeting --6 A. What meeting? 6 to have a meeting, and that it involved a personnel matter. 7 Q. I thought you met with Mr. Schenker and briefed 7 Q. The one you just described with Ms. Liebel, 8 him on Mr. Cauley's report and reviewed the documents with Mr. Cauley, all those people, where the decision was made to 9 9 terminate Abby's employment. Who in that meeting was the him and got his concurrence in the decision. 10 A. That was at that meeting. 10 first person to mention termination as an option? 11 Q. That was before Ms. Conley showed up? 11 A. I don't recall that. However, what do you do when 12 A. No. We met with -- we met with Mr. Schenker 12 you have somebody who releases information of this nature to 13 before the termination date, before the date of -a third person. 14 14 Q. Right. Q. I'm not here to answer your questions, sir. A. And he never -- we didn't meet with -- it was at 15 15 Okav. that meeting. 16 Q. All right. So you don't recall who first raised 16 17 17 Q. And when was that? the idea of terminating Abby's employment. 18 A. Again, I'm not very good with dates, so. 18 A. No. 19 19 Q. Would you have a calendar? Would it be on your Q. Do you recall on September 10th that you and 20 Mr. Schenker made a visit to the Erie Times-News? 20 calendar? A. I had a calendar when I was at the County, which 21 A. Yes. 21 22 22 was run on my Outlook, and I don't know where it is. Q. What was your purpose of going up there? 23 23 A. Let's go back to -- well. Q. There's no hard copy of that? 24 24 Q. Let's not. Let's answer my question. A. No. 25 A. To have a conversation with the editors of the 25 Q. So there was -- you only met with Mr. Schenker one

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

		,	10 (Pages 69 to 72)
	69		71
1	paper.	1	Q. Was there any discussion of any involvement of the
2	Q. About what?	2	District Attorney in the Abby Conley matter?
3	A. My understanding was that we were going to talk	3	A. No.
4	about MTR.	4	Q. With the newspaper. Okay. Did you discuss the
5	Q. Okay. Who did you meet with up there?	5	personnel action with anybody from the newspaper?
6	A. Jim Dible and Pat Howard.	6	A. Yes.
7	Q. What time was that meeting?	7	Q. On September 10th?
8	A. 1:00 p.m.	8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And how long did you meet with Mr. Dible and	9	Q. Who did you discuss it with?
10	Mr. Howard?	10	A. Jim Dible and Pat Howard.
11	A. I don't recall.	11	Q. What did you tell them?
12	Q. Was it a lengthy meeting or was it a brief	12	A. Mr. Schenker began to talk about this issue. And
13	meeting?	13	then he said, well, John will be telling you more about it.
14	A. Relatively brief meeting.	14	Q. Okay. And what did you tell them?
15	Q. Okay. And what topics were discussed at that	15	A. I was surprised, so I didn't say much beyond what
16	meeting?	16	Mr. Schenker had already said.
17	A. Mr. Schenker began to talk about the fact that an	17	Q. Which was that there would be an employment
18	employment action was going to be taken against your client.	18	action.
19	Q. He brought the subject up?	19	A. Yes.
20	A. Yes.	20	Q. Not specifying what it was.
21	Q. Do you know why?	21	A. That's correct.
22	A. You have to ask him.	22	(Onorato Deposition Exhibit 1 marked for
23	Q. Okay. Did he tell the newspaper that Ms. Conley	23	identification.)
24	was going to be terminated?	24	Q. I'm showing you what we've marked as Onorato
25	A. I don't believe he used that term.	25	Deposition Exhibit No. 1, which is a reprint of an article
	70		72
1	Q. Fired.	1	published September 12th, 2004 in the Erie Times-News. Do
1 2	A. I don't believe he used that term.	2	you recognize that as such?
3	Q. Was there any discussion by either you or	3	A. I'm sorry, I was could you repeat the question.
4	Mr. Schenker with Ed Palatella?	4	I was reading.
5	A. No.	5	Q. Do you recognize this as a copy of an article that
6	Q. Regarding Abby Conley on September 10th.	6	appeared in the newspaper?
7	A. No.	7	A. If you say it is, yes.
8	Q. In what context did that topic arise?	8	Q. Okay. The article says that you acknowledged that
9	A. What topic?	9	Mr. Schenker told the Erie Times-News that he had planned to
10	Q. The topic of employment action against Ms. Conley.	10	terminate Conley from her position.
11	How did that topic come up?	11	A. Onorato said he what was what?
12	A. Mr. Schenker was concerned because Mr. Palatella	12	Q. Fifth paragraph. Or sixth paragraph, I'm sorry.
13	had been in the courtroom during the case involving Attorney	13	A. Go ahead. What was it?
14	Villella. And he wanted the paper to know that that was not	14	Q. Okay. Did you tell Mr. Palattella that
15	the reason for the termination. Or the employment action, I	15	Mr. Schenker said had told the Erie Times-News that he
16	should say.	16	planned to terminate Ms. Conley?
17	Q. So he brought it up without anybody asking him?	17	A. Did I say that Schenker said?
18	A. Right.	18	Q. Right. You were quoted as saying, "Onorato said
19	Q. Okay. Had there been any discussion of the Office	19	he and Schenker are comfortable with the decision. He said
20	of Children and Youth prior to that?	20	Conley ultimately resigned, though he acknowledged Schenker
21	A. No.	21	told the Erie Times-News that Schenker had planned to
22	Q. Were there any other topics discussed beside MTR	22	terminate Conley from her position." Is that an accurate
23	and Abby Conley?	23	quote from you, or not?
24	A. I may have talked about the update regarding the	24	MR. LANE: I'm going to object.
		3	
25	prison cells.	25	MR. JOYAL: Object to form because it's not a

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

Γ		1	15 (1 dgC3 75 to 70)
	73	TARGET CO.	75
1	quote.	1	informed of her right to receive or to have a union
2	Q. Does that accurately state what you told Mr.	2	member steward participate.
3	Palattella?	3	Q. Okay. When did you arrive at this meeting, on
4	A. Prior to seeing this, I did not even remember	4	September 10th?
5	speaking with Palattella.	5	A. I believe upon my return from the newspaper, I
6	Q. Is that accurate, or not?	6	spoke with Mr. Callan.
7	A. I don't recall.	7	Q. And then where did this meeting take place?
8	Q. Do you recall whether or not you read this article	8	A. Mr. Callan's office.
9	at about the time it was published?	9	Q. So you went from the newspaper to Mr. Calian's
10	A. Yes, I do recall reading the article.	10	office.
11	Q. Did you object to the accuracy of the article at	11	A. That's correct.
12	that time?	12	Q. Who else was there when you got there?
13	A. No.	13	A. Myself and Mr. Callan.
14	Q. Did you contact the newspaper and tell them that	14	Q. Did anybody else come?
15	your views were inaccurately quoted or inaccurately stated	15	A. Yes.
16	in that article?	16	Q. Who came next?
17	A. No. I remember briefly speaking with Ed	17	A. Ms. Liebel.
18	Palattella perhaps on the 11th.	18	Q. All right. And about what time did Ms. Liebel get
19	Q. Okay. Do you recall who, if anyone, used the term	19	there, if you recall?
20	"whistleblower" in that conversation of September 10th with	20	A. Perhaps between 2:30 and 3:00.
21	Mr. Dible and Mr. Howard?	21	Q. Okay. And who did anybody else come?
22	A. Yes.	22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Who?	23	Q. Who else?
24	A. Mr. Schenker.	24	A. Ms. Conley.
25	Q. All right. And do you recall exactly what	25	Q. Okay. So the meeting was you, Callan, Liebel, and
	74	Canada (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	76
1	Mr. Schenker said?	1	Abby?
2	A. That she is not.	2	A. That's correct.
3	O. She is not a whistleblower?	3	Q. Okay. Was Mr. Schenker present for this meeting
4	A. Mr. Schenker said we are taking my recollection	4	at all?
5	is that Mr. Schenker wanted to inform the paper of this	5	A. No.
6	because he did not want it characterized as a whistleblower	6	(Recess held from 12:35 p.m. to 12:47 p.m.)
7	being let go. And he said as much in the meeting.	7	Q. When we took a break, we were talking about the
8	Q. Okay. He said, I don't want you to think this is	8	meeting of September 10th. And when we left off, you,
9	because she's a whistleblower.	9	Mr. Callan and Ms. Liebel were in Mr. Callan's office. Who
10	A. Right. But, rather, it's for some other reason	10	was the next person to arrive; Ms. Conley?
11	which we cannot tell you about. This some other reason	11	A. That's correct.
12	being the prognostic detention order which she related to	12	Q. Okay. Did Ms. Bloxdorf attend this meeting at
13	Deanna Cosby.	13	all?
14	Q. As County Solicitor you're familiar with the terms	14	A. No.
15	of the collective bargaining agreement governing Office of	15	Q. So it was just the four of you?
16	Children and Youth employees, correct?	16	A. And a representative of the union.
17	A. Yes.	17	Q. When did the representative of the union arrive?
18	Q. And did you make efforts to ensure that the	18	A. Shortly after the beginning of the meeting.
19	confrontation that occurred on September 10th with	19	Q. Who notified Ms. Conley to come to the meeting, if
20	Ms. Conley occurred in compliance with the terms of that	20	you know?
21	agreement?	21	A. I don't know.
22	MR. JOYAL: I object to the form, the word	22	Q. Who instructed that Ms. Conley be notified of the
23	"confrontation".	23	meeting? Who said, get Abby?
24	MR. LANE: I join in that.	24	A. I don't know.
25	A. I remember Peter Callan making sure that Abby was	25	Q. Was there any discussion of advising Ms. Conley to

20 (Pages 77 to 80) 77 have a union representative when she arrived at the meeting? 1 of what a prognostic detention order was and why it was so 2 important what we had found, and why it was such an 3 Before she arrived at the meeting. 3 egregious violation of the confidentiality of the 4 4 department. A. No. 5 5 Q. Was she told that this was a personnel meeting, Q. All right. Did you explain to the union 6 and that she was entitled to union representation before she 6 representative that that was not what you were looking for 7 arrived? 7 when you began to search Ms. Conley's e-mails? 8 A. I don't know what she was told, because I was not 8 A. I don't believe I mentioned anything other than we 9 9 the one who told her. have reviewed Ms. Conley's e-mails and found this. I do not 10 10 believe I informed the union representative -- there was no Q. Okay. 11 A. Nor was I the one -- I don't know what she was 11 discussion as to why the e-mails were looked at. 12 Q. Okay. So you didn't tell the union rep that the 12 told 13 e-mails review arose out of Ms. Conley's July 28th 13 Q. All right. And was anything discussed with testimony? 14 14 Ms. Conley before the union representative arrived? A. Introductions were made. This was the first time 15 A. No. 15 in which I had met Ms. Conley. I believe it may have well 16 Q. Did Ms. Conley talk about the July 28th testimony 16 17 been the first time Mr. Callan met Ms. Conley. Mr. Callan as being a motivation for her termination? 17 sat behind his desk, informed Ms. Conley that we are here to 18 A. It was clear that despite Ms. Liebel, Mr. Callan 18 discuss an employment matter, and that she had a right to a 19 and -- trying to direct her attention to the release --19 20 20 union representative. I do not recall anything of a Q. The question is, sir. I'm sorry, I didn't mean to 21 substantive nature being discussed prior to the union 21 cut you off. But you're really making this a lot longer 22 than it has to be. The question is, did Abby bring up the 22 representative being there. 23 Q. Was the Item 1 in Mr. Cauley's memo the e-mail 23 July 28th testimony in this meeting? to -- involving VW discussed prior to the union 24 A. Yes. 24 25 25 representative arriving? Q. Okay. In what context did she raise that? 80 78 A. She was informed by first Ms. Liebel, then 1 A. I would consider that a substantive matter, and I 1 2 do not recall that being discussed prior to the arrival of 2 Mr. Callan and myself, that she had released information to third parties. She kept talking about the testimony, not 3 the union representative. 4 4 Q. Did anybody else discuss that matter with her acknowledging, understanding or recalling the e-mail to 5 5 Deanna Cosby. And we kept trying to get her to focus on the before the union rep got there? 6 A. I don't recall it being discussed. matter which was the cause of her termination, which was 7 7 that she released the information to Deanna Cosby regarding Q. Was the union representative then present for all 8 the prognostic detention order. 8 substantive discussions that occurred? 9 Q. Okay. Did Ms. Conley state that she was being 9 A. That's my understanding. My recollection. 10 terminated as a result of her July 28th testimony? 10 Q. Was the issue of this e-mail about this detention 11 A. Pardon? 11 order discussed at any point during this meeting? 12 Q. Did she make that statement, that the termination 12 A. Yes. 13 was motivated by her July 28th testimony? 13 Q. Who brought that up? 14 A. She -- I don't know what she -- I don't understand 14 A. I would say each of us in our own turn. 15 the question. 15 Q. So all three of you discussed that with Abby and 16 the union rep. 16 Q. Did she say, you guys are firing me because I testified on July 28th, not because of any e-mail? Did she 17 A. I would say that Ms. Liebel initially began to 17 tell you that? 18 18 discuss the matter. Mr. Callan -- Ms. Conley tried to talk 19 about other things. Mr. Callan tried to talk about the 19 A. She tried to couch our rationale for the 20 employment action in those terms. We, however, maintained matter. Ms. Conley tried to talk about other things. And 21 then I brought up this issue. 21 that it was due to her --Q. Okay. And you brought that up in the presence of 22 Q. I know what you maintain. I'm asking --22 23 MR. LANE: Let him answer. Don't interrupt. I 23 the union representative. 24 let you get away with it like five times now. 24 A. Yes. In fact, I remember specifically talking 25 MR. McNAIR: I'm tired of listening -directly to the union representative, explaining the nature

21 (Pages 81 to 84)

	The second section of the second seco	,	21 (Pages 81 to 84)
	81		83
1	This deposition is taking four times as long as it	1	was mentioned in this meeting?
2	should.	2	A. I wouldn't suggest that I said that there were
3	MR. LANE: Don't ask the questions.	3	possible criminal sanctions. I just said that we could
4	MR. McNAIR: Because this guy won't answer a	4	discuss this matter in front of the District Attorney.
5	question without making some speech reiterating	5	Q. What did you expect her to think when you said
6	your defense. By the way, I know what your	6	we'll discuss it with the District Attorney?
7	defense is.	7	A. I believe that she was trying to use the District
8	MR. LANE: Don't ask the question if you don't	8	Attorney to say that she thought there was wrongdoing within
9	want an answer.	9	our department. And I said, well, we could all be talking
10	MR. McNAIR: I want an answer to the question. I	10	to the District Attorney, here's the issue, the fact is you
11	don't want a speech that's irrelevant to the	11	released information to a third party regarding the
12	question.	12	detention of an infant that was to be born, this is
13	MR. LANE: Just don't interrupt him.	13	violation of the confidentiality of this of this
14	Q. Did you have a copy of the transcript of that July	14	organization.
15	28th hearing at the meeting?	15	Q. Which statute did that violate? Was there some
16	MR. JOYAL: Mark, let him finish the question that	16	criminal statute that was violated, in your opinion, or to
17	he wanted to in his answer.	17	your knowledge?
18	A. What was your question?	18	A. There are various statutes which affect
19	MR. LANE: I don't even remember what it was.	19	confidentiality.
20	Q. Did you have a copy of transcript of the July 28th	20	Q. Which one applied to that particular
21	hearing at that meeting in Mr. Callan's office on	21	communication?
22	September 10th?	22	A. I know that this is information which was to be
23	A. No.	23	it was represented to me by attorneys that worked for the
24	Q. Did Ms. Conley tell you in the course of this	24	department, that this is information which should be
25	meeting that Judge Kelly had opined that the actions of OCY	25	confidential.
	82		84
1	in altering a court summary after she had signed off on it	1	Q. By statute?
2	could constitute obstruction of justice and lead to criminal	2	A. It was represented to me by the attorneys that
3	penalties?	3	this is information which should be confidential.
4	MR. LANE: Objection to form.	4	Q. Did the attorneys represent that there was a
5	A. No.	5	statutory violation involved in this e-mail?
6	Q. She never brought up obstruction of justice?	6	A. I don't recall if they specifically said it was a
7	A. She mentioned that she had reported the matter to	7	statute. I know that there's the Child Protective
8	the District Attorney. She had mentioned the District	8	Services I forget the actual title of the statutes.
9	Attorney's County detective. And she had referred a matter	9	Q. Did anybody ever tell you that the conduct in
10	to Mr. Dombrowski. And that it related her her	10	sending that e-mail to Deanna Cosby was a violation of any
11	conversation indicated there was the matter involving	11	particular statute, or identify any statute?
12	Attorney Villella.	12	A. No one said statute, section so-and-so dot
13	O Olsan Billiahan 1 in 111 in 111	13	so-and-so, or anything of that nature.
1 4 4	Q. Okay. Did she so you don't recall her saying	1	,
14	that Judge Kelly thought it was obstruction of justice, or	14	Q. Did anybody say law?
15	that Judge Kelly thought it was obstruction of justice, or words to that effect.	14 15	Q. Did anybody say law? A. Yes.
15 16	that Judge Kelly thought it was obstruction of justice, or words to that effect. A. No.	14 15 16	Q. Did anybody say law? A. Yes. Q. It violates the CPSL?
15 16 17	that Judge Kelly thought it was obstruction of justice, or words to that effect. A. No. Q. Did you discuss with Ms. Conley that the District	14 15 16 17	Q. Did anybody say law?A. Yes.Q. It violates the CPSL?A. Yes.
15 16 17 18	that Judge Kelly thought it was obstruction of justice, or words to that effect. A. No. Q. Did you discuss with Ms. Conley that the District Attorney might be involved or become involved in the matter	14 15 16 17 18	Q. Did anybody say law?A. Yes.Q. It violates the CPSL?A. Yes.Q. You were told it violated the CPSL.
15 16 17 18 19	that Judge Kelly thought it was obstruction of justice, or words to that effect. A. No. Q. Did you discuss with Ms. Conley that the District Attorney might be involved or become involved in the matter involving the e-mail to Deanna Cosby?	14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Did anybody say law?A. Yes.Q. It violates the CPSL?A. Yes.Q. You were told it violated the CPSL.A. Yes.
15 16 17 18 19 20	that Judge Kelly thought it was obstruction of justice, or words to that effect. A. No. Q. Did you discuss with Ms. Conley that the District Attorney might be involved or become involved in the matter involving the e-mail to Deanna Cosby? A. I mentioned when Abby Conley mentioned that she	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Did anybody say law?A. Yes.Q. It violates the CPSL?A. Yes.Q. You were told it violated the CPSL.A. Yes.Q. By who?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that Judge Kelly thought it was obstruction of justice, or words to that effect. A. No. Q. Did you discuss with Ms. Conley that the District Attorney might be involved or become involved in the matter involving the e-mail to Deanna Cosby? A. I mentioned when Abby Conley mentioned that she had spoken to the District Attorney, I said, well, we could	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Did anybody say law? A. Yes. Q. It violates the CPSL? A. Yes. Q. You were told it violated the CPSL. A. Yes. Q. By who? A. Attorney Michael Cauley.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that Judge Kelly thought it was obstruction of justice, or words to that effect. A. No. Q. Did you discuss with Ms. Conley that the District Attorney might be involved or become involved in the matter involving the e-mail to Deanna Cosby? A. I mentioned when Abby Conley mentioned that she had spoken to the District Attorney, I said, well, we could also be speaking to the District Attorney, but we haven't	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Did anybody say law? A. Yes. Q. It violates the CPSL? A. Yes. Q. You were told it violated the CPSL. A. Yes. Q. By who? A. Attorney Michael Cauley. Q. He said that disclosure of a so-called prognostic
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that Judge Kelly thought it was obstruction of justice, or words to that effect. A. No. Q. Did you discuss with Ms. Conley that the District Attorney might be involved or become involved in the matter involving the e-mail to Deanna Cosby? A. I mentioned when Abby Conley mentioned that she had spoken to the District Attorney, I said, well, we could also be speaking to the District Attorney, but we haven't we could resolve this matter here.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Did anybody say law? A. Yes. Q. It violates the CPSL? A. Yes. Q. You were told it violated the CPSL. A. Yes. Q. By who? A. Attorney Michael Cauley. Q. He said that disclosure of a so-called prognostic detention order was a violation of the Child Protective
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that Judge Kelly thought it was obstruction of justice, or words to that effect. A. No. Q. Did you discuss with Ms. Conley that the District Attorney might be involved or become involved in the matter involving the e-mail to Deanna Cosby? A. I mentioned when Abby Conley mentioned that she had spoken to the District Attorney, I said, well, we could also be speaking to the District Attorney, but we haven't	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Did anybody say law? A. Yes. Q. It violates the CPSL? A. Yes. Q. You were told it violated the CPSL. A. Yes. Q. By who? A. Attorney Michael Cauley. Q. He said that disclosure of a so-called prognostic

22 (Pages 85 to 88)

			22 (rayes 03 to 00)
	85	-0000 18	87
1	Q. And did Mr. Cauley give you an opinion that there	1	question. Don't interrupt him. Just finish your
2	would be criminal sanctions associated with such a	2	answer. It wasn't going to be that much longer.
3	disclosure?	3	A. It wasn't going to be. It's just intolerable.
4	A. Not that I recall. Again, the District Attorney's	4	Q. I understand that. It's intolerable.
5	office was mentioned because your client was so far removed	5	MR. LANE: Stop interrupting him.
6	from the reality of what was going on, that she was bringing	6	MR. McNAIR: I'm asking about the policy. I'm not
7	up the District Attorney. And I said, look, you know, we	7	asking about whether it's tolerable or
8	can all be before the District Attorney, but the issue is	8	intolerable.
9	this.	9	MR. LANE: Ask that as your next question.
10	Q. Okay. I understand that. The only reason I'm	10	MR. McNAIR: You're wasting my time here. Don't
11	asking all these questions is because one of your lawyers	11	appreciate it.
12	has been spouting for months that this is a criminal	12	MR. LANE: You're wasting my time right now. And
13	violation, and I'm still trying to figure out what criminal	13	you keep it up, and this thing is going to be
14	law was violated. And I think the answer to that question	14	over. Just go ahead and answer the question the
15	is you don't know what specific criminal law was violated,	15	way you wanted to answer it, and then let him ask
16	if any.	16	his next question.
17	A. If this is a violation of the Child Protective	17	MR. McNAIR: Why don't you answer the question in
18	Services Law, I believe that there are criminal violations	18	a manner that's responsive to the question. Can
19	that are attended to that.	19	we do that.
20	Q. Okay.	20	MR. LANE: Absolutely. He is being responsive.
21	A. It's my understanding that I mean, I remember	21	Do you remember what you were going to say now?
22	having to look at that act for a different matter, and ${f I}$	22	MR. McNAIR: I didn't ask about tolerability. I
23	knew the violations of that.	23	asked him what policy was violated.
24	Q. Okay. So that's the criminal provision that was	24	MR. LANE: Do you remember what you were going to
25	violated, the one in the CPSL?	25	say now?
	86	NO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	88
1	MR. LANE: Objection. Lack of foundation.	1	THE WITNESS: No, actually, I don't.
2	MR. McNAIR: His testimony.	2	MR. LANE: You forget what you were going to say?
3	MR. LANE: He told you that somebody else told	3	THE WITNESS: Yes.
4	him. He didn't say he looked at it to determine	4	MR. LANE: You successfully obstructed his
5	whether it whether this particular act was a	5	testimony. Way to go.
6	violation of the law. But you can tell him again	6	MR. McNAIR: Thank you.
7	whether you have an understanding or not.	7	BY MR. McNAIR:
8	 It's clear to me that your client violated the 	8	Q. Okay. So was this violation of policy in addition
9	confidentiality rules under which the department operates.	9	to the criminal violation?
10	Q. And where are those rules set forth? What rule?	10	A. Listen. Your client told a third party the
11	Where would I look if I wanted to see what the rule was?	11	nature
12	A. The department requires that its employees keep	12	Q. This is not responsive. I'm aware of that.
13	matters involving the cases it handles confidential. And	13	A. The prognostic detention order was in place. With
14	those are I'm sure that those are I do not work for	14	intent that it get back to the mother. The County could not
15	the department. And I'm not familiar with the operations in	15	tolerate that of its employees.
16	terms of specific policies. However, I do know that to be	16	Q. Okay. I move to strike that as not responsive to
17	the case. It would be intolerable for the County to have	17	the question. I'm asking you about policy.
18	employees telling third parties	18	MR. JOYAL: The two of you don't have a brain
19	Q. In addition to being a criminal violation I	19 20	between you. A. Go ahead. What about it?
20	know what you're going to say. MR. LANE: Hang on. Just let him	21	MR. McNAIR: Mr. Joyal, did you just tell
22	A. How do you know what I'm going to say?	22	Mr. Angelone that we don't have a brain between
23	Q. Because you've said it about 56 times. I don't	23	us?
24	need to have you say it again.	24	MR. JOYAL: Absolutely, Mr. McNair. You've been
25	MR. LANE: You do, because he's answering a	25	going with this deposition for almost three hours,

23 (Pages 89 to 92)

			23 (Pages 89 to 92)
	89		91
1	and still being disrespectful to the witnesses and	1	your client's actions by informing a third party of the
2	everyone else around. Okay. So try showing some	2	existence of a prenatal detention order with intent that the
3	respect to somebody.	3	mother be informed of that is, indeed, a violation of this
4	MR. McNAIR: I don't think I'm going to start with	4	policy.
5	you.	5	Q. Okay. And can you designate which part of that
6	MR. ANGELONE: Thanks for lumping me in there too.	6	policy that violates.
7	MR. JOYAL: You're helping him.	7	A. Let me read the policy, then, for the record, and
8	MR. ANGELONE: Is that right?	8	I believe it will speak for itself. "Confidentiality of
9	BY MR. McNAIR:	9	client information is a most important requirement to be
10	Q. And I'm asking you if you know what policy was	10	followed by all agency staff. Staff members must maintain
11	violated.	11	written confidentiality under agency policy, Department of
12	A. The County's policies.	12	Public Welfare Regulations and the Child Protective Services
13	Q. Which policy? What is the name of the policy?	13	Law, Act 124.
14	A. I'm not sure the policies have a name. And I'm	14	Our clients have the right to expect that
15	sure that if you've asked for them, the County has supplied	15	information about them will be kept in strict confidence.
16	you the documentation.	16	Due to the importance of maintaining confidentiality and to
17	MR. JOYAL: Give him the policies, Mark.	17	protect staff from liability, staff members are to adhere to
18	A. Do you have a document you can share with me?	18	the following practices. Whenever specific questions arise,
19	Q. I don't know.	19	consult with your supervisor.
20	A. You don't know if you have a document?	20	No. 1. Assure that all appropriate release forms
21	Q. I'm trying to find out what document you're	21	are signed prior to releasing client information.
22	referring to. That's why I'm asking you.	22	No. 2. Information from other agencies or
23	MR. McNAIR: Let the record reflect that Mr. Lane	23	professionals should be released only with the approval of
24	is coaching the witness during the deposition by	24 25	the agency or professional involved in the proper release
25	handing him a document.	25	forms.
	90		92
1	MR. LANE: You lied to him by saying you didn't	1	No. 3. The Child Protective Services, Act 124 law
2	know what he was referring to.	2	and regulations have specific requirements which staff must
3	MR. McNAIR: I don't know what he's referring to.	3	review prior to the release of information for those cases.
4	MR. LANE: That's nonsense. You don't know the	4	No. 4. All client information is to be secured at
5	policy is in the letter that's in front of you	5	the end of each workday.
6	right now. And if you turn that page, it's	6	No. 5. Information discussed within the agency is
7	sitting right behind there. You're lying to me,	7	to be handled in the most discrete manner possible, and
8	you're lying to the witness. So stop lying.	8	discussion of any case is to be for professional purposes
9	Don't tell the witness you don't know what policy	9	only.
10	exists on confidentiality.	10	No. 6. A staff member who has knowledge that a
11	MR. McNAIR: I didn't tell him	11	friend or relative is involved with the agency is to have no
12	MR. LANE: Is it sitting right in front of you?	12	contact with the case record. Unless the staff member is
13	MR. McNAIR: You're misstating what I said.	13	reporting information or officially involved, the case is
14	MR. LANE: Is it sitting right in front of you,	14	not to be discussed with staff handling the case. If this
15	Mr. McNair? Don't tell the witness you don't know	15 16	causes a conflict, discuss this with your supervisor. No. 7. Information on clients is confidential and
16	what it is when it's in front of your nose and	17	is not to be discussed with friends or others outside the
17	you're touching it with your fingers right now.	18	agency."
18	MR. McNAIR: Are you done? MR. LANE: Now I am.	19	That is the confidentiality policy that I believe
19 20	BY MR. McNAIR:	20	was in effect when your client informed a third party of the
21	Q. Okay. Is this the policy that you're referring	21	existence of a prenatal detention order with intent that it
22	to?	22	go back to the mother.
23	A. Attached to Michael Cauley's memo to me of August	23	Q. Okay.
24	the 20th are a series of policies. One of which is the	24	A. Was that responsive?
25	policy on confidentiality. It was represented to me that	25	Q. No. You would agree with me, she didn't violate
1 20	pener of confidentiality. It that represented to the time	1	2

Conley v. County of Erie, et al.

John Onorato

24 (Pages 93 to 96)

93 95 Paragraph 1. 1 A. That's correct. 2 A. "All appropriate release forms are signed prior to 2 Q. Okay. And information on clients is confidential releasing client information." She released information 3 and is not to be discussed with friends or others outside about the client -- about -the agency. And is it your opinion that that provision was 5 5 Q. What release form was not signed? violated? A. In this case the client is the yet-to-be-born 6 A. I don't know if there -- I don't know whether a 6 releases form was or was not signed. But I do believe child, so I believe that this could be construed as a 8 that --8 violation of this policy. A child was to be born of someone 9 whose children have been taken from her. The nature of this Q. So you can't tell me that she violated that 10 provision. 10 order was to protect that child. I believe that the child 11 A. I cannot say whether or not she adhered to that --11 could well have been considered a client of the department. 12 Q. Information from other agencies or professionals. 12 Q. In his initial meeting with you, did Mr. Cauley 13 Did she release information from any other agency or 13 bring up the allegation that's set forth in Item No. 5 of 14 professional? 14 his letter to you of August 20th where he states the 15 A. I believe that it was an order of court which was employee -- "As you are aware, the employee has made 16 released. 16 baseless and untrue allocations [sic] to the Department of 17 Q. Is that another agency? 17 Public Welfare against caseworker PW." 18 A. I believe that the courts are a separate body of 18 A. I'm sorry. What was your question? 19 the government, and that that perhaps could be construed as 19 Q. My question was, was that discussed with you at 20 a separate agency, yes. 20 your initial meeting with Mr. Cauley where you decided to go 21 Q. Court orders are public, aren't they? 21 forward with the e-mail snoop? 22 A. I do not know whether this was a public order or 22 MR. LANE: Objection to form. 23 23 A. Again, I would not characterize it as a snoop of not. 24 Q. Are they in the Constitution of Pennsylvania? 24 e-mail, but, rather, a review --Q. When you decided to go through with the e-mail 25 A. There are times when court orders are under seal. 25 94 96 I'm not sure whether this order was under seal or not. 1 review. 2 Q. I can tell you, it wasn't. Okay. So you consider 2 A. 3 the Court of Common Pleas another agency that provided 3 Q. Was that discussed, in that initial meeting? information that shouldn't have been disclosed. 4 Mr. Cauley mentioned to me its occurrence. A. I do not know whether her actions violated number 5 However, he suggested that I speak with Attorney Allgeier 6 two or not. 6 for more information about that. Q. Okay. And I think we've already established that 7 Q. Did you ever speak to Ms. Allgeier? 8 you can't identify the provision of the Child Protective 8 A. Yes. 9 9 Services Law that was violated. Q. And what did she tell you? 10 10 A. Perhaps -- will you provide me the law, and I'll A. I don't recall because, quite frankly -- I don't 11 read it into the record, and then we can have a discussion 11 recall much, expect that allegations were made by 12 about that as well. 12 Ms. Conley, which were not supported by an investigation by 13 Q. I'm just asking you if, as you sit there, you can 13 the state. I was here when the individual from the state 14 identify the provision. 14 testified, and I believe her testimony when she called Ms. W 15 A. As I sit here, I do not have the provisions in 15 demonic and what-have-you. You are probably fully aware of 16 16 front of me, so I cannot. 17 Q. Thank you. Okay. And that -- No. 6. You would 17 Q. Okay. Did that allegation have any impact or agree that Abby wasn't a relative or friend that was 18 carry any weight in the decision to take action against 18 19 involved in this particular case. 19 Ms. Conley? 20 20 A. She may well have been a friend of individuals A. No. Ms. Conley had done the most --21 21 involved in this case. I do not know. Q. I just asked -- you've answered the question. 22 Q. So you don't know. 22 Thank you. Now, if I understand correctly, this e-mail 23 23 review that was undertaken in Ms. Conley's case was the only A. I don't know whether --24 Q. You would be speculating if you said she might be, 24 time that ever happened during the period of time you were 25 Erie County Solicitor. right?

Conley v. County of Erie, et al.

John Onorato

25 (Pages 97 to 100)

97 1 A. The only time of which I was aware, yes. Q. Okay. Despite the fact it's only signed by Debra 2 Q. Okay. It might have happened other times and you 2 Liebel and Peter Callan. 3 wouldn't know about it. 3 A. Yes. 4 A. If it happened other times and which I didn't know 4 Was Ms. Conley told what would be the outcome if 5 5 she did not sign the resignation? about it, then I didn't know about it, and it would have A. I told her that we were progressing in steps. 6 6 happened, so. 7 Q. Okay. So it wasn't something that needed your 7 This was the first option that she had, to resign. In which case she would -- we would not contest unemployment 8 approval to do that. compensation. And we would also, if I recall correctly, 9 MR. LANE: Objection to form. 9 10 A. There was no policy. We talked about the policy 10 promise that we would only confirm dates of employment with the County. We would not give negative feedback when 11 under which e-mail reviews were conducted. There was only 11 12 requested for potential prior employers. 12 one, to my knowledge, which ever was conducted. It was conducted, I would believe -- I recall it was at my 13 Q. Okay. Did you tell her that if she didn't resign, 13 her employment would be terminated anyway? 14 14 suggestion or in concurrence. 15 Q. Okay. But, still, it's the only one that you're 15 A. If I didn't say that, I certainly implied it. 16 aware of. 16 That, certainly, she was not going to leave that day as a 17 17 member of County government, employee of County government. A. That is correct. Q. Okay. So you would agree with me that she knew 18 Q. Did you ask Ms. Conley to resign her employment on 18 that if she didn't sign this, that her employment was going 19 September 10th? 19 20 20 to be terminated. A. Yes, I did. 21 Q. Why did you ask her to do that, instead of just 21 A. I believe that -- yes. 22 Q. Okay. Now, I think we discussed that part of your 22 terminating her? A. We actually wanted to be kind to Ms. Conley. In 23 job was to defend civil service appeals. 23 24 A. That's correct. 24 retrospect, we should have just terminated her. However, 25 Q. And you're aware Ms. Conley filed a civil service 25 the agreement was if she were to resign, we would not, among 100 98 appeal in this case. 1 other things, contest the unemployment compensation. 1 2 Q. Okay. 2 A. That's correct. 3 A. And when she agreed to this, I went to my office, 3 Q. And what was the reason that you chose to have an outside law firm handle that rather than handling it drafted, rather hurriedly, a document which memorialized 5 this. Returned. Asked her to execute. We noticed that 5 in-house? there was a typo. I believe I had spelled Ms. Conley's name 6 A. Because I believe, due to my nature and 7 with two Ns, or maybe it was two Ls, I've forgotten which. 7 involvement in this termination, that I would be a witness. And that both myself and by extension my assistant 8 I amended it. Returned. 9 9 solicitors would be tainted. Therefore, I asked --(Onorato Deposition Exhibit 2 marked for 10 10 therefore, I sought outside representation of the County in identification.) Q. And we've given you what's been marked as Onorato this matter. 11 11 Deposition Exhibit 2. Is that the letter that you just 12 Q. Okay. And in the dozen or so civil service cases 12 referred to? 13 that you handled -- you said there were about a dozen? 13 14 14 A. Actually, I thought about it. There may have A. Yes. 15 15 been -- I can only recall six, now that we mention it. Q. Okay. Down at the bottom is a paragraph that O. Half dozen cases that you handled. How much time 16 said, "We hereby accept your voluntary resignation and the 16 17 terms thereof. And on behalf of County, I/we promise that 17 would you typically spend preparing for the hearing? 18 A. It depended upon the case. we will not disclose, disseminate, publicize, comment or 18 speculate on the nature or cause of your termination." Is 19 Q. What was the range, in your experience? 20 A. I don't recall. 20 that language that you put in there? Q. Was it customary for you to spend 286.4 hours 21 A. Yes. 21 22 Q. Did you take that as an undertaking on the part of 22 preparing for a civil service hearing? 23 A. There was a civil service audit that was conducted the entire County, that the County would not speculate or comment on the reasons for Ms. Conley's termination? 24 of the County in which I prepared a considerable amount of 24 25 25 time.

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

			26 (Pages 101 to 104)
	101		103
1	Q. I said civil service hearing.	1	labor contract negotiations and was a former assistant
2	A. Okay.	2	solicitor for the County of Erie. He is a partner with the
3	Q. Would you typically spend that amount of time	3	MacDonald Illig firm.
4	preparing for a civil service hearing?	4	Q. So that's how you chose the MacDonald Illig firm,
5	A. This audit was a hearing.	5	or you chose Roger Taft?
6	Q. I'm not asking about an audit. I'm asking about a	6	A. To me, there was no distinction.
7	hearing filed by an employee against whom action had been	7	Q. Okay. Did Taft represent to you that he had
8	taken.	8	experience in doing civil service appeals?
9	A. Okay.	9	MR. LANE: Objection, relevance.
10	Q. I am not asking about an audit. That's got	10	A. I don't recall.
11	nothing to do with it.	11	Q. Okay. Do you recall receiving a bill from the
12	A. Well, you said hearing, and an audit is a hearing	12	MacDonald Illig firm for \$56,000 that was charged for
13	of type.	13	preparing for the civil service hearing?
14	Q. I tried to clarify my question.	14	A. Yes. I recall receiving the bill.
15	A. I was trying to be responsive.	15	Q. Okay. Did you review that bill?
16	Q. I'm sure you were.	16	A. Yes.
17	A. What was your question?	17	Q. Okay. And did the expenditures of time on that
18	Q. Would you typically spend 286.4 hours preparing	18	bill and the activities undertaken to you appear to be
19	for a civil service appeal filed by an employee against whom	19	reasonable and within the scope of the retainer?
20	an employment action had been taken?	20	A. Yes.
21	A. Because I was the County Solicitor and more	21	Q. Do you recall that that payment became public
22	familiar with the civil service code, there are economies of	22	knowledge in an article published in the newspaper on
23	scale of me preparing for those type of hearings. If you	23	January 17th, 2005?
24	only do one intermittently, it may take it may take more	24	A. Yes.
25	hours in which to prepare.	25	Q. Do you recall discussing that bill with
	102		104
1	Q. I'm sure that's true. But the question was, is	1	Mr. Palattella?
2	that the amount of time that you would typically spend.	2	A. If he was the reporter. Do you have a copy of the
3	A. I don't recall how many hours I would spend. As	3	article?
4	the County Solicitor I did not keep time slips. I was a	4	Q. Yes, I do.
5	salaried employee.	5	(Onorato Deposition Exhibit 3 marked for
6	Q. Was there	6	identification.)
7	A. I would spend as much time as required for me to	7	Q. You've been given a copy of what's been marked as
8	be acquainted with the case, understand the testimony of	8	Onorato Deposition Exhibit No. 3, which is a reprint of an
9	witnesses, familiar with the exhibits, understand the law,	9	article of January 17, 2005 from the Erie Times-News. Do
10	and present the County's position in court.	10	you recall that article being in the newspaper?
11	Q. Okay. And would that normally take 286.4 hours,	11	A. Yes.
12	or not?	12	Q. Okay. Do you recall speaking to Mr. Palattella in connection with that article?
13	A. Again, I was not in the position where I would	13 14	A. Yes.
14	record time, so I don't know how many hours it took for the cases which I presented.	15	Q. Okay. On the third page of that document, in the
15	Q. So it might have been that much. That might be a	16	sixth paragraph, you're quoted as saying, "In essence,
17	typical amount of time that you would spend.	17	Onorato said of the \$56,371 the fee was being spent in the
18	A. It might have been more. It might have been less.	18	defense of children."
19	If one does not know, one does not know, and I do not know.	19	A. That's correct.
20	Q. Why did you choose to hire the MacDonald Illig	20	Q. Is that an accurate quotation?
21	firm?	21	A. Yes. Both in words and content.
22	MR. JOYAL: Objection. What relevance does this	22	Q. Did you have any concern over how such a statement
23	have to her termination? Talk about wasting time.	23	would be interpreted?
24	Q. You can answer the question.	24	A. No.
	A 40 B T 64 L 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100	O Co to very Ma Couloula a mail acception of a
25	 A. Attorney Roger Taft had represented the County in 	25	Q. So, to you, Ms. Conley's e-mail constituted a

27 (Pages 105 to 108)

			27 (Pages 105 to 108)
	105		107
1	threat to children.	1	lets down those
1 2	A. I believe that her release of information to a	1 2	Q. No, a child that she was caring for. A child in
3	third party that the County could not tolerate having	3	her custody.
4	employees release information to third parties about OCY	4	•
5	cases, and that that that was the reason for my	5	A. My understanding is when she fails to I'm here to answer your questions, not to argue with you.
6	statement.	6	MR. LANE: Just let him answer the question.
7	Q. Okay. Not that she presented a threat to	7	MR. McNAIR: It's not responsive to the question.
8	children; she represented a threat to the security of	8	Just asked it again. Go ahead. It's your day.
9	information to OCY.	9	A. I'm here to answer your questions, not to argue
10	A. And look at the reason why is that then you	10	with you.
11	must ask yourself, why is that information to be kept	11	Q. You're here to waste my time, but go on.
12	secure.	12	MR. LANE: Well, if you think it's being wasted,
13	Q. Could you answer the question first.	13	then just conclude the deposition.
14	A. What question?	14	MR. McNAIR: I'm just trying to get an answer to a
15	Q. The question that I asked you.	15	simple question.
16	A. What is the question?	16	MR. LANE: He's trying to answer your question.
17	MR. McNAIR: Could you read back the question,	17	A. Just because you do not like the answer does not
18	please.	18	mean you've not been afforded an answer.
19	A. There was a rationale why that information is to	19	Q. I don't like the answer because it's not
20	be kept confidential. That rationale is so that the	20	responsive to the question.
21	department can fulfill its obligation to protect children.	21	A. You do not like the answer because it's the truth.
22	Q. Okay. Can you explain to me how that constituted	22	MR. JOYAL: I'm going to object to both of this
23	a threat to children.	23	and ask, if the question you're asking concerning
24	MR. LANE: Objection to form.	24	custody, are you talking about her own child?
25	A. Ms. Conley informed third parties of the nature of	25	MR. McNAIR: I'm talking about any child.
	106		108
1	a prognostic detention order. That constituted a threat to	1	MR. JOYAL: Any child in her custody. He doesn't
2	that child.	2	know whether she had any kids.
3	Q. Okay. So to defend that child, you terminated	3	MR. ANGELONE: That's what we're asking. He won't
4	Ms. Conley's employment.	4	say I don't know.
5	A. No, not just that. It's listen, she did	5	MR. McNAIR: We're just asking him to say he
6	something which was contrary to the policy, which was	6	doesn't know. That's all. Haven't you ever taken
7	policies which have been provided. That's why she was	7	a deposition before? Don't you know that
8	terminated. This was a	8	sometimes you want the witness to admit that they
9	Q. I'm asking you how that was threatening to the	9	don't know certain things? I'm just trying to get
10	children that you speak of.	10	him to say he doesn't know, or that he's not aware
11	A. If she does not do her job properly, children are	11	of any such evidence. Is it that hard? He didn't
12	at risk. If she acts if inappropriately, children are at	12 13	want to do that. We're going to be here a long
13 14	risk.	14	time, because he's going to wind up saying that. A. It's not that I don't want to say what I don't
15	Q. You would agree with me that you're not aware of any evidence that Ms. Conley has ever physically or sexually	15	know. Rather, I would like to say what I do know. And what
16	abused a child, are you?	16	I do know is that your client
17	A. No.	17	Q. I'm not interested
18	Q. Are you aware of any evidence that Ms. Conley has	18	MR. LANE: Let him answer the question.
19	ever neglected to care for a child in her charge, that she	19	MR. McNAIR: I have 150, 200 pages of what he does
20	was responsible for?	20	know. I'm asking him whether he knows whether or
21	A. I know that she has not fulfilled her job function	21	not Ms. Conley has ever been accused of neglecting
22	as required.	22	to care for a child in her charge. Not
23	Q. That's not the question. The question is, did she	23	work-related.
24	ever neglect to care for a child she was responsible for?	24	MR. LANE: That's a different question.
	A. When she fails to fulfill her job as required, she	25	MR. McNAIR: Aside from work. I'm trying to

28 (Pages 109 to 112)

1 reword it. I'm trying to get an answer. 2 MR. LANE: Accused. Has she ever been accused. 3 Do you know whether she's ever been accused. 4 MR. McMAIR: O'reglecting a child within the meaning of the Child Protective Services Law. 5 A. No. 7 Q. O'kay. Are you aware of any instance other than it this e-mail in which any action taken by Alby Corley has ever threatened the well-being of a child? 10 A. Other than this e-mail, I do not know. 11 Q. O'kay. So your statement that 57, \$56,000 of 12 County money was spent to defend children was spend to 13 defend children from an e-mail. 12 MR. JoYAL: O'glection to form. 13 MR. McMAIR: I'm going to be great on the stand, I'm sue. 14 MR. JoYAL: O'glection to form. 15 A. No. The point is, is that had we lost this order was undersed or not. I was informed by Mr. Cauley that these matters are generally not known by the mother. 15 hearing, there was potential that this employee would be 16 rordered to return to work. That, to me, would be 18 intolerable. It is not - it would - it is not acceptable 12 to have the County have under its employment an individual 20 who releases confidential information to a third party. 21 That, in my mind, frustrates the efforts of the department 22 confidential? What's you reglab basis for stating that it was confidential? Or is that just what you were told? 1 A. The issue is this. She reported to a third party 2 ongoing matters regarding a case. This third party had no 3 reason to know about this. This was a violation of the confidentially policy which I read into the record. 2 Q. Clay. How do you know whether or not that order was confidential. Information to that order was confidential. Information or did you strictly rely on the word of Mr. Cauley and Ms. Liebel? 3 A. Whether the order was confidential information or ord that order was confidential information. A life you glidge that this was confidential information or a third party and the protective Services Law. 10 Colkay. 11 A. The issue is this. She reported to a third party 2 ongoin				20 (Pages 109 to 112)
Do you know whether she's ever been accused. MR. KANE: Accused. Has she ever been accused. MR. KANAR: Of neglecting a child within the meaning of the Child Protective Services Law. A. No. Q. Okay. Are you aware of any instance other than this e-mail in which any action taken by Abby Conley has ever threatened the well-being of a child? A. Okter than this e-mail, I do not know. A. Okay. So your statement that 57, \$56,000 of 12 county money was spent to defend children was spend to 13 defend children from an e-mail. MR. DYNL: Objection to form. A. No. The point is, is that had we lost this 16 hearing, there was potential that this employee would be 18 intolerable. It is not —it would — it is not acceptable to have the County have under its senjoyment an individual who releases confidential information to a third party. That, in my mind, frustrates the efforts of the department and poses a threat to children. Q. Okay. How do you know this order was confidential? Or is that just what you were told? A. The issue is this. She reported to a third party 2 ongoing matters regarding a case. This third party band no reason to know about this. This was a violation of the 4 confidentially policy which I read into the record. A. Whether the order — Q. Chay. A. Whether the order — Q. Chay. A. Whether the order was — Q. The question. The fact is she told — Q. Chay. A. Han my mind, it was not relevant because what she 17 did by telling a third party about it constituted just cause for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no 19 reason, professional or otherwise, to know about this. This are presented to return to work? MR. LANE: Objection to form. MR. LANE: Objection to form. MR. LANE: Objection to form. A. I don't know whether it was, or is, or was. 1 don't know whether it was, or is, or was. 1 don't know whether the order was confidential i		109		111
2	1	reword it. I'm trying to get an answer	1	guestion. I'm really Mr. Lane. I would ask you
Do you know whether she's ever been accused. MR, McNAR: Of neglecting a child within the manning of the Child Protective Services Law. A. No. Q. Okay. Are you aware of any instance other than this e-mail in which any action taken by Abby Conley has ever threatened the well-being of a child? A. No ther than this e-mail, I do not know. MR. LANE: He did. WR. LANE: Objection to form ont the order of which was confidential or not. WR. LANE: Objection to form. A. The order was wall it is 1 did not know whether or not. I was informed by -1 the order was undersaol. It is not in the wash the information to a third party about this ons that party had no	1	• -		
MR. McNAIR: Of neglecting a child within the meaning of the Child Protective Services Law. A. No. Q. Okay. Are you aware of any instance other than this e-mail in which any action taken by Abby Conley has ever threateed the well-being of a child? A. Other than this e-mail, I do not know. County money was spent to defend children was spend to defend children from an e-mail. A. No. The point is, is that had we lost this for ordered to return to work. That, to me, would be intolerable. It is not — it would — it is not acceptable to have the County have under its employment an individual owhor releases confidential information to a third party. That, in my mind, frustrates the efforts of the department and poses a threat to children. A. The issue is this. She reported to a third party. Confidential? What's your legal basis for stating that it was confidential? Or is that just what you were told? A. Whether the order was— A. Whether the order	1		Š.	·
meaning of the Child Protective Services Law. A No. O Okay. Are you aware of any instance other than this e-mail in which any action taken by Abby Conley has ever threatened the well-being of a child? O A Other than this e-mail, I do not know. O Okay. So your statement that 57, \$55,000 of County money was spent to defend children was spend to defend children from an e-mail. MR. JOYAL: Objection to form. A No. The point is, is that had we lost this hearing, there was potential that this employee would be intolerable. It is not - it would - it is not acceptable to have the County have under its employment an individual who releases confidential information to a third party. That, in my mind, frustrates the efforts of the department and poses a threat to children. O Okay. How do you know this order was confidential? What's your legal basis for stating that it was confidential? What's your legal basis for stating that it confidential? What's your legal basis for stating that it confidential? What's your legal basis for stating that it confidential? What's your legal basis for stating that it and poses a threat to children. A. The issue is this. She reported to a third party ongoing matters regarding a case. This third party had no areason to know about this. This was a violation of the confidentiality policy which I read into the record. Q. Okay. A Whether the order Q. The question was A Whether the order was Q. The answer is, then, you don't know whether or not that order was confidential. A It's not relevant. The fact is she tidn Q. Okay. How do you know this order was confidential. A It's not relevant. The fact is she tidn Q. Okay. How do you know whether or not that order was confidential. A It's not relevant. The fact is she tidn Q. Okay. It's not you to determine what's confidential, or not? MR. LANE: Objection to form. A. I floot't recall. A. I don't recall. A. I floot't recall. A. I floot that the was, or is, or was. I. A. I floot't know whether it was, or is, or was. I. A. I know that Attor	i i	•	1	
6	1			
7 Q. Okay. Are you aware of any instance other than 8 this e-mail in which any action taken by Abby Conley has 9 ever threatened the well-being of a child? 10 A. Other than this e-mail, I do not know. 11 Q. Okay. So your statement that 57, \$55,000 of 12 County money was spent to defend children was spend to 13 defend children from an e-mail. 14 MR. JOYAL: Objection to form. 15 A. No. The point is, is that had we lost this 16 hearing, there was potential that this employee would be 17 ordered to return to work. That, to me, would be 18 intolerable. It is not it would it is not acceptable 19 to have the County have under its employment an individual 20 who releases confidential information to a third party. 21 That, in my mind, frustrates the efforts of the department 22 and poses a threat to children. 23 Q. Okay. How do you know this order was confidential? What's your legal basis for stating that it 25 was confidential? Or is that just what you were told? 110 1 A. The issue is this. She reported to a third party 20 ongoing matters regarding a case. This third party 30 one reason to know about this. This was a violation of the 4 confidentiality policy which I read into the record. 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. Whether the order 7 Q. The question was 8 A. Whether the order was 9 Q. The answer is, then, you don't know whether or not that order was confidential. 1. A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told 12 Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's 13 relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was confidential. For for you to determine what's 13 relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was confidential. For for you to determine what's 13 relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was confidential. For for you to determine what's 13 relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was confidential in formation or did you strictly rely on the word of Mr. Cauley and Ms. Liebel? A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told 10 you judge that this was confidential information or any of the facts	1	J	1	
8 this e-mail in which any action taken by Abby Conley has 9 ever threatened the well-being of a child? 10 A. Other than this e-mail, I do not know. 11 Q. Okay. So your statement that 57, \$56,000 of 12 County money was spent to defend children was spend to 13 defend children from an e-mail. 14 MR, JOYAL: Objection to form. 15 A. No. The point is, is that had we lost this 16 hearing, there was potential that this employee would be 17 ordered to return to work. That, to me, would be 18 intolerable. It is not – it would – it is not acceptable 19 to have the County have under its employment an individual 20 who releases confidential information to a third party. 21 That, in my mind, frustrates the efforts of the department 22 and poses a threat to children. 23 Q. Okay. How do you know this order was 24 confidential? What's your legal basis for stating that it 25 was confidential? What's your legal basis for stating that it 26 was confidential? What's your legal basis for stating that it 27 was not under seal. 28 A. I don't know whether it was, or is, or was. I 29 Q. Okay. So you don't know if it was. Thank you. 29 A. The own about its. This was a violation of the 40 confidentiality policy which I read into the record. 51 Q. Okay. 52 A. Whether the order ———————————————————————————————————			į.	
9 ever threatened the well-being of a child? 10 A. Other than this e-mail, 1 do not know. 11 Q. Okay. So your statement that 57, \$56,000 of 12 County money was spent to defend children was spend to 13 defend children from an e-mail. 13 MR, JOYAL: Objection to form. 15 A. No. The point is, is that had we lost this 16 hearing, there was potential that this employee would be 18 intolerable. It is not – it would – it is not acceptable 19 to have the County have under its employment an individual 19 who re leases confidential information to a third party. 22 and poses a threat to children. 23 Q. Okay. How do you know this order was confidential? What's your legal basis for stating that it 25 was confidential? Or is that just what you were toid? 110 1 A. The issue is this. She reported to a third party had no reason to know about this. This was a violation of the 4 confidentiality policy which I read into the record. 5 Q. Okay. 10 Q. Okay. 11 A. Whether the order — 7 Q. The question was — 8 A. Whether the order was — 9 Q. The answer is, then, you don't know whether or not 10 that order was confidential. 11 A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told — 12 Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's 13 relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was 18 for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no 19 reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She id did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved 11 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 12 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 12 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 12 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 12 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 12 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 12 in the case find out about a matter of which the were 12 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 12 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 12 in the order was 15 in the case find out about a matter of which they w	ı			
10 A. Other than this e-mail, I do not know. 11 Q. Okay. So your statement that 57, \$55,000 of 12 County money was spent to defend children was spend to 13 defend children from an e-mail. 14 MR. JOYAL: Objection to form. 15 A. No. The point is, is that had we lost this 16 hearing, there was potential that this employee would be 17 ordered to return to work. That, to me, would be 18 intolerable. It is not – it would – it is not acceptable 19 to have the County have under its employment an individual 20 who releases confidential information to a third party. 21 That, in my mind, frustrates the efforts of the department 22 and poses a threat to children. 23 Q. Okay. How do you know this order was 24 confidential? What's your legal basis for stating that it 25 was confidential? Or is that just what you were told? 26 ongoing matters regarding a case. This third party had no 27 a reason to know about this. This was a violation of the 28 A. Whether the order — 39 Q. Okay. 30 Q. Okay. 41 A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told — 42 Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's 42 relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was — 43 relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was confidential. 44 A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told — 45 Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's 46 relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was confidential. 47 relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was confidential. 48 A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told — 49 Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's 40 relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was confidential, or not? 41 A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told — 42 Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's 43 relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was confidential, or not? 44 And you don't know whether the order was confidential information. 55 Q. Okay. 66 A. Whether the order — 67 Q. The question was — 68 A. Whether the order order — 79 Q. The question was — 70 Q. The question was — 70 Q. The question was — 710 A. The ord	1			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
11 Q. Okay. So your statement that 57, \$56,000 of 12 County money was spent to defend children was spend to 3 defend children from an e-mail. 14 MR. JOYAL: Objection to form. 15 MR. JOYAL: Objection to form. 15 A. No. The point is, is that had we lost this 16 hearing, there was potential that this employee would be 17 ordered to return to work. That, to me, would be 18 intolerable. It is not —it would —it is not acceptable 19 to have the County have under its employment an individual 20 who releases confidential information to a third party. 11 That, in my mind, frustrates the efforts of the department 21 and poses a threat to children. 23 Q. Okay. How do you know this order was 24 confidential? What's your legal basis for stating that it 25 was confidential? Or is that just what you were told? 110 1 A. The issue is this. She reported to a third party and so reason to know about this. This was a violation of the 4 confidentially policy which I read into the record. 4 Confidentially policy which I read into the record. 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. Whether the order —— 9 Q. The question was —— 9 Q. The question was —— 9 Q. The question was —— 9 Q. The answer is, then, you don't know whether or not 10 that order was confidential. or not? 11 A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told —— 12 Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's 13 relevant. Do you know whether or not 14 did by telling a third party about it constituted just cause 18 for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no 19 reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She id did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 22 in the cream of the Child Protective Services Law. 24 And you don't know whether the order was confidential. 16 A. I know that Attorney Cauley and Ms. Liebel 24 And you don't know if it was confidential information. 25 A. I know that Attorney Cauley and Ms. Liebel 25 A. These are seasoned, respecte	i			• •
12 County money was spent to defend children was spend to 13 defend children from an e-mail. 14 MR. JOYAL: Objection to form. 15 A. No. The point is, is that had we lost this 16 hearing, there was potential that this employee would be 17 ordered to return to work. That, to me, would be 18 intolerable. It is not – it would – it is not acceptable 19 to have the County have under its employment an individual 20 who releases confidential information to a third party. 21 That, in my mind, frustrates the efforts of the department 22 and poses a threat to children. 23 Q. Okay. How do you know this order was confidential? What's you legal basis for stating that it 25 was confidential? Or is that just what you were told? 110 1 A. The issue is this. She reported to a third party 2 ongoing matters regarding a case. This third party 4 confidentially policy which I read into the record. 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. Whether the order — Q. Okay. 6 A. Whether the order was — Q. The answer is, then, you don't know whether or not that order was confidential. 9 A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told — Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's relevant. Do you know whether or not that order was confidential. 9 or not? 15 MR. LANE: Objection. 16 A. In my mind, it was not relevant because what she idid by telling a third party about it constituted just cause 18 for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no 19 reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She idid by telling a third party about it constituted just cause 18 for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no 19 reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She idid through e-mail with intent that the person involved 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 21 on fear was confidential information of the constituted just cause 18 for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no 19 reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She idid through e-mail with intent that the person involved 21 in the case find ou	1			
defend children from an e-mail. MR. JOYAL: Objection to form. A. No. The point is, is that had we lost this hearing, there was potential that this employee would be rordered to return to work. That, to me, would be intolerable. It is not – it would – it is not acceptable to have the County have under its employment an individual who releases confidential information to a third party. That, in my mind, frustrates the efforts of the department and poses a threat to children. Q. Okay. How do you know this order was confidential? What's your legal basis for stating that it was confidential? What's your legal basis for stating that it was confidential? Or is that just what you were told? 110 A. The issue is this. She reported to a third party ongoing matters regarding a case. This third party had no reason to know about this. This was a violation of the confidentiality policy which I read into the record. Q. Okay. A. Whether the order — Q. The question was — Q. The answer is, then, you don't know whether or not that order was confidential. A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told — Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was confidential, or not? MR. LANE: Objection. A. In my mind, it was not relevant because what she did by telling a third party about it constituted just cause for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved did in the case find out about a matter of which they were 11 believe I was informed by Mr. Cauley that these matters are believe I was informed by Mr. Cauley the mother: Q. Okay. But as far as you know, it wasn't under seal. A. I don't know thether the owa, so a vol aknow, it wasn't under seal. A. I don't know the the other, it was. Thank you. A. I don't know the thre twas, or is, or was. I	1		1	-
14 MR. JOYAL: Objection to form. 15 A. No. The point is, is that had we lost this 16 hearing, there was potential that this employee would be 17 ordered to return to work. That, to me, would be 18 intolerable. It is not — it would — it is not acceptable 19 to have the County have under its employment an individual 20 who releases confidential information to a third party. 21 That, in my mind, frustrates the efforts of the department 22 and poses a threat to children. 23 Q. Okay. How do you know this order was 24 confidential? What's your legal basis for stating that it 25 was confidential? Or is that just what you were told? 10 A. The issue is this. She reported to a third party 2 ongoing matters regarding a case. This third party had no 3 reason to know about this. This was a violation of the 4 confidentially policy which I read into the record. 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. Whether the order — 7 Q. The question was — 8 A. Whether the order was — 9 Q. The answer is, then, you don't know whether or not that order was confidential. 11 A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told — 12 Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's relevant. Do you know whether or not that order was confidential. 11 A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told — 12 Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was confidential, or not? 15 MR. LANE: Objection. 16 A. In my mind, it was not relevant because what she idid by telling a third party about it constituted just cause if for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no resson, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She idid it through e-mail with intent that the person involved in the case find out about a matter of which they were 18 In Identify not know whether in microduction in the case find out about a matter of which they were 19 Okay. How whether it was, or is, or was, It was not was not. 20 Okay. So you don't know whether it was, or is, or was. It was not was not. 21 MR. LANE: Objection to form. 22 A. I don't know whethe	l l			
15 A. No. The point is, is that had we lost this 16 hearing, there was potential that this employee would be 17 ordered to return to work. That, to me, would be 18 intoferable. It is not —it would —it is not acceptable 19 to have the County have under its employment an individual 20 who releases confidential information to a third party. 21 That, in my mind, frustrates the efforts of the department 22 and poses a threat to children. 23 Q. Okay. How do you know this order was 24 confidential? What's your legal basis for stating that it 25 was confidential? Or is that just what you were told? 26 was confidential? Or is that just what you were told? 27 and you don't know whether it was. Thank you. 28 A. I don't know whether it was. or is, or was. I 29 Q. Okay. So you don't know if it was. Thank you. 29 A. I don't know whether the order was confidential under the terms of the Child Protective Services Law. 29 A. I know that Attorney Cauley and Ms. Liebel 30 A. I know that Attorney Cauley and Ms. Liebel 31 Characterized this as confidential information or did 31 Characterized this as confidential information or did 41 Confidentiality policy which I read into the record. 42 Q. Okay. 43 A. I don't know whether it was. Thank you. 44 And you don't know whether the order was confidential under the terms of the Child Protective Services Law. 45 MR. LANE: Objection to form. 46 A. Whether the order — 47 Q. The question was — 48 A. Whether the order — 49 Q. The question was — 40 A. I know that Attorney Cauley and Ms. Liebel 40 A. I kon't know whether it was, or is, or was. I 410 MR. LANE: Objection to form. 41 A. I know that Attorney Cauley and Ms. Liebel 41 A. I know that Attorney Cauley and Ms. Liebel 41 A. I know that Attorney Cauley and Ms. Liebel 41 A. I know that Attorney Cauley and Ms. Liebel 42 A. I know that Attorney Cauley and Ms. Liebel 43 A. I know that Attorney Cauley and Ms. Liebel 44 A. Whether the order — 45 A. Whether the order — 46 A. Whether the order was — 47 Q. The question was — 48 A. Whether the order	1		į	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16 hearing, there was potential that this employee would be 17 ordered to return to work. That, to me, would be 18 intolerable. It is not — it would — it is not acceptable 19 to have the County have under its employment an individual 20 who releases confidential information to a third party. 21 That, in my mind, frustrates the efforts of the department 22 and poses a threat to children. 23 Q. Okay. How do you know this order was 24 confidential? What's your legal basis for stating that it 25 was confidential? Or is that just what you were told? 110 1 A. The issue is this. She reported to a third party 2 ongoing matters regarding a case. This third party had no 3 reason to know about this. This was a violation of the 2 confidentiallty policy which I read into the record. 4 Q. Okay. 17 sure they did. I don't doubt you on 15 that offer was — 9 Q. The question was — 8 A. Whether the order was — 9 Q. The answer is, then, you don't know whether or not 10 that order was confidential. 11 A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told — 12 Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's 13 relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was 14 confidential, or not? 15 MR. LANE: Objection. 16 A. In my mind, it was not relevant because what she 17 did by telling a third party about it constituted just cause 18 for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no 19 reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She 20 did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 21 the ones which were appended. 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 21 the constituted under the confidential information or did you judge that this was confidential information or did you judge that this was confidential information or did you judge that this was confidential information or did you judge that this was confidential information or did you judge that this was confidential information or did you judge that this was confidential information or did you	1	· ·	-	·
17 ordered to return to work. That, to me, would be 18 intolerable. It is not it would it is not acceptable 19 to have the County have under its employment an individual 20 who releases confidential information to a third party. 21 That, in my mind, frustrates the efforts of the department 22 and poses a threat to children. 23 Q. Okay. How do you know this order was 24 confidential? What's your legal basis for stating that it 25 was confidential? Or is that just what you were told? 110 1 A. The issue is this. She reported to a third party 2 ongoing matters regarding a case. This third party 4 ongoing matters regarding a case. This third party 4 ongoing matters regarding a case. This third party 4 ongoing matters regarding a case. This third party 4 ongoing matters regarding a case. This third party had no 3 reason to know about this. This was a violation of the 4 confidentiality policy which I read into the record. 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. Whether the order 7 Q. The question was 9 Q. The answer is, then, you don't know whether or not that order was confidential. 11 A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told 12 Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's 13 relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was 14 confidential, or not? 15 MR. LANE: Objection. 16 A. In my mind, it was not relevant because what she 17 did by telling a third party about it constituted just cause 18 for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no 19 reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She 20 did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they	1		Š	, ,
18 intolerable. It is not — it would — it is not acceptable 19 to have the County have under its employment an individual 20 who releases confidential information to a third party. 21 That, in my mind, frustrates the efforts of the department 22 and poses a threat to children. 23 Q. Okay. How do you know this order was 24 confidential? What's your legal basis for stating that it 25 was confidential? Or is that just what you were told? 110 1 A. The issue is this. She reported to a third party 2 ongoing matters regarding a case. This third party 4 confidentiality policy which I read into the record. 4 Confidentiality policy which I read into the record. 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. Whether the order — 7 Q. The question was — 8 A. Whether the order was — 9 Q. The answer is, then, you don't know whether or not 10 that order was confidential, or not? 11 A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told — 12 Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's 13 relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was 14 confidential, or not? 15 MR. LANE: Objection. 16 A. In my mind, it was not relevant because what she 17 did by telling a third party about it constituted just cause 18 for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no 19 reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She 20 did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 22 in the case in this was not under seal. 20 A. I don't know whether it was, or is, or was. I 20 Q. Okay. So you don't know whether it was, or is, or was. I 21 A. I don't know whether it was, or was not. 22 Q. Okay. So you don't kno	1	-	1	
19 to have the County have under its employment an individual 20 who releases confidential information to a third party. 21 That, in my mind, frustrates the efforts of the department 21 and poses a threat to children. 22 Q. Okay. How do you know this order was 24 confidential? What's your legal basis for stating that it 25 was confidential? What's your legal basis for stating that it 25 was confidential? Or is that just what you were told? 110 1 A. The issue is this. She reported to a third party 2 ongoing matters regarding a case. This third party had no 3 reason to know about this. This was a violation of the 4 confidentiality policy which I read into the record. 4 Q. Okay. 6 Q. Okay. 6 Q. Okay. 6 Q. Okay. 7 Q. The question was Q. The question was Q. The answer is, then, you don't know whether or not 10 that order was confidential. 1 A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told 12 Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's 13 relevant. Do you know whether or not 14 doubt yelling a third party about it constituted just cause 18 for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no 19 reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She 2 did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 21 case in the case find out about a matter of which they were 21 case in the case ind out about a matter of which they were 21 case in the department 22 cand pose was not. 22 A. I don't know whether it was, or is, or was. I 22 don't know if it was or was not. 22 A. I don't know if it was or was not. 22 And you don't know whether the order was confidential information of the the terms of the Child Protective Services Law. 21 A. I don't know whether the order was confidential information of the terms of the Child Protective Services Law. 21 A. I know that Attorney Calley and Ms. Liebel 2 characterized this as confidential information. 2 characterized this as confidential information. 3 characterized this as confidential information. 4 Q. Okay.	1			
20 who releases confidential information to a third party. 21 That, in my mind, frustrates the efforts of the department 22 and poses a threat to children. 23 Q. Okay. How do you know this order was 24 confidential? What's your legal basis for stating that it 25 was confidential? Or is that just what you were told? 110 1 A. The issue is this. She reported to a third party 2 ongoing matters regarding a case. This third party had no 3 reason to know about this. This was a violation of the 4 confidentiality policy which I read into the record. 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. Whether the order 7 Q. The question was 8 A. Whether the order was 9 Q. The answer is, then, you don't know whether or not 10 that order was confidential. 11 A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told 12 Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's 13 relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was 14 confidential, or not? 15 MR. LANE: Objection. 16 A. In my mind, it was not relevant because what she 17 did by telling a third party about it constituted just cause 18 for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no 19 reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She 20 did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 22 don't know if it was or was not. 23 Q. Okay. So you don't know if it was. Thank you. 24 And you don't know whether the order was confidential under 25 the terms of the Child Protective Services Law. 110 11 MR. LANE: Objection to form. 2 A. I know that Attorney Cauley and Ms. Liebel 3 characterized this as confidential information. 4 Q. Okay. I'm sure they did. I don't doubt you on 4 that. I'm asking you for your own independent judgment. 5 Did you judge that this was confidential information or did 6 you strictly rely on the word of Mr. Cauley and Ms. Liebel 7 A. These are seasoned, respected individuals. I had no reason to doubt what they were telling me. 10 Q. Did you perform any independent investigation of any of the facts set forth in			i	
21 That, in my mind, frustrates the efforts of the department 22 and poses a threat to children. 23 Q. Okay. How do you know this order was 24 confidential? What's your legal basis for stating that it 25 was confidential? Or is that just what you were told? 110 1 A. The issue is this. She reported to a third party 2 ongoing matters regarding a case. This third party had no 3 reason to know about this. This was a violation of the 4 confidentiality policy which I read into the record. 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. Whether the order 7 Q. The question was 8 A. Whether the order was 9 Q. The answer is, then, you don't know whether or not that order was confidential. 11 A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told 11 Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's 12 question. A large in the fact is she told 13 relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was confidential, or not? 14 A. In my mind, it was not relevant because what she for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved in the case find out about a matter of which they were 21 A. I don't know whether it was, or is, or was. I don't know if it was or was not. 22 Q. Okay. So you don't know whether the order was confidential under the terms of the Child Protective Services Law. 110 110 1110 1110 112 A. The issue is this. She reported to a third party had no reason to thow whether the order was a confidential information. 4 A. I know that Attorney Couley and Ms. Liebel characterized this as confidential information. 4 Q. Okay. I'm sure they did. I don't know whether the order was confidential information. 4 The sace are seasoned, respected individuals. I had no reason to doubt what they were telling me. 4 A. These are seasoned, respected individuals. I had no reason to doubt what they were telling me. 4 A. Yes, I relied upon what they told me. 4 A. I reviewed the e-mails which he appended, and asked that all the e-mails be prov	1			
22 and poses a threat to children. 23 Q. Okay. How do you know this order was 24 confidential? What's your legal basis for stating that it 25 was confidential? Or is that just what you were told? 110 1 A. The issue is this. She reported to a third party 2 ongoing matters regarding a case. This third party had no 3 reason to know about this. This was a violation of the 4 confidentiality policy which I read into the record. 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. Whether the order 7 Q. The question was 8 A. Whether the order was 9 Q. The answer is, then, you don't know whether or not 10 that order was confidential. 11 A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told 12 Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's 13 relevant. Do you know whether or not 21 relevant. Do you know whether or not 22 confidential, or not? 15 MR. LANE: Objection to form. 16 A. In my mind, it was not relevant because what she 17 did by telling a third party about it constituted just cause 18 for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no 19 reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She 20 did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 21 Q. Okay. So you don't know whether the order was confidential in the tit was confidential under the terms of the Child Protective Services Law. 110 110 111 11 A. The issue is this. She reported to a third party and no 2. 111 12 MR. LANE: Objection to form. 13 A. I know that Attomey Cauley and Ms. Liebel 2. 14 A. I know that Attomey Cauley and Ms. Liebel 2. 15 A. The saking you for your own independent judgment. 16 Did you judge that this was confidential information or did you strictly rely on the word of Mr. Cauley and Ms. Liebel 2. 20 Chay. Tim sure they did. I don't doubt you on that. I'm asking you for your own independent judgment. 21 Did you judge that this was confidential information or did you strictly rely on the word of Mr. Cauley and Ms. Liebel 2. 22 A. The sea re seasoned, respected individuals. I had	1	• •		
Q. Okay. How do you know this order was confidential? What's your legal basis for stating that it was confidential? What's your legal basis for stating that it was confidential? Or is that just what you were told? 110 1 A. The issue is this. She reported to a third party ongoing matters regarding a case. This third party had no reason to know about this. This was a violation of the confidentiality policy which I read into the record. A. Whether the order Q. Okay. A. Whether the order was Q. The question was A. Whether the order was confidential. A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was confidential, or not? MR. LANE: Objection to form. A. Ik now that Attorney Cauley and Ms. Liebel characterized this as confidential information. Q. Okay. The sure they did. I don't doubt you on that. I'm asking you for your own independent judgment. Did you judge that this was confidential information or did you strictly rely on the word of Mr. Cauley and Ms. Liebel characterized this as confidential information. Q. Okay. The sure they did. I don't doubt you on that. I'm asking you for your own independent judgment. Did you judge that this was confidential information or did you strictly rely on the word of Mr. Cauley and Ms. Liebel characterized this as confidential information. Q. Okay. The sure they did. I don't doubt you on that. I'm asking you for your own independent judgment. Did you judge that this was confidential information or did you strictly rely on the word of Mr. Cauley and Ms. Liebel characterized this as confidential information. A. I'm sure they did. I don't doubt you on that. I'm asking you for your own independent judgment. Did you judge that this was confidential information or did you strictly rely on the word of Mr. Cauley and Ms. Liebel characterized this as confidential information or did you strictly rely on the word of Mr. Cauley and Ms. Liebel characterized this as confidential	1			• •
24 confidential? What's your legal basis for stating that it 25 was confidential? Or is that just what you were told? 110 1 A. The issue is this. She reported to a third party 2 ongoing matters regarding a case. This third party had no 3 reason to know about this. This was a violation of the 4 confidentiality policy which I read into the record. A. Whether the order 4 Q. The question was 9 Q. The answer is, then, you don't know whether or not 10 that order was confidential. A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told 12 Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's 13 relevant. Do you know whether or not 14 confidential, or not? MR. LANE: Objection to form. A. I know that Attorney Cauley and Ms. Liebel 3 characterized this as confidential information. Q. Okay. I'm sure they did. I don't doubt you on that. I'm asking you for your own independent judgment. Did you judge that this was confidential information or did you strictly rely on the word of Mr. Cauley and Ms. Liebel? A. These are seasoned, respected individuals. I had no reason to doubt what they were telling me. Q. So that's yes? A. Yes, I relied upon what they told me. Q. Did you perform any independent investigation of any of the facts set forth in Mr. Cauley's September or August 20th letter? A. I reviewed the e-mails be provided to me. Q. Did you review all the e-mails? A. I reviewed a substantial number of them. I'm not sure if I reviewed them all. However, I certainly reviewed the ones which were appended. Q. Okay. So you reviewed what Mr. Cauley gave you.		·		
110 1 A. The issue is this. She reported to a third party ongoing matters regarding a case. This third party had no reason to know about this. This was a violation of the confidentiality policy which I read into the record. 2 Q. Okay. 3 A. Whether the order 4 Q. The question was 9 Q. The question was 9 Q. The answer is, then, you don't know whether or not that order was confidential. 1 A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told 12 Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was 13 relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was 14 confidential, or not? 15 MR. LANE: Objection. 16 A. I know that Attorney Cauley and Ms. Liebel 2 A. These are seasoned, respected individuals. I had no reason to doubt what they were telling me. 4 Q. Did you perform any independent investigation of any of the facts set forth in Mr. Cauley's September or 4 A. I reviewed the e-mails which he appende				
110 1 A. The issue is this. She reported to a third party ongoing matters regarding a case. This third party had no reason to know about this. This was a violation of the confidentiality policy which I read into the record. 4 CO Okay. 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. Whether the order 7 Q. The question was 8 A. Whether the order was 9 Q. The answer is, then, you don't know whether or not that order was confidential. 11 A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told 12 Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's relevant. Do you know whether or not the confidential, or not? 13 MR. LANE: Objection to form. 4 A. I know that Attorney Cauley and Ms. Liebel characterized this as confidential information. 4 Q. Okay. I'm sure they did. I don't doubt you on that. I'm asking you for your own independent judgment. 5 Did you judge that this was confidential information or did you strictly rely on the word of Mr. Cauley and Ms. Liebel? 8 A. These are seasoned, respected individuals. I had no reason to doubt what they were telling me. 10 Q. So that's yes? 11 A. Yes, I relied upon what they told me. 12 Q. Did you perform any independent investigation of any of the facts set forth in Mr. Cauley's September or August 20th letter? 13 A. I reviewed the e-mails which he appended, and asked that all the e-mails be provided to me. 14 Q. Did you review all the e-mails? 15 A. I reviewed a substantial number of them. I'm not sure if I reviewed them all. However, I certainly reviewed the ones which were appended. 20 Okay. So you reviewed what Mr. Cauley gave you.		· -	į	•
1 A. The issue is this. She reported to a third party 2 ongoing matters regarding a case. This third party had no 3 reason to know about this. This was a violation of the 4 confidentiality policy which I read into the record. 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. Whether the order 7 Q. The question was 8 A. Whether the order was 9 Q. The answer is, then, you don't know whether or not that order was confidential. 1 A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told 12 Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's 13 relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was 14 confidential, or not? 15 MR. LANE: Objection to form. 16 A. I my sure they did. I don't doubt you on that. I'm asking you for your own independent judgment. 16 Did you judge that this was confidential information or did you strictly rely on the word of Mr. Cauley and Ms. Liebel? 16 A. These are seasoned, respected individuals. I had no reason to doubt what they were telling me. 10 Q. So that's yes? 11 A. Yes, I relied upon what they told me. 12 Q. Did you perform any independent investigation of any of the facts set forth in Mr. Cauley's September or August 20th letter? 15 MR. LANE: Objection. 16 A. In my mind, it was not relevant because what she did by telling a third party about it constituted just cause for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved in the case find out about a matter of which they were they did. I don't doubt you on that. I'm sure they did. I don't doubt you on that. I'm saking you for your own independent judgment. 1 Q. Okay. The sure they did. I don't doubt you on that. I'm asking you for your own independent investigation or did you strictly rely on the word of Mr. Cauley and Ms. Liebel? 2 A. These are seasoned, respected individuals. I had no reason to doubt what they were telling me. 2 Q. Did you perform any independent investigation of any of the facts set forth in Mr. Cauley's September or A. I reviewe				
2 ongoing matters regarding a case. This third party had no 3 reason to know about this. This was a violation of the 4 confidentiality policy which I read into the record. 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. Whether the order 7 Q. The question was 8 A. Whether the order was 9 Q. The answer is, then, you don't know whether or not 10 that order was confidential. 11 A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told 12 Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's 13 relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was 14 confidential, or not? 15 MR. LANE: Objection. 16 A. In my mind, it was not relevant because what she 17 did by telling a third party about it constituted just cause 18 for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no 19 reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She 20 did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 2 A. I know that Attorney Cauley and Ms. Liebel 3 characterized this as confidential information. 4 Q. Okay. I'm sure they did. I don't doubt you on that. I'm asking you for your own independent judgment. Did you judge that this was confidential information or did you strictly rely on the word of Mr. Cauley and Ms. Liebel 2 A. I know that Attorney Cauley and Ms. Liebel 2 Q. Okay. I'm sure they did. I don't doubt you on that. I'm asking you for your own independent judgment. Did you judge that this was confidential information or did you strictly rely on the word of Mr. Cauley and Ms. Liebel 2 Q. Okay. So that's yes? A. These are seasoned, respected individuals. I had no reason to doubt what they were telling me. Q. Did you perform any independent investigation of any of the facts set forth in Mr. Cauley's September or A. I reviewed the e-mails which he appended, and asked that all the e-mails be provided to me. Q. Did you review all the e-mails? A. I reviewed a substantial number of them. I'm not sure if I reviewed them all. However, I certainly reviewed the ones which were appended. Q. Okay. So you reviewed		110		112
reason to know about this. This was a violation of the confidentiality policy which I read into the record. Q. Okay. Mether the order Q. The question was Q. The answer is, then, you don't know whether or not that order was confidential. A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was MR. LANE: Objection. A. In my mind, it was not relevant because what she of the training in the case find out about a matter of which they were did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved in the confidential information. A. Characterized this as confidential information. Q. Okay. I'm sure they did. I don't doubt you on that. I'm asking you for your own independent judgment. Did you judge that this was confidential information or did you strictly rely on the word of Mr. Cauley and Ms. Liebel? A. These are seasoned, respected individuals. I had no reason to doubt what they were telling me. Q. So that's yes? 11 A. Yes, I relied upon what they told me. Q. Did you perform any independent judgment. A. I reviewed the e-mails which he appended, and asked that all the e-mails be provided to me. Q. Did you review all the e-mails? A. I reviewed a substantial number of them. I'm not sure if I reviewed them all. However, I certainly reviewed the ones which were appended. Q. Okay. So you reviewed what Mr. Cauley gave you.	1	A. The issue is this. She reported to a third party	1	MR. LANE: Objection to form.
4 confidentiality policy which I read into the record. 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. Whether the order 7 Q. The question was 8 A. Whether the order was 9 Q. The answer is, then, you don't know whether or not 10 that order was confidential. 10 Look. It's not relevant. The fact is she told 11 Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's 13 relevant. Do you know whether or not 14 confidential, or not? 15 MR. LANE: Objection. 16 A. In my mind, it was not relevant because what she 17 did by telling a third party about it constituted just cause 18 for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no 19 reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She 20 did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 15 did vou judge that this was confidential information or did you judge that this was confidential information or did you strictly rely on the word of Mr. Cauley and Ms. Liebel? A. These are seasoned, respected individuals. I had no reason to doubt what they were telling me. Q. So that's yes? 11 A. Yes, I relied upon what they told me. Q. Did you perform any independent investigation of any of the facts set forth in Mr. Cauley's September or August 20th letter? A. I reviewed the e-mails which he appended, and asked that all the e-mails be provided to me. Q. Did you review all the e-mails? A. I reviewed a substantial number of them. I'm not sure if I reviewed them all. However, I certainly reviewed the ones which were appended. Q. Okay. So you reviewed what Mr. Cauley gave you.	2	ongoing matters regarding a case. This third party had no	2	A. I know that Attorney Cauley and Ms. Liebel
5 Q. Okay. 6 A. Whether the order 7 Q. The question was 8 A. Whether the order was 9 Q. The answer is, then, you don't know whether or not 10 that order was confidential. 11 A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told 12 Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's 13 relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was 14 confidential, or not? 15 MR. LANE: Objection. 16 A. In my mind, it was not relevant because what she 17 did by telling a third party about it constituted just cause 18 for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no 19 reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She 20 did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 18 that. I'm asking you for your own independent judgment. 6 Did you judge that this was confidential information or did you strictly rely on the word of Mr. Cauley and Ms. Liebel? 8 A. These are seasoned, respected individuals. I had no reason to doubt what they were telling me. 10 Q. So that's yes? 11 A. Yes, I relied upon what they told me. 12 Q. Did you perform any independent judgment. 14 A. Yes, I relied upon what they told me. 15 A. I reviewed the e-mails which he appended, and asked that all the e-mails be provided to me. 16 A. I reviewed a substantial number of them. I'm not sure if I reviewed them all. However, I certainly reviewed the ones which were appended. 20 Okay. So you reviewed what Mr. Cauley gave you.	3	reason to know about this. This was a violation of the	3	characterized this as confidential information.
6 A. Whether the order 7 Q. The question was 8 A. Whether the order was 9 Q. The answer is, then, you don't know whether or not 10 that order was confidential. 11 A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told 12 Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's 13 relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was 14 confidential, or not? 15 MR. LANE: Objection. 16 A. In my mind, it was not relevant because what she 17 did by telling a third party about it constituted just cause 18 for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no 19 reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She 20 did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 6 Did you judge that this was confidential information or did you strictly rely on the word of Mr. Cauley and Ms. Liebel? 8 A. These are seasoned, respected individuals. I had no reason to doubt what they were telling me. 10 Q. So that's yes? 11 A. Yes, I relied upon what they told me. 12 Q. Did you perform any independent investigation of 13 any of the facts set forth in Mr. Cauley's September or 14 August 20th letter? 15 A. I reviewed the e-mails which he appended, and 16 asked that all the e-mails be provided to me. 17 Q. Did you review all the e-mails? 18 A. Treviewed the e-mails which he appended, and 19 asked that all the e-mails be provided to me. 19 Life in the case find out about a matter of which they were 20 did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were	4	confidentiality policy which I read into the record.	4	Q. Okay. I'm sure they did. I don't doubt you on
7 you strictly rely on the word of Mr. Cauley and Ms. Liebel? 8 A. Whether the order was 9 Q. The answer is, then, you don't know whether or not 10 that order was confidential. 11 A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told 12 Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's 13 relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was 14 confidential, or not? 15 MR. LANE: Objection. 16 A. In my mind, it was not relevant because what she 17 did by telling a third party about it constituted just cause 18 for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no 19 reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She 20 did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 7 you strictly rely on the word of Mr. Cauley and Ms. Liebel? 8 A. These are seasoned, respected individuals. I had 9 no reason to doubt what they were telling me. 10 Q. So that's yes? 11 A. Yes, I relied upon what they told me. 12 Q. Did you perform any independent investigation of any of the facts set forth in Mr. Cauley's September or 14 August 20th letter? 15 A. I reviewed the e-mails which he appended, and asked that all the e-mails be provided to me. 17 Q. Did you review all the e-mails? 18 A. These are seasoned, respected individuals. I had 19 no reason to doubt what they were telling me. 10 Q. So that's yes? 11 A. Yes, I relied upon what they told me. 12 Q. Did you perform any independent investigation of any of the facts set forth in Mr. Cauley's September or 14 August 20th letter? 15 A. I reviewed the e-mails which he appended, and asked that all the e-mails be provided to me. 17 Q. Did you review all the e-mails? 18 A. I reviewed a substantial number of them. I'm not sure if I reviewed them all. However, I certainly reviewed the ones which were appended. 20 Okay. So you reviewed what Mr. Cauley gave you.	5	Q. Okay.	5	that. I'm asking you for your own independent judgment.
A. Whether the order was Q. The answer is, then, you don't know whether or not that order was confidential. A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was confidential, or not? MR. LANE: Objection. A. In my mind, it was not relevant because what she did by telling a third party about it constituted just cause for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved in the case find out about a matter of which they were A. These are seasoned, respected individuals. I had no reason to doubt what they were telling me. Q. So that's yes? 11 A. Yes, I relied upon what they told me. 12 Q. Did you perform any independent investigation of any of the facts set forth in Mr. Cauley's September or 14 August 20th letter? A. I reviewed the e-mails which he appended, and asked that all the e-mails be provided to me. Q. Did you review all the e-mails? A. I reviewed a substantial number of them. I'm not sure if I reviewed them all. However, I certainly reviewed the ones which were appended. Q. Okay. So you reviewed what Mr. Cauley gave you.	6	A. Whether the order	6	Did you judge that this was confidential information or did
9 Q. The answer is, then, you don't know whether or not 10 that order was confidential. 11 A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told 12 Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's 13 relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was 14 confidential, or not? 15 MR. LANE: Objection. 16 A. In my mind, it was not relevant because what she 17 did by telling a third party about it constituted just cause 18 for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no 19 reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She 20 did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 22 Q. So that's yes? 11 A. Yes, I relied upon what they told me. 12 Q. Did you perform any independent investigation of 13 any of the facts set forth in Mr. Cauley's September or 14 August 20th letter? 15 A. I reviewed the e-mails which he appended, and 16 asked that all the e-mails be provided to me. 17 Q. Did you review all the e-mails? 18 A. I reviewed a substantial number of them. I'm not 19 sure if I reviewed them all. However, I certainly reviewed 20 the ones which were appended. 21 Q. Okay. So you reviewed what Mr. Cauley gave you.	7	Q. The question was	7	you strictly rely on the word of Mr. Cauley and Ms. Liebel?
that order was confidential. A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was may of the facts set forth in Mr. Cauley's September or A. I reviewed the e-mails which he appended, and asked that all the e-mails be provided to me. MR. LANE: Objection. A. I reviewed the e-mails be provided to me. Did you review all the e-mails? A. I reviewed a substantial number of them. I'm not reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved in the case find out about a matter of which they were 10 Q. So that's yes? 11 A. Yes, I relied upon what they told me. 12 Q. Did you perform any independent investigation of any of the facts set forth in Mr. Cauley's September or A. I reviewed the e-mails which he appended, and asked that all the e-mails be provided to me. Q. Did you review all the e-mails? A. I reviewed a substantial number of them. I'm not sure if I reviewed them all. However, I certainly reviewed the ones which were appended. Q. Okay. So you reviewed what Mr. Cauley gave you.	8	A. Whether the order was	8	A. These are seasoned, respected individuals. I had
A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was confidential, or not? MR. LANE: Objection. A. I reviewed the e-mails which he appended, and asked that all the e-mails be provided to me. MR. Lander of termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved in the case find out about a matter of which they were 11	9	Q. The answer is, then, you don't know whether or not	9	no reason to doubt what they were telling me.
Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was confidential, or not? MR. LANE: Objection. A. In my mind, it was not relevant because what she did by telling a third party about it constituted just cause for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved in the case find out about a matter of which they were 20	10	that order was confidential.	10	
relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was confidential, or not? MR. LANE: Objection. A. In my mind, it was not relevant because what she did by telling a third party about it constituted just cause for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved in the case find out about a matter of which they were 13 any of the facts set forth in Mr. Cauley's September or August 20th letter? A. I reviewed the e-mails which he appended, and asked that all the e-mails be provided to me. Q. Did you review all the e-mails? A. I reviewed a substantial number of them. I'm not sure if I reviewed them all. However, I certainly reviewed the ones which were appended. Q. Okay. So you reviewed what Mr. Cauley gave you.	11	A. It's not relevant. The fact is she told	11	
14 confidential, or not? 15 MR. LANE: Objection. 16 A. In my mind, it was not relevant because what she 17 did by telling a third party about it constituted just cause 18 for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no 19 reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She 20 did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 14 August 20th letter? A. I reviewed the e-mails be provided to me. 17 Q. Did you review all the e-mails? A. I reviewed a substantial number of them. I'm not sure if I reviewed them all. However, I certainly reviewed the ones which were appended. 20 Q. Okay. So you reviewed what Mr. Cauley gave you.	12	Q. Look. It's not for you to determine what's	12	
MR. LANE: Objection. A. In my mind, it was not relevant because what she did by telling a third party about it constituted just cause for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved in the case find out about a matter of which they were 15 A. I reviewed the e-mails which he appended, and asked that all the e-mails be provided to me. Q. Did you review all the e-mails? A. I reviewed a substantial number of them. I'm not sure if I reviewed them all. However, I certainly reviewed the ones which were appended. Q. Okay. So you reviewed what Mr. Cauley gave you.	13	relevant. Do you know whether or not the order was	13	•
16 A. In my mind, it was not relevant because what she 17 did by telling a third party about it constituted just cause 18 for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no 19 reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She 20 did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 22 Q. Okay. So you reviewed what Mr. Cauley gave you.	14	confidential, or not?	ì	-
17 did by telling a third party about it constituted just cause 18 for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no 19 reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She 20 did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 22 Q. Did you review all the e-mails? A. I reviewed a substantial number of them. I'm not sure if I reviewed them all. However, I certainly reviewed the ones which were appended. 21 Q. Did you review all the e-mails? A. I reviewed a substantial number of them. I'm not sure if I reviewed them all. However, I certainly reviewed the ones which were appended. 22 Q. Okay. So you reviewed what Mr. Cauley gave you.	15	•	15	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved in the case find out about a matter of which they were A. I reviewed a substantial number of them. I'm not sure if I reviewed them all. However, I certainly reviewed the ones which were appended. Q. Okay. So you reviewed what Mr. Cauley gave you.	16	A. In my mind, it was not relevant because what she	16	•
19 reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She 20 did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 22	17	did by telling a third party about it constituted just cause	17	
20 did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved 21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 22 Q. Okay. So you reviewed what Mr. Cauley gave you.	18	for termination. She spoke of a case to someone who had no	1	
21 in the case find out about a matter of which they were 21 Q. Okay. So you reviewed what Mr. Cauley gave you.	19	reason, professional or otherwise, to know about it. She	19	
To deliver the second of the s	20	did it through e-mail with intent that the person involved	1	**
معموم بيون على عند من موريون موريون موريون المطالب الموريون المور	21	in the case find out about a matter of which they were	1	
	22	unaware.	22	A. In addition when you say gave me, do you mean
23 Q. Okay. 23 as attachments or	23	Q. Okay.	1	
	24	A. She acted appropriately, improperly.	1	Q. Yeah, he attached them to his letter and gave them
25 MR. McNAIR: This is not responsive to the 25 to you.				

29 (Pages 113 to 116)

			29 (Pages 113 to 116)
	113		115
١.			
1 2	Well, there were others that he also gave me. Q. Okay.	1 2	Q. Okay. If I could draw your attention back to Exhibit No. 3.
3	Q. Okay.A. And I reviewed some of those as well.	3	
4		4	A. Which one is is that the January 27th article?
5	Q. Did you talk to any of the people involved?A. No.	5	Q. No. Excuse me. MR. LANE: January 17th.
6	Q. And I think we can agree you didn't review any of	6	Q. Yeah, let's go back to the September 12th article.
7	the statutes to determine whether what they were telling you	7	A. I do.
8	was true or not.	8	Q. Okay. All right. On the fourth page of that
9	A. I reviewed the documents which were attached.	9	article, in the second paragraph from the bottom the
10	Q. Okay. So you didn't review any statutes.	10	fourth page. Second paragraph from the bottom. It says,
11	A. I reviewed the documents which were attached.	11	"Onorato, the County Solicitor, said he reviewed a
12	Q. So did you review any statutes, or not?	12	transcript of the hearing and said the County found no
13	MR. LANE: If you recall.	13	problems with how Deveney and other supervisors handled the
14	A. I don't recall.	14	case." Is this an accurate statement of what you told the
15	MR. LANE: By the way, the letter, you marked it	15	reporter?
16	Cauley's Deposition, the August 20th letter, you	16	A. I believe so, yes.
17	had indicated and I forget what exactly it was,	17	Q. Okay. All right. So you found Deveney's actions
18	that some of the documents weren't included with	18	in that case, that's referred to above in the article, to be
19	that.	19	appropriate?
20	MR. McNAIR: The Word documents that were on her	20	A. I would say that it went beyond just a review of
21	computer are the ones that were not attached. All	21	the transcript, but an understanding of how the document in
22	the e-mails, everything but that, was attached.	22	question is formed and its use.
23	There were just two lengthy Word documents that	23	Q. Okay. So you found that Deveney's actions were
24	have nothing to do	24	appropriate.
25	MR. LANE: I just didn't recall what they were.	25	A. I found that they were in keeping with the
***************************************	114		116
1	Q. Would it be fair to say that in making your	1	standard operating procedures of the department.
2	decision in making the decision to terminate Ms. Conley's	2	Q. Do you know whether those standard procedures have been changed since then?
3	employment, you relied on the information provided by	3	A. I do not know.
5	Mr. Cauley and Ms. Liebel and Ms. Allgeier.	5	Q. The bottom paragraph said you said you
6	MR. LANE: Objection to form. A. That information was provided. The decision was	6	disagreed with Conley's testimony about the alteration of a
7	made, as I said, in a collective manner. I would not	7	court summary. And what basis did you have to say that?
8	classify it directly as my decision, however, it was a	8	First of all, did you say that?
9	decision of which I completely agreed. I mean, what else do	9	A. I believe that I believe that she
10	you do when an individual releases information to a third	10	characterized what she characterized as an alteration is
11	party which they shouldn't.	11	not. But, rather, it is the part of the editing and
12	Q. I'm not asking you about that.	12	formatting process of the department.
13	A. It is	13	Q. Okay.
14	Q. I'm asking you about the sources of information	14	A. She characterized something as being wrong, when,
15	that you had when a decision was made. And the only sources	15	in fact, it was acceptable.
16	of information that you had at the time the decision to	16	Q. Okay. So you don't question the fact that
17	terminate Abby was made was based on what you had been told	17	Ms. Deveney changed the court summary that Ms. Conley
18	by Mr. Cauley, Ms. Liebel and Ms. Allgeier, correct?	18	submitted.
19	A. That's correct.	19	A. I do not believe that the change was I believe
20	Q. And you had no other source of information.	20	it was in accord with the practices that the department was
21	A. That's correct.	21	following at the time.
22	Q. And you sought no other source of information.	22	MR. LANE: He asked you whether she changed it or
23	A. The evidence was damning and overwhelming.	23	not.
24	Q. And you did not direct any further investigation.	24	A. Okay. I say that it was not the same
25	A. There was no necessity to.	25	document it was not did not contain the same verbiage

30 (Pages 117 to 120)

		1	30 (Pages 117 to 120)
	117		119
1	that Ms. Conley had used.	1	A. "On August"
2	Q. Okay. So it had been changed by Ms. Deveney.	2	Q prior to submitting that report to you.
3	A. I don't know by whom.	3	A. Yes. "On August 4th, 2004 I interviewed Kim
4	Q. Okay.	4	Peebles, a supervisor of the clerical department of the
5	A. I know that the initial iteration Ms. Conley	5	Office of Children and Youth. She related being approached
6	claims was hers.	6	by the employee on August 2nd, 2004 with complaints about
7	MR. McNAIR: That's all the questions I have.	7	Supervisor Deveney and Caseworker W. According to Peebles,
8	·	8	the employee reiterated the untrue allegations against
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION	9	caseworker W and discussed the particulars of that case with
10	BY MR. JOYAL:	10	Ms. Peebles. This particular breach of confidentiality was
11		11	done clearly to impune Caseworker W."
12	Q. I have some questions. You have the first	12	Q. Now, you understood at that point in time, did you
13	thing I want to ask you, is while Mr. McNair was talking	13	not, that this had to do with the report of child abuse that
14	about statutes, I want you to go back into, if you would	14	Abby Conley had filed
15	I'm going to give you a copy of 23 P.A. CSA Section 6339 and	15	A. That is correct.
16	6340. This is part of the Child Protective Services Act.	16	Q against Ms. W, correct?
17	A. Yes.	17	A. That is correct.
18	Q. Before you review those one is titled, I	18	Q. All right. Take a look at 6339, if you would.
19	believe, Reports 3339 and 3340. 40, I believe, deals with	19	A. Yes.
20	people who can get information.	20	Q. Does that section of the statute not deal with
21	A. 33	21	reports of child abuse?
22	Q. 6339 talks about confidentiality of reports.	22	A. It does.
23	A. Yes, that's correct.	23 24	Q. Does it say that those reports are confidential?
24 25	Q. 6340 talks about the people who are entitled to information contained in the reports?	25	A. It does. Q. Now, take a look, if you would, at the next
23			
	118	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	120
1	A. It's titled release of information in confidential	1	section. And skim it for me, and tell me if in that section
2	reports.	2	of the statute regarding confidentiality, whether or not a
3	Q. Go back, if you would, to the I know Mr. McNair	3	supervisor of the clerical department at OCY would be a
4	wanted to focus on the testimony in court. But go back, if	4	person, in your legal opinion, who would be entitled to
5	you would, to Mr. Cauley's report to you.	5	release of that information?
6	A. Yes.	6	MR. McNAIR: Objection. Argumentative. Calls for
7	Q. How many areas did he point out to you as areas of	7	a legal conclusion.
8	concern?	8	MR. JOYAL: No, it's not. It's a question. He's
9	A. There was Item 1, breach of confidentiality. Item	9	a lawyer. You asked him questions all the time
10	2, breach of confidentiality. Item 3, improper disclosure	10	about that, Mr. McNair.
11	of County work product, violation of computer use policy.	11	Q. Take a look and see if
12	Item 4, violations of County computer use policy. Item 5,	12	MR. McNAIR: I'm going to object.
13	violations of employee work and conduct responsibility.	13	Q there's somebody there that she should have
14	Q. Okay.	14	given that information about that unfounded report to you on
15	A. So five.	15 16	August 4th.
16	Q. Five. Take look at No. 5. A. Yes.	17	A. I'm checking the pocket part as well on that. Q. Well, the pocket part, I think, went into effect
18	Q. If you would. Refresh your recollection by	18	in 2005.
19	looking at it. By the way, Mr. Cauley has well put in what	19	A. No, she is not
20	you thought the violations of the County personnel code	20	Q. She is not. So the totality of the circumstances
21	were, right?	21	on September 10th, between August 20th and September 10th
22	A. Yes.	22	would have indicated that at least concerning that report
23	Q. Take a look, if you would, at the second paragraph	23	and that paragraph, there had been a violation or
24	there which starts on August 4th, and read into the record	24	apparent violation of 23 P.A. CSA Section 6339 and 6340.
25	what Mr. Cauley said he discovered	25	MR. McNAIR: Objection, argumentative.

31 (Pages 121 to 124)

			31 (Pages 121 to 124)
	121	- North Control of the Control of th	123
1	Q. Would you agree?	1	confidentiality. And what's the second sentence that starts
2	A. I would agree with that characterization.	2	with, "It is expected."
3	Q. Take a look, if you would as well now, you were	3	A. "It is expected that confidentiality will be
4	aware, I believe during your conversations, that the report	4	maintained regarding the recent incident in our unit."
5	against Ms. W was filed sometime in June, June 21st?	5	Q. Okay. Now, let's just stop for a second. Let me
6	A. That's correct.	6	stop there. Were you aware did you at that point in time
7	Q. Okay. If you take a look at the attachments	7	have any understanding of what that "recent incident" meant?
8	there, there's an e-mail, January 28th of '04, from Abby	8	A. When you say recent incident, do you mean
9	Conley to Deanna Cosby.	9	July 9th?
10	A. June 28th.	10	Q. Well, the e-mail is July 9th.
11	Q. June 28th, '04.	11	A. Right.
12	A. I'm sorry. When is it? June	12	Q. Reference is a recent incident in our unit. And
13	Q. June 28th, '04?	13	it then says, "It is not to be discussed with professionals
14	A. The pages are not the e-mails are now	14	from other agencies, nor with the parents, foster parent,"
15	Q. They're not in order.	15	et cetera.
16	A in order. I believe this is it.	16	MR. LANE: Are you asking whether he knew what
17	Q. Yeah, that's the one. Is that the one that asks	17	that meant in August?
18	about June 28th, is that the e-mail that asks about	18	Q. Yeah. Do you know what that meant in August, what
19	whether Ms. Cosby has any information concerning PW's former	19	incident it was talking about?
20	husband?	20	A. I don't recall.
21	A. Yes. Q. Former clients?	22	Q. Okay. Now, that's July 9th. A. Right.
23	Q. Former clients? A. Yes.	23	Q. The PW report was July June 21.
24	Q. Correct?	24	A. Yes.
25	A. Yes.	25	Q. My understanding is that it was around June 30
provide the state of the state			
	122	a company and company	124
1	Q. As to whether she would be willing to testify	1	that the DPW investigator had come out to investigate.
2	about SD and PW to the Department of Public Welfare?	2	A. That's correct.
3	A. Yes.	3	Q. Had anyone told you that, or did you come to a
4	Q. And, again, about another family that allegedly	4	conclusion that what that was talking about was a directive
5	Ms. PW was maligning.	5	to Ms. Conley, as well as others in the unit, not to be
6	A. Yes.	6	discussing that particular incident?
7	Q. Now, you were aware at that point in time, on	7	A. That was my understanding.
8	August 20th, as well as September 10th, that that had to do	8	Q. Again, another indication that she had been warned
9	with the report of child abuse; is that right?	9	about this stuff.
10	A. That's correct. Q. As a lawyer, in your opinion, would that be	10 11	A. Exactly. Q. Now, let's go back. There are some other e-mails
11 12	another factor in terms of a violation of confidentiality,	12	in there too. But let's talk about the report that
13	concerning not only PW in that report but other clients of	13	allegedly was altered, which was the subject matter of the
14	the department for the Office of Children and Youth?	14	July 28th testimony from Ms. Conley. Did not Mr. Cauley
15	A. Certainly.	15	when he wrote about the I think it's No. 3.
16	Q. Totality of the circumstances showed what to you?	16	A. Yes.
17	A. That this was an individual who could not keep	17	Q. Improper disclosure of County work product. In
18	matters confidential regarding cases. And, in fact, could	18	this stack of e-mails, there is an e-mail from Ms. Conley to
19	not perform her job as a result.	19	Ms. Deveney, her supervisor. I believe it's dated April 19,
20	Q. Okay. And then just if you would too, there's a	20	which has an attachment to Ms. Deveney — it's got Exhibit C
21	few pages after that. There's an e-mail from July 9th which	21	on it here.
22	is from Sue Deveney	22	A. Yes.
23	A. Yes.	23	Q. Okay. See, it's a at the bottom really,
24	Q to Abby Conley. And this talks about	24	what it is, is there is an e-mail dated May 4, 2004 to
25	confirmation about a meeting that was had regarding	25	Abby@ilovejesus.net from Abby Conley.

32 (Pages 125 to 128)

125 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Have you come to find out that that's Abby 3 Conley's home computer address? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Below that, there look likes it's the forwarding 6 of an e-mail that was set from Abby Conley to Sue Deveney 7 dated April 19th, 2004. 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And you can see that if you look at the bottom of 10 these, one is 11:41, one is at 11:42. The one at 11:41 says 11 SH, court summary. 12 A. Um-hum. Yes. 13 Q. Dated April 19th going to Sue Deveney and Michele 14 Schetter. And the one above it says CSH, which has 4 15 kilobytes more of stuff. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Again dated April 19th, 10 minutes later, 11:01. 18 A. Um-hum. Yes. 19 Q. Did you come to find out that that was the 10 document that came into Mr. Villella's possession? 21 MR. McNAIR: Objection. There's no foundation for that. In fact, you know for a fact that's not true, because you talked to Mr. Villella. 24 MR. JOYAL: I know I did. 25 MR. McNAIR: Because he told me you did. Unless 126 1 you're accusing him of being a liar too. 2 MR. JOYAL: No, I'm not. He has a different recollection than Mr. Cauley does, I believe. I bet he also told you that your client called him to the tell him about it. 4 Q. Does that appear to you — is there any — Mr. 5 Cauley pointed out to you that there does not seem to be a reason on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailing a document from one to another. E-mail it home, print it out on your home to another. E-mail it home, print it out on your home to another. E-mail it home, print it out on your home to computer. 4 A. Yes. 9 A. Yes. 9 Q. And either deliver it or mail it. 8 A. Either way. But the point is, is that it was different and increted — and eventually in possession of Attorney Villella. 10 Q. Did you ever find out whether or not Mr. Villella had knowledge of the document, if not actual, physical possession of the document, if not actual, physical pos	came for
2 Q. Have you come to find out that that's Abby 3 Conley's home computer address? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Below that, there look likes it's the forwarding 6 of an e-mail that was sent from Abby Conley to Sue Deveney 7 dated April 19th, 2004. 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And you can see that if you look at the bottom of 10 these, one is 11:41, one is at 11:42. The one at 11:41 says 11 SH, court summary. 12 A. Um-hum. Yes. 13 Q. Dated April 19th going to Sue Deveney and Michele 14 Schetter. And the one above it says CSH, which has 4 15 kilobytes more of stuff. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Again dated April 19th, 10 minutes later, 11:01. 18 A. Um-hum. Yes. 19 Q. Did you come to find out that that was the 19 document that came into Mr. Villella. 20 Did you come to find out that that was the 21 document that came into Mr. Villella. 22 MR. McNAIR: Objection, There's no foundation for 22 that. In fact, you know for a fact that's not 23 true, because you talked to Mr. Villella. 24 MR. JOYAL: I know I did. 25 MR. McNAIR: Because he told me you did. Unless 26 MR. JOYAL: I know I did. 27 A. Exactly. But frowarded again in that direction. 3 Q. Again, easy way to get the document from one to another. E-mail it home, print it out on your home computer and orbit. 4 A. Yes. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. And either deliver it or mail it. 4 A. Either way. But the point is, is that it was directed out of the unit and directed – and eventually in possession of Attorney Villella. 4 NR. McNAIR: Objection. There's no foundation that that was the document, prior to the July 28th – MR. McNAIR: Objection, foundation. He's testified he never spoke to Mr. Villella. 4 No, I didn't. 5 Q. Did not the transcript? 5 Q. Did not the transcript indicate to you that true, because you talked to Mr. Villella. 5 Wr. Villella had knowledge of the document even if he have possession of it? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Did not the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of the average reading – reviewing the prior to June 4th when you were reading – reviewing the prior to June 4th when you were reading – reviewi	came for
2 Q. Have you come to find out that that's Abby 3 Conley's home computer address? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Below that, there look likes it's the forwarding 6 of an e-mail that was sent from Abby Conley to Sue Deveney 7 dated April 19th, 2004. 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And you can see that if you look at the bottom of 10 these, one is 11:41, one is at 11:42. The one at 11:41 says 11 SH, court summary. 12 A. Um-hum. Yes. 13 Q. Dated April 19th going to Sue Deveney and Michele 14 Schetter. And the one above it says CSH, which has 4 15 kilobytes more of stuff. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Again dated April 19th, 10 minutes later, 11:01. 18 A. Um-hum. Yes. 19 Q. Did you come to find out that that was the 20 document that came into Mr. Villella. 21 MR. McNAIR: Objection, There's no foundation for 22 that. In fact, you know for a fact that's not 23 true, because you talked to Mr. Villella. 24 MR. JOYAL: I know I did. 25 MR. McNAIR: Because he told me you did. Unless 126 1 you're accusing him of being a liar too. 2 MR. JOYAL: I know I did. 2 MR. JOYAL: No, Tm not. He has a different 3 recollection than Mr. Cauley does, I believe. I 4 be the also told you that your client called him 5 to tell him about it. 6 Q. Does that appear to you — is there any — Mr. 7 Cauley pointed out to you that there does not seem to be a 8 reason on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailing a document 9 from the County to her home.	came for
3 Conley's home computer address? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Below that, there look likes it's the forwarding 6 of an e-mail that was sent from Abby Conley to Sue Deveney 7 dated April 19th, 2004. 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And you can see that if you look at the bottom of 10 these, one is 11:41, one is at 11:42. The one at 11:41 says 11 SH, court summary. 12 A. Um-hum. Yes. 13 Q. Dated April 19th going to Sue Deveney and Michele 14 Schetter. And the one above it says CSH, which has 4 15 kilobytes more of stuff. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Again dated April 19th, 10 minutes later, 11:01. 18 A. Um-hum. Yes. 19 Q. Did you come to find out that that was the 10 document that came into Mr. Villella's possession? 21 MR. McNAIR: Objection. There's no foundation for 122 that. In fact, you know for a fact that's not 22 true, because you talked to Mr. Villella. 23 mR. DYAL: I know I did. 25 MR. JOYAL: No, I'm not. He has a different 3 recollection than Mr. Cauley does, I believe. I 4 bet he also told you that your client called him 5 to tell him about it. 6 Q. Does that appear to you — is there any — Mr. 7 Cauley pointed out to you that there does not seem to be a 7 reason on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailing a document 9 from the County to her home.	came for
4 A. Yes. Q. Below that, there look likes it's the forwarding of an e-mail that was sent from Abby Conley to Sue Deveney dated April 19th, 2004. A. Yes. Q. And you can see that if you look at the bottom of these, one is 11:41, one is at 11:42. The one at 11:41 says SH, court summary. A. Um-hum. Yes. Q. Dated April 19th going to Sue Deveney and Michele Schetter. And the one above it says CSH, which has 4 Kilobytes more of stuff. A. Yes. Q. Dated April 19th, 10 minutes later, 11:01. A. Yes. Q. Did you come to find out that that was the document that came into Mr. Villella's possession? A. We. MR. McNAIR: Objection, foundation. He's testified he never spoke to Mr. Villella. A. No, I didn't. A. Yes. MR. McNAIR: Objection, foundation. He's testified he never spoke to Mr. Villella. A. No, I didn't. A. Yes. MR. McNAIR: Objection foundation for that. In fact, you know for a fact that's not true, because you talked to Mr. Villella. A. MR. JOYAL: I know I did. A. MR. JOYAL: I know I did. B. MR. McNAIR: Because he told me you did. Unless 126 1 you're accusing him of being a liar too. A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Wes. A. Wes. A. Wes. A. Wes. A. Wes. A. Bither way. But the point is, is that it was directed out of the unit and directed — and eventually in possession of Attorney Villella. B. MR. McNAIR: Objection. There's no foundation that. A. Did knowledge of the document, if not actual, physical possession of the document, prior to the July 28th — that out ever find out whether or not Mr. Villella. A. No, I didn't. B. A. Yes. C. Did not the transcript indicate to you that that came into Mr. Villella. A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript. I did read the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of the document even if he her possession of it? A. Yes. C. Did not the unit and directed — and eventually in possession of the document, prior to but but whether or not Mr. Villella. A. No, I didn't. B. A. No, I did	came for
5 Q. Below that, there look likes it's the forwarding 6 of an e-mail that was sent from Abby Conley to Sue Deveney 7 dated April 19th, 2004. 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And you can see that if you look at the bottom of 10 these, one is 11:41, one is at 11:42. The one at 11:41 says 11 SH, court summary. 12 A. Um-hum. Yes. 13 Q. Dated April 19th going to Sue Deveney and Michele 14 Schetter. And the one above it says CSH, which has 4 15 kilobytes more of stuff. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Again dated April 19th, 10 minutes later, 11:01. 18 A. Um-hum. Yes. 19 Q. Did you come to find out that that was the 20 document that came into Mr. Villella's possession? 21 MR. McNAIR: Objection, There's no foundation for 22 that. In fact, you know for a fact that's not 23 true, because you talked to Mr. Villella. 24 MR. JOYAL: I know I did. 25 MR. McNAIR: Because he told me you did. Unless 126 1 you're accusing him of being a liar too. 2 MR. JOYAL: No, I'm not. He has a different 3 recollection than Mr. Cauley does, I believe. I 4 bet he also told you that your client called him 5 to tell him about it. 6 Q. Does that appear to you — is there any — Mr. 7 Cauley pointed out to you that there does not seem to be a reason on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailling a document 9 from the County to her home.	for
6 of an e-mail that was sent from Abby Conley to Sue Deveney 7 dated April 19th, 2004. 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And you can see that if you look at the bottom of 10 these, one is 11:41, one is at 11:42. The one at 11:41 says 11 SH, court summary. 12 A. Um-hum. Yes. 13 Q. Dated April 19th going to Sue Deveney and Michele 14 Schetter. And the one above it says CSH, which has 4 15 kilobytes more of stuff. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. And either deliver it or mail it. 18 A. Wes. 19 Q. Dated April 19th going to Sue Deveney and Michele 19 Q. Did you ever find out whether or not Mr. Villell 10 had knowledge of the document, if not actual, physical possession of the document, prior to the July 28th — 18 A. Wes. 19 Q. Did you come to find out that that was the 20 document that came into Mr. Villella's possession? 21 MR. McNAIR: Objection. There's no foundation for that. In fact, you know for a fact that's not true, because you talked to Mr. Villella. 22 MR. JOYAL: I know I did. 23 MR. McNAIR: Because he told me you did. Unless 126 1 you're accusing him of being a liar too. 2 MR. JOYAL: No, I'm not. He has a different recollection than Mr. Cauley does, I believe. I bet he also told you that your client called him to tell him about it. 6 Q. Does that appear to you — is there any — Mr. 7 Cauley pointed out to you that there does not seem to be a reason on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailling a document a from the County to her home.	for
7 dated April 19th, 2004. 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And you can see that if you look at the bottom of these, one is 11:41, one is at 11:42. The one at 11:41 says 15 SH, court summary. 12 A. Um-hum. Yes. 13 Q. Dated April 19th going to Sue Deveney and Michele 14 Schetter. And the one above it says CSH, which has 4 kilobytes more of stuff. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Again dated April 19th, 10 minutes later, 11:01. 18 A. Um-hum. Yes. 19 Q. Did you come to find out that that was the 20 document that came into Mr. Villella's possession? 20 MR. McNAIR: Objection. There's no foundation for 22 that. In fact, you know for a fact that's not 23 true, because you talked to Mr. Villella. 24 MR. JOYAL: I know I did. 25 MR. McNAIR: Because he told me you did. Unless 126 1 you're accusing him of being a liar too. 2 MR. JOYAL: No, I'm not. He has a different 3 recollection than Mr. Cauley does, I believe. I 4 bet he also told you that your client called him 5 to tell him about it. 6 Q. Does that appear to you — is there any — Mr. 7 Cauley pointed out to you that three does not seem to be a reason on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailing a document 41 sone was monitoring at least the computer scn. 9 that someone was monitoring at least the computer scn. 9 that someone was monitoring at least the computer scn. 9 that someone was monitoring at least the computer scn. 9 that someone was monitoring at least the computer scn. 9 that someone was monitoring at least the computer scn. 9 that someone was monitoring at least the computer scn. 9 that someone was monitoring at least the computer scn. 9 that someone was monitoring at least the computer scn. 9 that someone was monitoring at least the computer scn. 9 that someone was monitoring at least the computer scn. 9 that someone was monitoring at least the computer scn. 9 that someone was monitoring at least the computer scn. 9 that someone was monitoring at least the computer scn. 9 that someone was monitoring at least the computer scn. 10 that there does not seem to be a since the point in possession o	for
8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And you can see that if you look at the bottom of these, one is 11:41, one is at 11:42. The one at 11:41 says 11 SH, court summary. 12 A. Um-hum. Yes. 13 Q. Dated April 19th going to Sue Deveney and Michele Schetter. And the one above it says CSH, which has 4 kilobytes more of stuff. 14 Schetter. And the one above it says CSH, which has 4 kilobytes more of stuff. 15 A. Yes. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Again dated April 19th, 10 minutes later, 11:01. 18 A. Um-hum. Yes. 19 Q. Did you come to find out that that was the document that came into Mr. Villella's possession? 20 document that came into Mr. Villella's possession? 21 MR. McNAIR: Objection, There's no foundation for true, because you talked to Mr. Villella. 22 that. In fact, you know for a fact that's not true, because you talked to Mr. Villella. 23 true, because you talked to Mr. Villella. 24 MR. JOYAL: I know I did. 25 MR. McNAIR: Because he told me you did. Unless 126 1 you're accusing him of being a liar too. 2 MR. JOYAL: No, Tm not. He has a different recollection than Mr. Cauley does, I believe. I bet he also told you that your client called him to tell him about it. 4 Q. Does that appear to you — is there any — Mr. 5 Cauley pointed out to you that there does not seem to be a reason on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailing a document from that computer scn. 18 A. Either way. But the point is, is that it was directed out of the unit and directed — and eventually in possession of Attorney Villella. 18 MR. McNAIR: Objection. There's no foundation that. 19 Q. Did you ever find out whether or not Mr. Villella. 20 MR. McNAIR: Objection. There's no foundation for the document, prior to the July 28th — 18 A. No, I didn't. 19 Q. You read the transcript? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Did not the transcript indicate to you that when ven if he document even if he and knowledge of the document even if he wre still that. In fact, you know for a fact that's not true, because you talked to Mr. Villella. 22 A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript, and it's clear	for
9 Q. And you can see that if you look at the bottom of these, one is 11:41, one is at 11:42. The one at 11:41 says 11 SH, court summary. 11 SH, court summary. 12 A. Um-hum. Yes. 13 Q. Dated April 19th going to Sue Deveney and Michele Schetter. And the one above it says CSH, which has 4 kilobytes more of stuff. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Again dated April 19th, 10 minutes later, 11:01. 18 A. Um-hum. Yes. 19 Q. Did you come to find out that that was the 20 document that came into Mr. Villella's possession? 21 MR. McNAIR: Objection, foundation. He's testified he never spoke to Mr. Villella. 22 that. In fact, you know for a fact that's not 22 true, because you talked to Mr. Villella. 23 true, because you talked to Mr. Villella. 24 MR. JOYAL: I know I did. 25 MR. McNAIR: Because he told me you did. Unless 126 1 you're accusing him of being a liar too. 2 MR. JOYAL: No, I'm not. He has a different 3 to tell him about it. 5 Q. Does that appear to you is there any Mr. Cauley pointed out to you that there does not seem to be a reason on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailing a document 9 from the County to her home.	for
10 these, one is 11:41, one is at 11:42. The one at 11:41 says 11 SH, court summary. 12 A. Um-hum. Yes. 13 Q. Dated April 19th going to Sue Deveney and Michele 14 Schetter. And the one above it says CSH, which has 4 15 kilobytes more of stuff. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Again dated April 19th, 10 minutes later, 11:01. 18 A. Um-hum. Yes. 19 Q. Did you come to find out that that was the 20 document that came into Mr. Villella's possession? 21 MR. McNAIR: Objection, There's no foundation for 22 that. In fact, you know for a fact that's not 23 true, because you talked to Mr. Villella. 24 MR. JOYAL: I know I did. 25 MR. McNAIR: Because he told me you did. Unless 26 Universe accusing him of being a liar too. 27 MR. JOYAL: No, I'm not. He has a different 38 recollection than Mr. Cauley does, I believe. I 49 Does that appear to you is there any Mr. 40 Cauley pointed out to you that there does not seem to be a reason on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailing a document of that says CSH, which has 4 that. In fact, you know it is the possession of the document, if not actual, physical possession of the document, if not actual, physical possession of the document, prior to the July 28th 15 MR. McNAIR: Objection, foundation. He's testified he never spoke to Mr. Villella. 16 A. Von. I didn't. 17 testified he never spoke to Mr. Villella. 18 A. No, I didn't. 19 Q. You read the transcript? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Did not the transcript indicate to you that true, because you talked to Mr. Villella. 22 Mr. Villella had knowledge of the document even if he have possession of it? 23 A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript. I did read the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of the document even if he have possession of it? 24 A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript. I did read the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of the document even if he have possession of it? 25 A. Yes. 26 Q. Do you remember also seeing other e-mails in -from the Universe possession of it? 27 prior to June 4th when you were reading - reviewing it t	for
11 SH, court summary. 12 A. Um-hum. Yes. 13 Q. Dated April 19th going to Sue Deveney and Michele 14 Schetter. And the one above it says CSH, which has 4 15 kilobytes more of stuff. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Again dated April 19th, 10 minutes later, 11:01. 18 A. Um-hum. Yes. 19 Q. Did you come to find out that that was the 19 Q. Did you come to find out that that was the 20 document that came into Mr. Villella's possession? 21 MR. McNAIR: Objection, There's no foundation for 22 that. In fact, you know for a fact that's not 23 true, because you talked to Mr. Villella. 24 MR. JOYAL: I know I did. 25 MR. McNAIR: Because he told me you did. Unless 26 MR. JOYAL: No, I'm not. He has a different 3 recollection than Mr. Cauley does, I believe. I 4 bet he also told you that your client called him 5 to tell him about it. 6 Q. Does that appear to you—is there any—Mr. 7 Cauley pointed out to you that there does not seem to be a 8 reason on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailing a document 9 from the County to her home. 11 MR. McNAIR: Objection. There's no foundation that that was the possession of the document, prior to the July 28th— 4 MR. McNAIR: Objection, foundation. He's 16 MR. McNAIR: Objection, foundation. He's 17 testified he never spoke to Mr. Villella. 18 A. No, I didn't. 19 Q. You read the transcript? 20 A. Yes. 21 MR. McNAIR: Objection, foundation. He's 22 Lestified he never spoke to Mr. Villella. 23 A. Yes. 24 A. Yes. 25 MR. McNAIR: Objection, foundation for 26 A. Yes. 27 A. Yes. 28 A. Yes. 29 Lid not the transcript; and it's clear he had knowledge of the document even if he have possession of it? 29 A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of it as being her possession. 20 A. Yes. 21 A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of it as being her possession. 22 MR. JOYAL: No, I'm not. He has a different and the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of it as being her possession. 3 Q. Or at least he had the knowledge of it as being her possession. 3 Q	1
12 A. Um-hum. Yes. 13 Q. Dated April 19th going to Sue Deveney and Michele 14 Schetter. And the one above it says CSH, which has 4 15 kilobytes more of stuff. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Again dated April 19th, 10 minutes later, 11:01. 18 A. Um-hum. Yes. 19 Q. Did you come to find out that that was the 20 document that came into Mr. Villella's possession? 21 MR. McNAIR: Objection. There's no foundation for 22 that. In fact, you know for a fact that's not 23 true, because you talked to Mr. Villella. 24 MR. JOYAL: I know I did. 25 MR. McNAIR: Because he told me you did. Unless 126 1 you're accusing him of being a liar too. 2 MR. JOYAL: No, I'm not. He has a different 3 recollection than Mr. Cauley does, I believe. I 4 bet he also told you that your client called him 5 to tell him about it. 6 Q. Does that appear to you — is there any — Mr. 7 Cauley pointed out to you that there does not seem to be a 8 reason on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailing a document 9 from the County to her home. 12 that. 13 Q. Did you ever find out whether or not Mr. Villella 14 had knowledge of the document, prior to the July 28th — 16 MR. McNAIR: Objection, foundation. He's 15 testified he never spoke to Mr. Villella. 16 MR. McNAIR: Objection, foundation for 17 testified he never spoke to Mr. Villella. 18 A. No, I didn't. 19 Q. You read the transcript? 20 A. Yes. 21 MR. Villella had knowledge of the document, prior to the July 28th — 22 MR. McNAIR: Objection, foundation. He's 23 Lave versession of the document, prior to the July 28th — 24 MR. McNAIR: Objection, foundation. He's 25 Lave versession of the document, prior to the July 28th — 26 MR. McNAIR: Objection, foundation. He's 27 Lave versession of the document, prior to the July 28th — 28 MR. McNAIR: Objection, foundation. 29 A. Yes. 21 MR. McNAIR: Objection, foundation. 21 MR. McNAIR: A No, I didn't. 22 Mr. Villella had knowledge of the document ever spoke to Mr. Villella. 23 A. Yes. 24 A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript. I did read the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of	1
Q. Dated April 19th going to Sue Deveney and Michele Schetter. And the one above it says CSH, which has 4 Schetter. And the occument, prior to the July 28th Seculter objection, foundation. He's testified he never spoke to Mr. Villella. A. No, I didn't. So. You read the transcript? A. Yes. Q. Did not the transcript indicate to you that wriveledge of the document even if he have possession of it? A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript. I did read the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of it as being have possession. So. You read the transcript? A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript. I did read the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of it as being have possession of it? So. You read the transcript? A. Yes, I meant aside from the knowledge of it as being have possession. So. Or at least he had the knowledge of it as being have possession. A. Yes. One objection The July School Park Park Par	
14 Schetter. And the one above it says CSH, which has 4 15 kilobytes more of stuff. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Again dated April 19th, 10 minutes later, 11:01. 18 A. Um-hum. Yes. 19 Q. Did you come to find out that that was the 20 document that came into Mr. Villella's possession? 21 MR. McNAIR: Objection, foundation. He's 22 document that came into Mr. Villella's possession? 23 MR. McNAIR: Objection. There's no foundation for 24 that. In fact, you know for a fact that's not 25 MR. JOYAL: I know I did. 26 MR. JOYAL: No, I'm not. He has a different 3 recollection than Mr. Cauley does, I believe. I 4 bet he also told you that your client called him 5 to tell him about it. 6 Q. Does that appear to you is there any Mr. 7 Cauley pointed out to you that there does not seem to be a 8 reason on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailing a document 9 from the County to her home. 14 had knowledge of the document, if not actual, physical possession of the document, prior to the July 28th 15 MR. McNAIR: Objection, foundation. He's 16 MR. McNAIR: Objection, foundation. He's 17 testified he never spoke to Mr. Villella. 18 A. No, I didn't. 19 Q. You read the transcript? 20 A. Yes. 21 Mr. Villella had knowledge of the document, prior to the July 28th 16 MR. McNAIR: Objection, foundation. He's 17 testified he never spoke to Mr. Villella. 28 A. No, I didn't. 29 A. Yes. 20 A. Yes. 21 Mr. Villella had knowledge of the document even if he have possession of it? 22 A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of the document even if he have possession of it? 24 A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of the document even if he have possession of it? 24 A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of the document even if he have possession of it? 29 A. Yes. 20 Do a teleast he had the knowledge of it as being her possession. 20 Or at least he had the knowledge of it as being her possession. 21 A. Yes. 22 Do you remember also seeing other e-m	
15 kilobytes more of stuff. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Again dated April 19th, 10 minutes later, 11:01. 18 A. Um-hum. Yes. 19 Q. Did you come to find out that that was the 20 document that came into Mr. Villella's possession? 21 MR. McNAIR: Objection, There's no foundation for 22 that. In fact, you know for a fact that's not 23 true, because you talked to Mr. Villella. 24 MR. JOYAL: I know I did. 25 MR. McNAIR: Because he told me you did. Unless 26 MR. JOYAL: No, I'm not. He has a different 3 recollection than Mr. Cauley does, I believe. I 4 bet he also told you that your client called him 5 to tell him about it. 6 Q. Does that appear to you is there any Mr. 7 Cauley pointed out to you that there does not seem to be a 8 reason on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailing a document 9 from the County to her home. 15 MR. McNAIR: Objection, foundation. He's 16 MR. McNAIR: Objection, foundation. He's 17 testified he never spoke to Mr. Villella. 18 A. No, I didn't. 19 Q. You read the transcript? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Did not the transcript indicate to you that 22 Mr. Villella had knowledge of the document even if he have possession of it? 23 A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript. I did read the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of read the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of it as being her possession. 2	
16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Again dated April 19th, 10 minutes later, 11:01. 18 A. Um-hum. Yes. 19 Q. Did you come to find out that that was the 20 document that came into Mr. Villella's possession? 21 MR. McNAIR: Objection. There's no foundation for 22 that. In fact, you know for a fact that's not 23 true, because you talked to Mr. Villella. 24 MR. JOYAL: I know I did. 25 MR. McNAIR: Because he told me you did. Unless 124 Vou're accusing him of being a liar too. 2 MR. JOYAL: No, I'm not. He has a different 3 recollection than Mr. Cauley does, I believe. I 4 bet he also told you that your client called him 5 to tell him about it. 6 Q. Does that appear to you is there any Mr. 7 Cauley pointed out to you that there does not seem to be a 8 reason on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailing a document 9 from the County to her home. 1 iestified he never spoke to Mr. Villella. 18 A. No, I didn't. 19 Q. You read the transcript? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Did not the transcript indicate to you that 22 Mr. Villella had knowledge of the document even if he have possession of it? 23 have possession of it? 24 A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript. I did read the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of it as being her possession. 2 item before he approached the witness. Because it was his hand. 3 Q. Or at least he had the knowledge of it as being her possession. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Do you remember also seeing other e-mails in -7 prior to June 4th when you were reading reviewing the that would have indicated that Abby Conley had some seed that someone was monitoring at least the computer script.	ļ
17 Q. Again dated April 19th, 10 minutes later, 11:01. 18 A. Um-hum. Yes. 19 Q. Did you come to find out that that was the 20 document that came into Mr. Villella's possession? 21 MR. McNAIR: Objection. There's no foundation for 22 that. In fact, you know for a fact that's not 23 true, because you talked to Mr. Villella. 24 MR. JOYAL: I know I did. 25 MR. McNAIR: Because he told me you did. Unless 126 1 you're accusing him of being a liar too. 2 MR. JOYAL: No, I'm not. He has a different 3 recollection than Mr. Cauley does, I believe. I 4 bet he also told you that your client called him 5 to tell him about it. 6 Q. Does that appear to you is there any Mr. 7 Cauley pointed out to you that there does not seem to be a 8 reason on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailing a document 9 from the County to her home. 11 testified he never spoke to Mr. Villella. 18 A. No, I didn't. 19 Q. You read the transcript? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Did not the transcript indicate to you that 22 Mr. Villella had knowledge of the document even if he 23 have possession of it? 24 A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of 25 titem before he approached the witness. Because it was 26 his hand. 3 Q. Or at least he had the knowledge of it as being 3 her possession. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Do you remember also seeing other e-mails in - 7 prior to June 4th when you were reading reviewing the that would have indicated that Abby Conley had some s 9 that someone was monitoring at least the computer screen.	1
18 A. Um-hum. Yes. 19 Q. Did you come to find out that that was the 20 document that came into Mr. Villella's possession? 21 MR. McNAIR: Objection. There's no foundation for 22 that. In fact, you know for a fact that's not 23 true, because you talked to Mr. Villella. 24 MR. JOYAL: I know I did. 25 MR. McNAIR: Because he told me you did. Unless 126 1 you're accusing him of being a liar too. 2 MR. JOYAL: No, I'm not. He has a different 3 recollection than Mr. Cauley does, I believe. I 4 bet he also told you that your client called him 5 to tell him about it. 6 Q. Does that appear to you is there any Mr. 7 Cauley pointed out to you that there does not seem to be a 8 reason on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailing a document 9 from the County to her home. 18 A. No, I didn't. 19 Q. You read the transcript? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Did not the transcript indicate to you that 22 Mr. Villella had knowledge of the document even if he 23 have possession of it? 24 A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript. I did 25 read the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of 126 1 litem before he approached the witness. Because it was 2 his hand. 3 Q. Or at least he had the knowledge of it as being 3 her possession. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Do you remember also seeing other e-mails in - 7 prior to June 4th when you were reading reviewing the 14th that would have indicated that Abby Conley had some seem 15 that someone was monitoring at least the computer screen.	
19 Q. Did you come to find out that that was the 20 document that came into Mr. Villella's possession? 21 MR. McNAIR: Objection. There's no foundation for 22 that. In fact, you know for a fact that's not 23 true, because you talked to Mr. Villella. 24 MR. JOYAL: I know I did. 25 MR. McNAIR: Because he told me you did. Unless 126 1 you're accusing him of being a liar too. 2 MR. JOYAL: No, I'm not. He has a different 3 recollection than Mr. Cauley does, I believe. I 4 bet he also told you that your client called him 5 to tell him about it. 6 Q. Does that appear to you is there any Mr. 7 Cauley pointed out to you that there does not seem to be a 8 reason on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailing a document 9 from the County to her home. 19 Q. You read the transcript? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Did not the transcript indicate to you that 22 Mr. Villella had knowledge of the document even if he 23 have possession of it? 24 A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript. I did 25 read the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of 26 have possession of it? 27 A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript. I did 28 have possession of it? 29 A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript. I did 29 have possession of it? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Did not the transcript indicate to you that 22 hr. Villella had knowledge of the document even if he 23 have possession of it? 24 A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of 25 have possession of it? 26 A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript. I did 27 A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript. I did 28 have possession of it? 29 A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript. 20 D. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript. 21 D. You're accusing him of being a liar too. 22 A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript. 23 have possession of it? 24 A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript. 29 A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript. 20 D. You read the transcript. 20 D. Or at least he had the knowledge of it as being have possession. 30 D. Or at least he had the knowledge	
document that came into Mr. Villella's possession? MR. McNAIR: Objection. There's no foundation for that. In fact, you know for a fact that's not true, because you talked to Mr. Villella. MR. JOYAL: I know I did. MR. McNAIR: Because he told me you did. Unless MR. McNAIR: Because he told me you did. Unless MR. McNAIR: Because he told me you did. Unless MR. JOYAL: No, I'm not. He has a different when the lass told you that your client called him to tell him about it. Q. Does that appear to you is there any Mr. Cauley pointed out to you that there does not seem to be a reason on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailing a document from the transcript indicate to you that there does not seem to be a from the transcript indicate to you that there does not seem to be a from the transcript indicate to you that there does not seem to be a from the transcript indicate to you that there does not of it? A. Yes. Mr. Villella had knowledge of the document even if he have possession of it? A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of it as being have possession of it? A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of it as being have possession. It item before he approached the witness. Because it was his hand. Q. Or at least he had the knowledge of it as being her possession. A. Yes. Q. Do you remember also seeing other e-mails in -7 prior to June 4th when you were reading reviewing the that would have indicated that Abby Conley had some so that someone was monitoring at least the computer screen.	
MR. McNAIR: Objection. There's no foundation for that. In fact, you know for a fact that's not true, because you talked to Mr. Villella. MR. JOYAL: I know I did. MR. McNAIR: Because he told me you did. Unless MR. McNAIR: Because he told me you did. Unless MR. JOYAL: No, I'm not. He has a different for the halso told you that your client called him bethe also told you that your client called him to tell him about it. Q. Did not the transcript indicate to you that wowledge of the document even if he have possession of it? A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript. I did read the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of the document even if he have possession of it? A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript. I did read the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of the document even if he have possession of it? I titem before he approached the witness. Because it was his hand. Q. Or at least he had the knowledge of it as being her possession. A. Yes. Q. Do you remember also seeing other e-mails in prior to June 4th when you were reading reviewing the that would have indicated that Abby Conley had some seen that someone was monitoring at least the computer script.	
that. In fact, you know for a fact that's not true, because you talked to Mr. Villella. MR. JOYAL: I know I did. MR. McNAIR: Because he told me you did. Unless 126 1 you're accusing him of being a liar too. MR. JOYAL: No, I'm not. He has a different MR. JOYAL: No, I'm not. He ha	
true, because you talked to Mr. Villella. MR. JOYAL: I know I did. MR. McNAIR: Because he told me you did. Unless 126 1 you're accusing him of being a liar too. MR. JOYAL: No, I'm not. He has a different recollection than Mr. Cauley does, I believe. I bet he also told you that your client called him to tell him about it. Q. Does that appear to you is there any Mr. Cauley pointed out to you that there does not seem to be a reason on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailing a document from the County to her home. 2 have possession of it? A. Yes, I meant aside from the transcript. I did read the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of the mailing a did the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of the mailing a did the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of the mailing a did the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of the mailing a did the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of the mailing a did the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of the mailing a did the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of the mailing a did the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of the mailing a did the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of the mailing a did the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of the mailing a did the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of the mailing a did the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of the mailing a did the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of the mailing a did the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of the mailing a did the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of the mailing a did the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of the mailing a did the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of the mailing a did the transcript, and it's clear he had knowledge of the mailing a did the mailing a did the transcript, and it's clear he add the knowledge of the mailing a did the transcript, and it's clear he approached the w	didn't
25 MR. McNAIR: Because he told me you did. Unless 126 1 you're accusing him of being a liar too. 2 MR. JOYAL: No, I'm not. He has a different 3 recollection than Mr. Cauley does, I believe. I 4 bet he also told you that your client called him 5 to tell him about it. 6 Q. Does that appear to you is there any Mr. 7 Cauley pointed out to you that there does not seem to be a 8 reason on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailing a document 9 from the County to her home. 2 item before he approached the witness. Because it was his hand. 3 Q. Or at least he had the knowledge of it as being her possession. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Do you remember also seeing other e-mails in - prior to June 4th when you were reading reviewing the that would have indicated that Abby Conley had some so that someone was monitoring at least the computer screen.	
1 you're accusing him of being a liar too. 2 MR. JOYAL: No, I'm not. He has a different 3 recollection than Mr. Cauley does, I believe. I 4 bet he also told you that your client called him 5 to tell him about it. 6 Q. Does that appear to you is there any Mr. 7 Cauley pointed out to you that there does not seem to be a 8 reason on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailing a document 9 from the County to her home. 1 item before he approached the witness. Because it was his hand. 2 Q. Or at least he had the knowledge of it as being her possession. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Do you remember also seeing other e-mails in - prior to June 4th when you were reading reviewing the that would have indicated that Abby Conley had some so that someone was monitoring at least the computer screen.	
1 you're accusing him of being a liar too. 2 MR. JOYAL: No, I'm not. He has a different 3 recollection than Mr. Cauley does, I believe. I 4 bet he also told you that your client called him 5 to tell him about it. 6 Q. Does that appear to you is there any Mr. 7 Cauley pointed out to you that there does not seem to be a 8 reason on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailing a document 9 from the County to her home. 1 item before he approached the witness. Because it was his hand. 2 Q. Or at least he had the knowledge of it as being her possession. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Do you remember also seeing other e-mails in - prior to June 4th when you were reading reviewing the that would have indicated that Abby Conley had some so that someone was monitoring at least the computer screen.	the
1 you're accusing him of being a liar too. 2 MR. JOYAL: No, I'm not. He has a different 3 recollection than Mr. Cauley does, I believe. I 4 bet he also told you that your client called him 5 to tell him about it. 6 Q. Does that appear to you is there any Mr. 7 Cauley pointed out to you that there does not seem to be a 8 reason on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailing a document 9 from the County to her home. 1 item before he approached the witness. Because it was his hand. 2 Q. Or at least he had the knowledge of it as being her possession. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Do you remember also seeing other e-mails in - prior to June 4th when you were reading reviewing the that would have indicated that Abby Conley had some so that someone was monitoring at least the computer screen.	128
2 MR. JOYAL: No, I'm not. He has a different 3 recollection than Mr. Cauley does, I believe. I 4 bet he also told you that your client called him 5 to tell him about it. 6 Q. Does that appear to you is there any Mr. 7 Cauley pointed out to you that there does not seem to be a 8 reason on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailing a document 9 from the County to her home. 2 his hand. 3 Q. Or at least he had the knowledge of it as being 4 her possession. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Do you remember also seeing other e-mails in - 7 prior to June 4th when you were reading reviewing the state would have indicated that Abby Conley had some so that someone was monitoring at least the computer screen.	
recollection than Mr. Cauley does, I believe. I depth he also told you that your client called him to tell him about it. Q. Does that appear to you is there any Mr. Cauley pointed out to you that there does not seem to be a reason on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailing a document from the County to her home. 3 Q. Or at least he had the knowledge of it as being there possession. 4 her possession. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Do you remember also seeing other e-mails in prior to June 4th when you were reading reviewing the that would have indicated that Abby Conley had some so that someone was monitoring at least the computer screen.	เท
4 bet he also told you that your client called him 5 to tell him about it. 6 Q. Does that appear to you is there any Mr. 7 Cauley pointed out to you that there does not seem to be a reason on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailing a document from the County to her home. 4 her possession. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Do you remember also seeing other e-mails in - 7 prior to June 4th when you were reading reviewing the that would have indicated that Abby Conley had some so that someone was monitoring at least the computer script.	_
to tell him about it. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Does that appear to you is there any Mr. 7 Cauley pointed out to you that there does not seem to be a reason on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailing a document from the County to her home. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Do you remember also seeing other e-mails in -7 prior to June 4th when you were reading reviewing the that would have indicated that Abby Conley had some so that someone was monitoring at least the computer screen.	n
Government of the County to her home. Q. Does that appear to you is there any Mr. Q. Do you remember also seeing other e-mails in 7 prior to June 4th when you were reading reviewing the stream on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailing a document 9 from the County to her home. Government of Q. Do you remember also seeing other e-mails in 7 prior to June 4th when you were reading reviewing the stream of the total prior to June 4th when you were reading reviewing the stream of the total prior to June 4th when you were reading reviewing the stream of the total prior to June 4th when you were reading reviewing the stream of the total prior to June 4th when you were reading reviewing the stream of the total prior to June 4th when you were reading reviewing the stream of the total prior to June 4th when you were reading reviewing the stream of the total prior to June 4th when you were reading reviewing the stream of the total prior to June 4th when you were reading reviewing the stream of the total prior to June 4th when you were reading reviewing the stream of the total prior to June 4th when you were reading reviewing the stream of the total prior to June 4th when you were reading reviewing the stream of the total prior to June 4th when you were reading reviewing the stream of the total prior to June 4th when you were reading reviewing the stream of the	
7 Cauley pointed out to you that there does not seem to be a 8 reason on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailing a document 9 from the County to her home. 7 prior to June 4th when you were reading reviewing the that would have indicated that Abby Conley had some so that someone was monitoring at least the computer screen.	
8 reason on May 4th for Ms. Conley to be e-mailing a document 9 from the County to her home. 8 that would have indicated that Abby Conley had some s 9 that someone was monitoring at least the computer scri	
9 from the County to her home. 9 that someone was monitoring at least the computer screen	
10 no maio.	.511 01
11 Q. Would it be fair to say that reasonable persons 11 A. Yes.	
12 might think that that was the way that Ms. Conley got the 12 Q. She had told that to	
13 document? 13 A. She referenced being paranoid, or someone	
14 A. It's highly 14 referenced asked her if she was being paranoid.	ĺ
15 Q to whoever that third party was? 15 Q. And this was going to Deanna Cosby, I believe;	is
16 A. It's highly suspicious. 16 that right?	
17 MR. McNAIR: Objection. Argumentative. 17 A. I believe that to be so. That's my recollection.	
18 Q. Highly suspicious. 18 Q. Now, Abby Conley and all employees of Erie Co.	
19 A. Yes. 19 were aware of what the computer policy was; is that rig	ınty
20 MR. McNAIR: Objection. Argumentative. 20 A. Yes.	
21 A. It's positive that it was sent to her home. And 21 Q. And is it fair to say too that part of that	
22 from home, eventually to Mr. Villella. 22 computer policy makes it plain and employees sign the	
23 Q. Or to Mr. Villella's knowledge. 23 they have read it.	ht?
24 A. Yes. 24 A. Yes.	ht?
25 Q. It could have come from Mr. Villella's client to 25 Q. And is it fair to say that that policy states that	ht?

33 (Pages 129 to 132)

129 131 documents or e-mails or anything involving the County's 1 obstruction of justice? computer are subject to being looked at by the County? 2 A. No. 3 Q. Now, go back to Mr. Cauley's report to you. And 3 That's my recollection, yes. we'll go back to No. 5, and the last paragraph on there. 4 4 Q. Do you read the New York Times? 5 5 And would you read that into the record, if you would. A. On occasion, yes. A. "Ms. Peebles" -- or the last paragraph on No. 5? 6 Q. Did you read it that past Sunday when they 6 interviewed Michael Chertoff? 7 Q. Right. On that page that goes over into the next 7 8 A. No, I didn't. 8 page. 9 9 A. "Ms. Peebles also related that during this same Q. Mr. Chertoff said he doesn't use e-mail because 10 they never go away. 10 conversation the employee similarly impuned Supervisor Sue MR. McNAIR: What does this have to do with the 11 Deveney. She said that Ms. Deveney was going to be 11 12 12 criminally charged with obstruction of justice. There would case? 13 MR. JOYAL: Well, probably about as much as the 13 be a newspaper article to that effect on Friday, August 6, 14 stuff you were asking about the County bill. 2004, and that she was going to be fired, and that she would face jail time. She accused Supervisor Deveney of 15 Q. Mr. McNair asked you if you knew if anybody asked improprieties in editing/correcting court summaries or 16 Ms. Conley whether she gave the document to Mr. Villella. 16 17 documents that she herself had prepared." 17 Do you recall that? 18 Q. Now, during the course of the examination of 18 A. Yes. Q. 10 minutes, 15 minutes worth of it. If Ms. Conley 19 Ms. Conley on July 28th, do you recall during the transcript 19 had said to you, I did not inform Mr. Villella, I didn't 20 that Ms. Conley admitted that she did not have the ability 20 21 to make any opinions regarding parenting skills? 21 give the document to Mr. Villella, I didn't give the document to Mr. Villella's client, would that have stopped 22 A. Yes. 22 Q. She said that under oath in court; is that right? 23 23 the concern that was -- as to how that document got into 24 A. That's correct. 24 Mr. Villella's hands? 25 25 A. No. Q. She also testified that that was common practice, 130 132 did she not? 1 MR. McNAIR: Objection. Argumentative and 1 2 2 A. Yes, she did. speculative. 3 Q. And that Ms. Deveney would suggest corrections or 3 Q. Because you might not believe what Ms. Conley had 4 to say. 4 make corrections, and it was up to Ms. Conley to change 5 5 those. A. Correct. 6 Q. Did Ms. Conley during the September 10th meeting 6 A. That's correct. 7 7 Q. And during the course of time that she was in ever deny that she sent any of the e-mails? 8 court that day, she had the opportunity, and did, as a 8 9 Q. Did she ever address any of the e-mails? 9 matter of fact, testify as to what her own observations and opinions were; isn't that right? 10 A. No. We kept trying to get her to focus on the 10 11 fact that she had released the information to a third party, 11 A. Yes, she did. and she just wouldn't acknowledge or direct. We showed 12 Q. No one stopped her from doing that, as far as you 13 saw on the transcript? her -- I informed her that we had reviewed her e-mail and 13 14 A. No, not at all. 14 read them all, and we know exactly what she had done, and 15 Q. You didn't see any objections made by Mr. Cauley 15 that this was why this action was being taken. 16 Q. She didn't want to address the issues of the 16 to ask the Court not to allow her to testify. 17 17 e-mails, did she? 18 Q. Did you see anything or hear anything about anyone 18 A. No. 19 moving to quash a subpoena on Ms. Conley, to try to keep her Q. She wanted to address her testimony. 20 from testifying in that court proceeding as to her opinions? 20 21 Q. And did you see anywhere in the transcript of the 21 A. No, that did not occur. As the County Solicitor, 22 I would have certainly been aware of that, if that had been 22 hearing, or did you get contacted, or did anyone contact you from the court to suggest to you, whether it be the Judge or 23 attempted. 24 Q. Your alleged quote to the newspapers --24 the District Attorney's Office, that there was any thought 25 MR. McNAIR: It's not alleged. It's admitted. by the Court of filing charges against Sue Deveney for

34 (Pages 133 to 136)

133 135 Q. About being a threat to children. 1 than either that of a Court or a licensed social worker, 2 2 that could not be tolerated, right? A. Yes. 3 Do you remember, Mr. McNair started asking you 3 MR. McNAIR: Objection. Argumentative. 4 A. Certainly. In essence, she substituted her questions about whether or not you knew whether Ms. Conley 5 had ever been accused of neglect or anything with her own judgment for that of the department and the Courts. children, or children under her care? 6 Q. Well, isn't it true, then, when the -- and this 7 A. Yes. was an order signed by a judge. 7 8 8 A. That's correct. Q. Do you know whether or not Ms. Conley has any 9 children? 9 Q. And would it not strike you as being odd that if 10 it were not to be a confidential order, that the judge would 10 A. I do not know. sign an ex parte order without calling in the parties to 11 Q. Do you know, if she does, whether or not -- what have a hearing as to whether or not the child that was going 12 her relationship is with her children? to be born should be detained? 13 13 MR. McNAIR: Objection. Irrelevant. 14 MR. JOYAL: You asked the question. You opened 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. And in this situation, there was no such hearing; 15 the door, Mr. McNair. You asked about her ability 16 to parent her children. You opened the door. 16 is that --O. There have been situations both during your 17 A. That's my knowledge. 17 18 Q. So for a reasonable person, would that not 18 time -- strike that. Let me ask it this way. You've been a indicate that the Court believed that the order should be 19 resident of this County for how long? 19 20 confidential and not be divulged to the parent? 20 A. With the exception of the time in which I was in 21 A. Yes. 21 law school and when I practiced in York County, 22 MR. McNAIR: Objection. Argumentative. 22 Pennsylvania, the whole of my life. 23 Q. Correct? 23 Q. And you've read stories prior to your being Solicitor about unfortunate incidents involving children, 24 A. That's correct. 24 some of whom were in the care and custody of OCY. 25 Q. There are very few -- let me ask a question this 134 136 way. As an attorney, how many times have you been involved 1 A. Certainly, yes. Q. And those had to do with either parents or foster in any situation where a court would issue an order ex 2 2 3 parte? 3 parents neglecting or abusing their children. A. Yes. 4 A. It is the rarest of circumstances. When it was 4 either an exigent circumstance or one which the matter had Q. And you've also -- have you also heard it of 5 parents absconding with children and having bad things 6 to be kept in a confidential --6 7 Q. I presume that Judge Kelly is not one by 7 happen to the children after they did that? 8 reputation who allows the rights of litigants to be trampled 8 on by one party coming in looking for an order unless she O. The fact that someone would tell a mother who had 9 10 two children in care that there was an order that had been 10 has the ability to issue the order; is that correct? 11 A. That's correct. issued by a judge to take the child that was being born 11 12 Q. Unless she believes that it's in the best interest 12 would be a serious matter, as far as you're concerned. of the child to have that order issued. 13 13 MR. McNAIR: Objection. Argumentative. A. That's correct. 14 14 MR. JOYAL: I'm not arguing with him. Q. There was nothing stopping Judge Kelly when OCY 15 A. It's a very serious matter, one which the County 15 came in with the petition to say, I'm not issuing this 16 could not tolerate. Q. And if this child had been injured because the 17 order, I'm going to have a hearing. 17 A. That's correct. 18 mother took the child out of the jurisdiction to either have 18 19 Q. At the time that you and Mr. Schenker went to the it or -- maybe even out of the country, would subject -well, first, might be injurious to the child and a threat. 20 Erie Times for that meeting --20 21 A. Yes. 21 A. Yes. Q. -- in your mind, had it been determined that the 22 22 Q. And, certainly, would be a threat if social 23 possible and probable termination of Abby Conley was based 23 workers who disagreed with or made their own -- strike solely upon her actions regarding her release of 24 that -- or social service aides who do not have social work degrees had made judgments that their opinion was better confidential information both concerning the detention order

35 (Pages 137 to 140)

			35 (Pages 137 to 140)
	137		139
1	and PW?	1	Q. It is your legal opinion that those two sections
2	MR. McNAIR: Objection, argumentative.	2	that Mr. Joyal was kind enough to provide you with prohibit
3	A. Yes. And, primarily, the detention order.	3	somebody who has observed a child being ill treated by
4	Q. And if you had given that information to	4	another adult, if they report that, they are not permitted
5	Mr. Schenker, then any statement that he made that we are	5	to talk to somebody about that.
6	not firing her because she was a quote/unquote whistleblower	6	MR. LANE: Objection to form.
7	would have been a true statement.	7	Q. Is that your interpretation?
8	A. That's correct.	8	A. I think that that code is applicable to
9	Q. That was the County's position.	9	individuals within the department talking about matters
10	A. It is. It still is.	10	which are being investigated. And that's, I believe,
11	MR. JOYAL: I don't have anything else.	11	what
12	MR. DEVLIN: I have no questions.	12	Q. You would agree with me that the investigation was
13		13	over in August 6th.
14	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	14	A. Then all the more reason why she should not be
15	BY MR. McNAIR:	15	talking about it.
16		16	Q. Okay. Now, Mr. Joyal asked you if Ms. Conley
17	Q. Mr. Onorato, you said that you were aware of	17	should substitute her judgment for the caseworker. And I
18	instances where people had absconded with children and harm	18	think you said that, of course not, she shouldn't. What if
19	later came to them. Would you please identify each such	19	the caseworker hadn't seen the child or the mother in four
20	instance that you are aware of.	20	months, and Ms. Conley had seen them twice a week? Who
21	A. I've read about it and heard about this occurring	21	would be in a better position to give information to the
22	both on a on a national level. I don't know of any	22	Court about the relationship between the mother and the
23	specific instance where that has occurred.	23	children?
24	Q. Are you aware of any instance where any parent has	24	MR. JOYAL: Objection. Lack of foundation.
25	absconded or taken a child out of the jurisdiction as a	25	MR. LANE: Objection. Lack of foundation.
	138		140
1	result of the disclosure of a prenatal detention order?	1	Requires speculation.
2	A. I know that that's a legitimate fear of the	2	A. Let me answer it this way. Nurses do not diagnose
3	department.	3	illnesses; doctors do.
4	Q. I am asking you if you are aware	4	Q. Nurses record observations, right?
5	MR. LANE: Hang on. Don't yell at the witness.	5	A. I believe that's the role of a nurse. I think
6	The reason these depositions get out of control is	6	that this function she has a function, the caseworker has
7	that you yell at the witness.	7	a function. Her she went beyond the scope of her
8	MR. McNAIR: I'm not yelling at the witness. He	8	function.
9	apparently can't hear me	9	Q. Okay. If you have a caseworker who hasn't seen
10	MR. LANE: You're yelling at me. Now you're	10	hasn't performed her duties for four months.
11	115		A. How do you know she hasn't performed her duties?
ł	yelling at me.	11	
12	MR. McNAIR: because he's not answering the	12	Q. And is recommending to the Court that the Court
12 13	MR. McNAIR: because he's not answering the question.	12 13	Q. And is recommending to the Court that the Court terminate a parent's rights with respect to her children, is
12 13 14	MR. McNAIR: because he's not answering the question. MR. LANE: Stop questioning. Show some respect to	12 13 14	Q. And is recommending to the Court that the Court terminate a parent's rights with respect to her children, is that not something that anybody would should be concerned
12 13 14 15	MR. McNAIR: because he's not answering the question. MR. LANE: Stop questioning. Show some respect to somebody in the world, Mr. McNair.	12 13 14 15	Q. And is recommending to the Court that the Court terminate a parent's rights with respect to her children, is that not something that anybody would should be concerned about?
12 13 14 15 16	MR. McNAIR: because he's not answering the question. MR. LANE: Stop questioning. Show some respect to somebody in the world, Mr. McNair. MR. McNAIR: With all due respect	12 13 14 15 16	Q. And is recommending to the Court that the Court terminate a parent's rights with respect to her children, is that not something that anybody would should be concerned about? MR. DEVLIN: Objection to form.
12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. McNAIR: because he's not answering the question. MR. LANE: Stop questioning. Show some respect to somebody in the world, Mr. McNair. MR. McNAIR: With all due respect MR. LANE: Stop questioning. Okay.	12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And is recommending to the Court that the Court terminate a parent's rights with respect to her children, is that not something that anybody would should be concerned about? MR. DEVLIN: Objection to form. A. I don't know that that was the case in this
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. McNAIR: because he's not answering the question. MR. LANE: Stop questioning. Show some respect to somebody in the world, Mr. McNair. MR. McNAIR: With all due respect MR. LANE: Stop questioning. Okay. MR. McNAIR: Fine.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And is recommending to the Court that the Court terminate a parent's rights with respect to her children, is that not something that anybody would should be concerned about? MR. DEVLIN: Objection to form. A. I don't know that that was the case in this matter.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. McNAIR: — because he's not answering the question. MR. LANE: Stop questioning. Show some respect to somebody in the world, Mr. McNair. MR. McNAIR: With all due respect — MR. LANE: Stop questioning. Okay. MR. McNAIR: Fine. Q. Are you aware of any instance where that has ever	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. And is recommending to the Court that the Court terminate a parent's rights with respect to her children, is that not something that anybody would should be concerned about? MR. DEVLIN: Objection to form. A. I don't know that that was the case in this matter. Q. I'm sure you don't.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. McNAIR: — because he's not answering the question. MR. LANE: Stop questioning. Show some respect to somebody in the world, Mr. McNair. MR. McNAIR: With all due respect — MR. LANE: Stop questioning. Okay. MR. McNAIR: Fine. Q. Are you aware of any instance where that has ever happened?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And is recommending to the Court that the Court terminate a parent's rights with respect to her children, is that not something that anybody would should be concerned about? MR. DEVLIN: Objection to form. A. I don't know that that was the case in this matter. Q. I'm sure you don't. MR. JOYAL: Neither do you, Mr. McNair.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. McNAIR: — because he's not answering the question. MR. LANE: Stop questioning. Show some respect to somebody in the world, Mr. McNair. MR. McNAIR: With all due respect — MR. LANE: Stop questioning. Okay. MR. McNAIR: Fine. Q. Are you aware of any instance where that has ever happened? A. No. I cannot specify an instance. However, it is	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And is recommending to the Court that the Court terminate a parent's rights with respect to her children, is that not something that anybody would should be concerned about? MR. DEVLIN: Objection to form. A. I don't know that that was the case in this matter. Q. I'm sure you don't. MR. JOYAL: Neither do you, Mr. McNair. Q. Assuming it was.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. McNAIR: because he's not answering the question. MR. LANE: Stop questioning. Show some respect to somebody in the world, Mr. McNair. MR. McNAIR: With all due respect MR. LANE: Stop questioning. Okay. MR. McNAIR: Fine. Q. Are you aware of any instance where that has ever happened? A. No. I cannot specify an instance. However, it is a foreseeable occurrence, one which should be protected	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And is recommending to the Court that the Court terminate a parent's rights with respect to her children, is that not something that anybody would should be concerned about? MR. DEVLIN: Objection to form. A. I don't know that that was the case in this matter. Q. I'm sure you don't. MR. JOYAL: Neither do you, Mr. McNair. Q. Assuming it was. MR. LANE: Objection to form. Lack of foundation.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. McNAIR: because he's not answering the question. MR. LANE: Stop questioning. Show some respect to somebody in the world, Mr. McNair. MR. McNAIR: With all due respect MR. LANE: Stop questioning. Okay. MR. McNAIR: Fine. Q. Are you aware of any instance where that has ever happened? A. No. I cannot specify an instance. However, it is a foreseeable occurrence, one which should be protected against.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And is recommending to the Court that the Court terminate a parent's rights with respect to her children, is that not something that anybody would should be concerned about? MR. DEVLIN: Objection to form. A. I don't know that that was the case in this matter. Q. I'm sure you don't. MR. JOYAL: Neither do you, Mr. McNair. Q. Assuming it was. MR. LANE: Objection to form. Lack of foundation. Requires speculation. Overly broad. You can
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. McNAIR: — because he's not answering the question. MR. LANE: Stop questioning. Show some respect to somebody in the world, Mr. McNair. MR. McNAIR: With all due respect — MR. LANE: Stop questioning. Okay. MR. McNAIR: Fine. Q. Are you aware of any instance where that has ever happened? A. No. I cannot specify an instance. However, it is a foreseeable occurrence, one which should be protected against. Q. Move to strike as not responsive.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And is recommending to the Court that the Court terminate a parent's rights with respect to her children, is that not something that anybody would should be concerned about? MR. DEVLIN: Objection to form. A. I don't know that that was the case in this matter. Q. I'm sure you don't. MR. JOYAL: Neither do you, Mr. McNair. Q. Assuming it was. MR. LANE: Objection to form. Lack of foundation.

John Onorato

36 (Pages 141 to 143)

				36 (Pages 141 to 143)
	141			143
1	And I cannot I don't choose to speculate.	1	Λ	Okay. But you're not sure?
2	Q. And it's your opinion I'm not asking you to	2	Q. A.	It's my recollection, so.
3	speculate. I'm asking a hypothetical question.	3	Α.	MR. McNAIR: That's it.
4	MR. LANE: It requires speculation.	4		MR. LANE: We'll read.
5	MR. McNAIR: I provided the facts.	5		THE BUILT WE IF FEED.
6	MR. LANE: It's not	6		(Deposition concluded at 2:15 p.m.)
7	MR. McNAIR: It's a hypothetical question. I	7		(Deposition deficience de 2125 pinn)
8	provided the facts.	8		
9	MR. LANE: You didn't provide all the facts. It's	9		
10	way too broad. It would require speculation. You	10		
11	know that. Come on.	11		
12	Q. And you're saying you need to be a degreed social	12		
13	worker in order to determine whether someone is displaying	13		
14	appropriate parenting skills.	14		
15	MR. JOYAL: Objection. Overly broad.	15		
16	A. We all can have opinions. However, the opinions	16		
17	that her what she was doing was exercising her judgment	17		
18	for that of clinical judgment of professionals.	18		
19	Q. I'm asking you whether or not a person who does	19		
20	not have a degree in social work is capable of commenting on	20		
21	the parenting skills of another person.	21		
22	A. It depends on the person.	22		
23	Q. Okay. Well, you know Abby is not capable of that	23		
24	for what reason?	24		
25	A. I don't know Abby at all, so I don't know whether	25		
	142			
1	or not she is or not. I only know her as a matter of			
2	fact, this meeting and the September and the depositions.			
3	Q. Speaking of the September 10th meeting. The union			
4	representative asked you to see the e-mails that you were			
5	talking about, didn't she? Do you recall that?			
6	A. I don't recall that. I know we had them there.			
7	And I think we may have I know we had them. I had the			•
8	packet of information which Mr. Cauley provided for us. I			
9	literally went through Item 1 and really stuck with Item 1.			
10	And advised of the e-mails. And I showed Abby the e-mails.			
11	I said, isn't this true that you sent this, isn't it true,			
12	Abby, that you sent this to Deanna Cosby, isn't it true that	MI (I) company		
13	you sent it to her with intent that she knows what's going	COLUMN TO THE PERSON TO THE PE		
14	on.			
15	Q. You're telling me that you made those	The second secon		
16	statements you made those statements in the presence of			
17	the union representative.			
18	A. I believe I did. O. You believe that?			
19	A. Um-hum.			
21	Q. What do you mean you believe it? Either you did			
22	or you didn't. Under oath, did you or not?	***		
23	A. I think I did.	well of an all of		
24	Q. You think you did.	***************************************		
25	A. My recollection of that is that I did that, yes.	No.		
┖		<u> </u>		

A	109:18 116:15	103:18	99:18 106:14	amount 100:24
$\frac{\mathbf{A}}{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{A} 6:21}$	accepted 12:13	acts 106:12	113:6 121:1,2	101:3 102:2,17
Abby 1:3 4:8	accident 52:23	actual 67:1 84:8	139:12	Angelone 2:4
27:7 28:16	52:25	127:14	agreeable 4:25	88:22 89:6,8
	accidental 53:1	add 16:23	agreed 51:18	108:3
33:13 36:11,17	accord 116:20	addition 50:14	98:3 114:9	anger 50:16
38:23 40:5	account 55:18	54:5 60:8	agreement 65:2	Ann 41:5 63:10
49:25 50:3	accuracy 73:11	86:19 88:8	74:15,21 97:25	67:23
53:20 57:19	accurate 61:1	112:22	ahead 72:13	annually 19:23
58:14,21 59:1	72:22 73:6	address 5:6,9	87:14 88:20	answer 5:3,3
59:17,21 60:11	104:20 115:14	125:3 130:9,16	107:8	16:1 20:8
64:9 70:6,23	accurately 73:2	130:19	aides 134:24	22:16 25:3,10
71:2 74:25	accused 56:25	adhere 91:17	allegation 26:13	26:22 27:22
76:1,23 78:15	57:4,5 108:21	adhered 93:11	31:12 34:23	43:2 45:8,9
79:22 82:20	109:2,2,3	adjunct 13:14	37:18 38:15	49:2 52:12,18
94:18 109:8	131:15 133:5	13:24	39:3 42:13	53:9 59:1
114:17 119:14	accusing 126:1	administration	44:24 49:11	62:21 65:4,18
121:8 122:24	achieves 52:10	21:23 55:24	53:20 54:9	68:14,24 80:23
124:25 125:2,6	acknowledge	61:12	95:13 96:17	81:4,9,10,17
128:8,18	130:12	administrative	allegations 26:8	85:14 87:2,14
136:23 141:23	acknowledged	18:12 21:21	26:19 28:4,8	87:15,17
141:25 142:10	72:8,20	admit 108:8	54:25 96:11	102:24 105:13
142:12	acknowledging	admitted 131:20	119:8	107:5,6,9,14
Abby's 31:4	80:4	132:25	alleged 49:15	107:16,17,18
38:14,18 51:2	acquainted	adult 139:4	132:24,25	107:19,21
59:23 67:14	102:8	advice 20:24	allegedly 33:3	107.19,21
68:9,17	act 33:4 42:11	advice 20:24 advise 31:1	49:5 122:4	110:9 111:2,8
Abby@iloveje	44:1 85:22	advised 15:17	124:13	140:2,24
124:25	86:5 91:13	142:10	alleging 33:14	answered 58:24
ABC 28:25	92:1 117:16	advising 76:25	36:22	96:21
ability 39:23	acted 32:23	affect 83:18	Allgeier 96:5,7	answering 86:25
131:20 133:15	110:24	affiliated 29:20	114:4,18	138:12
136:10	acting 49:22	affiliation 29:24	allocations	Anthony 2:4 5:8
able 37:25 50:15	action 1:4 27:24	afforded 107:18	95:16	anticipation
absconded	49:20 60:12	agencies 91:22	allow 132:16	62:17
137:18,25		93:12 123:14	allows 136:8	anxious 62:16
absconding	69:18 70:10,15 71:5,18 80:20	agency 33:16,21	alteration 116:6	anybody 40:5
134:6	1 '	47:25 91:10,11	116:10	51:3 70:17
Absolutely	96:18 101:7,20 109:8 130:15	91:24 92:6,11	altered 124:13	71:5 75:14,21
87:20 88:24	actions 49:18,22	92:18 93:13,17	altering 82:1	78:4 84:9,14
abuse 53:21	51:12 52:10,11	93:20 94:3	ambiguous	129:15 140:14
119:13,21	81:25 91:1	95:4	26:14	anyway 99:14
122:9	94:5 115:17,23	agree 4:20 35:6	amended 98:8	apparent 120:24
abused 106:16	136:24	35:10 43:20	amendments	apparently
abusing 134:3	active 12:22	45:14 46:25	13:1	138:9
accept 98:16	activities 51:10	92:25 94:18	American 6:17	appeal 100:1
acceptable	activities 31.10	74.43 74.10	AHICHICAH U.1/	appear 100.1
	1	l	1	l .

argumentative	36:7 48:25	attended 5:19	awards 6:13	Dasis 10.10 49.2
arguing 134:14	22:20,21 23:16	attend 76:12	award 6:21,22	basis 18:16 49:2
argue 107:5,9	15:25 22:19,19	132:23	authorized 40:6	Basically 68:2
118:7,7	asking 4:17	attempted	author 61:16	baseless 54:16 95:16
areas 13:22	142:4	attempt 7:4	139:13	114:17 136:23
area 46:1,2,4	133:15 139:16	121:7	123:18 131:13	based 12:4 31:2
125:13,17	129:15 133:14	62:7 112:23	122:8 123:17	74:15
124:19 125:7	128:14 129:15	attachments	120:15,21	bargaining
April 1:20	116:22 120:9	124:20	119:1,3,6	50:9
12:17	111:3 112:16	attachment	113:16 118:24	8:2,7 12:22
Approximately	105:15 107:8	113:11,21,22	95:14 112:14	bar 6:23 7:11,1
97:8	98:5 100:9	112:24 113:9	65:19 90:23	Bank 12:13 13:
approval 91:23	89:15 96:21	attached 90:23	61:9 63:21	bad 134:6
110:24	67:20 87:23	Assure 91:20	47:4 60:19	5:13
appropriately	59:3 61:19	24:23 38:11	34:9,10,12	background
141:14	58:21,24 59:1	assumption	13:25 32:19	124:11 131:3
115:19,24	57:22 58:14,17	140:21	August 7:3	117:14 118:3
91:20 93:2	34:19 38:3	Assuming	101:5,6,10,12	105:17 115:1
56:1 60:13	asked 21:5 27:21	assumed 38:8	audit 100:23	88:14 92:22
34:22,24 51:11	127:24	14:19	22:15	45:12 68:23
appropriate	49:15 108:25	Association	attorney/client	26:15 44:12,1
30:23	29:25 33:2,5,8	associated 85:2	85:4 130:24	back 10:4 22:6
approaching	21:10 26:6	14:24	Attorney's 82:9	Bachelor 5:25
128:1	aside 14:11 16:6	8:2 13:16 14:4	83:23 84:2,4	55:16
45:16 119:5	ascertain 32:8	associate 7:19	9:15,17,20	BAC 39:24
33:12 37:14	arts 5:25	assisted 15:16	attorneys 9:14	baby 46:6
approached	131:13	100:8 103:1	136:1	B 1:3
approach 30:11	115:3,6,9,18	10:11 50:8	112:2 127:10	В
appreciate 87:11	103:22 10 1.3,5	assistant 9:3	96:5 102:25	
16:21 21:16,19	103:22 104:3,9	assist 38:5	84:21 85:7,8	a/k/a 1:6,12 2:7
15:10,11,11	73:8,10,11,16	aspirin 19:18	83:4,6,8,10	a.m 1:21
appointed 15:10	71:25 72:5,8	121:17,18	82:18,21,22	138:4,19
applied 83:20	article 4:17	asks 36:10	71:2 82:8,12	137:20,24
applicable 139:8	arriving 77:25	141:2,3,19	59:24 70:13	132:22 137:1
112:15,20	77:14	133:3 138:4	56:12,23 57:9	123:6 128:19
appears 00.18	arrived 77:1,3,7	123:16 129:14	50:3,12 52:21	121:4 122:7
appeared 72.0 appears 60:18	76:10,17	114:12,14	33:24,25 36:1	108:10 109:7
appeared 72:6	arrival 78.2 arrive 75:3	107.23 108.3,3	25:22 31:8,15	106:14,18
25:2,3	arrival 78:2	107:23 108:3,5	14:2 21:5	97:1,16 99:25
appearance 17:21 24:11,16	21:10 79:13	101:10 106:9	attorney 4:8 9:12 11:5,13	95:15 96:15
	arising 4.9 62.23 arose 20:25	94:13 101:6,6	[46:16,20 88:1
appear 103:18 126:6	135:3,22 137:2 arising 4:9 82:25	85:11 87:6,7 88:17 89:10,22	115:1	29:18,24 36:1 45:20,22 46:1
103:8	130:1 134:13		attention 27:16 56:10 79:19	29:6,10,11,15
	126:17,20	56:15 62:20 70:17 80:22	85:19	28:19,21,23
appeals 99:23	10/17/00	EC.1E (0.00	0.7.10	1 00 10 01 00

128:15,17 130:3 139:10 140:5 142:18 142:19,21 Delieved 19:12 33:21 135:19 Delieves 136:12 Dest 26:24 136:12	69:12,14 briefed 62:3,4 66:7 briefly 73:17 bring 79:22 95:13 bringing 85:6 Brittany 20:22	16:2,6,7,16,22 17:2 campaigns 16:8 16:10 17:7 candidate 15:18 16:18,19	44:23 53:21 54:10 95:17 119:7,9,11 139:17,19 140:6,9 Cauley 22:5
130:3 139:10 140:5 142:18 142:19,21 Delieved 19:12 33:21 135:19 Delieves 136:12 Dest 26:24 136:12	briefed 62:3,4 66:7 briefly 73:17 bring 79:22 95:13 bringing 85:6	17:2 campaigns 16:8 16:10 17:7 candidate 15:18 16:18,19	54:10 95:17 119:7,9,11 139:17,19 140:6,9
140:5 142:18 142:19,21 pelieved 19:12 33:21 135:19 pelieves 136:12 pest 26:24 136:12	66:7 briefly 73:17 bring 79:22 95:13 bringing 85:6	campaigns 16:8 16:10 17:7 candidate 15:18 16:18,19	119:7,9,11 139:17,19 140:6,9
142:19,21 pelieved 19:12 33:21 135:19 pelieves 136:12 pest 26:24 136:12	briefly 73:17bring 79:2295:13bringing 85:6	16:10 17:7 candidate 15:18 16:18,19	139:17,19 140:6,9
33:21 135:19 believes 136:12 best 26:24 136:12	bring 79:22 95:13 bringing 85:6	candidate 15:18 16:18,19	140:6,9
33:21 135:19 pelieves 136:12 pest 26:24 136:12	95:13 bringing 85:6	16:18,19	j ,
pelieves 136:12 pest 26:24 136:12	bringing 85:6	,	
oest 26:24 136:12	0 0	capable 141:20	30:4,11 32:21
136:12		141:23	33:2,4,12,20
	21:11	capacities 9:1	34:2 36:1
et 126:4	broad 25:21	capacity 1:8,10	39:11,18 40:11
etter 41:22	26:14,21	1:11,14 7:22	41:7,25 45:16
	140:23 141:10	7:23 16:12	46:1 49:15
139:21	141:15	50:10,11	50:8,14,16,20
Beveridge 50:12	brought 27:16	car 59:10	51:24 52:6,14
50:12	37:21 56:9	care 106:19,24	53:19 56:13,15
peyond 71:15	61:14 69:19	108:22 133:6	57:19 60:1,18
115:20 140:7	70:17 78:13,21	133:25 134:10	60:20 61:4,14
oill 103:11,14,15	78:22 82:6	caring 107:2	67:20,23 68:8
103:18,25	Bush 16:11,20	Carol 1:19,24	84:21 85:1
129:14	Business 10:13	Carolina 42:7	95:12,20 96:4
oills 13:2	13:18	carpet 57:2	111:14 112:2,7
oirth 42:9,9		carry 96:18	112:21 114:4
45:19		case 4:8,12,19	114:18 118:19
oit 63:20		18:6 22:24	118:25 124:14
Blackwood		23:13 24:14	126:3,7 132:15
50:13,14	•	26:6 27:9,17	142:8
olocks 29:1		30:5 35:25	Cauley's 32:23
Bloxdorf 41:5		,	37:17 38:15
,			44:24 47:9
			50:4 60:14
		′	61:1,23 66:8
oody 93:18	·		77:23 90:23
)	112:13 113:16
	-		118:5 131:3
	*	· '	cause 80:6 98:19
			110:17
· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		causes 92:15
			CC 61:23
			cells 70:25
			Center 2:12
· ·	~		certain 108:9
			certainly 38:5
		· '	40:24 51:18
			99:15,16
			112:19 122:15
oriet 62:21	14.19 13.13,41	caseworker 42:/	132:22 134:1
	Severidge 50:12 50:12 eyond 71:15 115:20 140:7 ill 103:11,14,15 103:18,25 129:14 ills 13:2 irth 42:9,9 45:19 it 63:20 Blackwood 50:13,14 blocks 29:1 Bloxdorf 41:5 62:1,4 63:10 67:23 76:12 Board 29:23	139:21 50:12 50:12 6eyond 71:15 115:20 140:7 6ill 103:11,14,15 103:18,25 129:14 6ills 13:2 6irth 42:9,9 45:19 6it 63:20 6lackwood 50:13,14 6locks 29:1 62:1,4 63:10 67:23 76:12 60ord 39:18 60ord 12:15 13:7 6orn 83:12 95:8 134:11 135:13 6ottom 98:15 115:9,10 116:5 124:23 125:9 6reach 47:5 118:9,10 119:10 6reak 30:16 76:7 6reon 21:23 141:15 brought 27:16 37:21 56:9 61:14 69:19 70:17 78:13,21 78:22 82:6 Bush 16:11,20 Business 10:13 13:18 C C C 124:20 calendar 66:19 66:20,21 Calkins 7:15 call 57:1 Callan 1:9 2:10 40:25 61:19 62:6 63:9 67:22 74:25 75:6,13,25 76:9 77:17,17 78:18,19 79:18 80:2 99:2 Callan's 75:8,9 76:9 81:21 called 21:22 96:14 126:4 calling 135:11 Calls 120:6 campaign 10:25 11:1,3,4,9,10 11:10,11,15	139:21 141:15 150:10,11 150:10,24 150:10,24 162:21 133:25 133:6 133:25 134:10 133:25 134:10 133:25 133:25 134:10 133:25 134:10 133:25 134:10 133:25 133:25 134:10 13

John Onorato Page 4

	1	1	I	
134:22 135:4	134:20 135:12	classify 114:8	125:2,19	computer-use
cetera 123:15	136:13 137:25	clear 4:21 51:1	126:25 141:11	37:24
chair 15:25 16:2	139:3,19	57:9 58:8	comfortable	concern 27:11
chance 20:7	children 1:6,12	64:12 67:18	72:19	27:16 31:2,6
change 116:19	2:6 4:11 18:17	79:18 86:8	coming 136:9	32:23,24 33:9
132:4	18:18,20,21	127:25	commencement	33:13,23 35:17
changed 29:25	19:3,5,8 20:11	clearly 119:11	32:7	35:18,19,19
116:3,17,22	20:17 21:25	clerical 119:4	commencing	38:5 55:16
117:2	22:13,23 24:9	120:3	1:21	58:3 104:22
characterizati	24:14,20 25:15	clerk 7:24	comment 98:18	118:8 129:23
51:9 121:2	25:25 26:9	client 22:17,21	98:24	concerned 32:21
characterize	30:3 50:10	23:1,14 26:5	commenting	50:4 52:14
15:9 36:1 56:7	70:20 74:16	26:25,25 28:16	141:20	70:12 134:12
95:23	95:9 104:18	32:16 42:6	commission 18:9	140:14
characterized	105:1,8,21,23	45:3,18 46:22	23:20 24:5,18	concerning 4:16
74:6 112:3	106:10,11,12	46:23,24 67:3	24:19	38:14 39:3
116:10,10,14	109:12,13,22	69:18 85:5	committee 10:25	40:2,5,5 47:25
charge 106:19	119:5 122:14	86:8 88:10	11:1 12:19	54:9 107:23
108:22	133:1,6,6,9,12	91:9,21 92:4	15:19,24 21:8	120:22 121:19
charged 103:12	133:16,24	92:20 93:3,4	common 18:8	122:13 136:25
131:12	134:3,6,7,10	95:6,11 108:16	24:8 26:4 94:3	concerns 31:4
charges 130:25	137:18 139:23	111:2 126:4,25	131:25	33:10,20 53:23
Charter 17:9	140:13	129:22	Commonwealth	58:4
Chatham 2:12	choose 58:19	clients 14:13	1:20	conclude 107:13
check 37:19	102:20 141:1	22:18 91:14	communication	concluded 143:6
38:20 59:25	chose 60:12	92:16 95:2	83:21	conclusion
checked 60:4	100:3 103:4,5	121:22 122:13	company 24:15	26:18,20 31:24
checking 37:22	chronology	client's 65:22	compensation	39:17 120:7
120:16	41:18	91:1	18:10,10 25:14	124:4
Chertoff 129:7,9	circumstance	clinical 141:18	98:1 99:9	concurrence
chief 12:2,7,20	136:5 140:25	coaching 89:24	complaints	66:9 97:14
17:11	circumstances	code 101:22	119:6	conduct 21:5
child 1:6,13 2:7	20:15 120:20	118:20 139:8	complete 8:14	48:15 50:15
21:3 42:8,9	122:16 136:4	collected 29:2	completely	82:25 84:9
45:19 53:21	City 17:8 28:24	collection 29:3	45:15 58:9	118:13
84:7,23 85:17	civil 1:4 22:24	collective 63:23	114:9	conducted 27:2
91:12 92:1	22:25 23:10,13	64:3,21 74:15	compliance	27:15,20 51:10
94:8 95:7,8,10	23:20 24:4	114:7	74:20	97:11,12,13
95:10 106:2,3	44:8 99:23,25	come 31:24	computer 38:18	100:23
106:16,19,24	100:12,22,23	32:11 45:12	39:25 55:5,7	conducting 27:6
107:2,2,24,25	101:1,4,19,22	48:11,12 49:17	59:23,25 60:4	35:13
108:1,22 109:4	103:8,13	49:21 51:25	60:8,9 113:21	confidence
109:5,9 111:25	claims 117:6	52:9,19 57:10	118:11,12	91:15
117:16 119:13	clarify 4:24	59:22 61:4	125:3 127:5	confidential
119:21 122:9	101:14	70:11 75:14,21	128:9,19,22	23:3 83:25
134:11,17,18	classified 43:8	76:19 124:1,3	129:2	84:3 86:13

Ferguson & Holdnack Reporting, Inc. 814-452-4556

				Page 5
02.16.05.2	77.16.17.19	106.1.110.17	21.0.14	10101000
92:16 95:2	77:16,17,18	106:1 110:17	copy 31:9,14	18:19 19:8,9,9
105:20 109:20	78:18,20 79:16	Constitution	33:25 60:17	19:11 20:12
109:24,25	80:9 81:24	93:24	61:1,22 62:10	21:14 22:11,23
110:10,14	82:17,20 96:12	construed 93:19	66:23 72:5	22:25 23:11
111:10,24	96:19,20 97:18	95:7	81:14,20 104:2	24:13,17,22
112:3,6 118:1	97:23 99:4,25	consult 91:19	104:7 117:15	25:6,13,18,25
119:23 122:18	105:25 106:15	consulted 21:7	correct 8:3,22	26:1 30:17
135:10,20	106:18 108:21	contact 18:18,22	10:3 11:11	32:16 36:2
136:6,25	109:8 111:10	18:23 19:4,7	12:9 24:15	39:22,23 47:12
confidentiality	116:17 117:1,5	19:13 20:10,21	32:20 35:1,4,5	47:13 51:7
47:6 79:3	119:14 121:9	22:3,4,8 39:24	61:25 71:21	53:15 54:6
83:13,19 86:9	122:24 124:5	73:14 92:12	74:16 75:11	55:3,11,18,20
90:10,25 91:8	124:14,18,25	130:22	76:2,11 95:1	55:24,25 56:8
91:11,16 92:19	125:6 126:8,12	contacted 18:25	97:17 99:24	56:9,14 58:20
110:4 117:22	128:8,18	19:2,11 20:16	100:2 104:19	59:8,8 66:21
118:9,10	129:16,19	21:24 130:22	114:18,19,21	67:4,25 74:14
119:10 120:2	130:3,6 131:19	contain 116:25	117:23 119:15	82:9 86:17
122:12 123:1,3	131:20 132:4	contained	119:16,17	88:14 89:15
confirm 99:10	132:19 133:4,8	117:25	121:6,24	96:25 98:17,23
confirmation	136:23 139:16	content 41:12	122:10 124:2	98:23 99:11,17
122:25	139:20	104:21	130:5,20	99:17 100:10
conflict 92:15	Conley's 27:7	contents 39:9	131:24 132:6	100:24 101:21
confrontation	31:2,6 33:13	40:8 61:21	135:8,23,24	102:4,25 103:2
74:19,23	36:15,17 39:10	67:9,24	136:10,11,14	105:3 109:12
Conley 1:3 4:8	39:13 40:7	contest 98:1	136:18 137:8	109:19 115:11
4:15 28:16	47:14 49:5	99:8	corrections	115:12 118:11
29:8,12,16,19	63:14 67:12	context 70:8	132:3,4	118:12,20
30:2,20 32:6	79:7,9,13	79:25	correctly 33:11	124:17 126:9
32:22,23 33:4	82:25 96:23	continue 11:25	96:22 99:9	128:18 129:2
33:21 36:4,11	98:6,24 104:25	12:10 14:4	Cosby 74:13	129:14 132:21
36:22 37:14,20	106:4 114:2	continued 8:1	80:5,7 82:19	133:19,21
40:5 42:13	116:6 125:3	10:23	84:10 121:9,19	134:15
44:24 47:24	connection	contract 9:13	128:15 142:12	County's 4:10
48:22,23 50:21	21:10 44:22	10:21,24 47:23	couch 80:19	89:12 102:10
53:16 54:9	104:13	50:10 103:1	counsel 14:19	129:1 137:9
55:4 56:11,15	consider 13:4	contractor 55:17	counseled 47:8	couple 4:13
57:1,19,22	53:13 78:1	contrary 106:6	counseling	course 12:23
58:9 62:24	94:2	control 138:6	47:23,24	17:25 18:19
63:2 64:13	considerable	conversation	country 134:19	19:3,5,9 20:11
65:10 66:11	100:24	68:25 73:20	County 1:5,5,6,8	36:11,23 39:10
67:1 69:23	considered	82:11 131:10	1:8,10,12,13	49:23 58:15
70:6,10,23	95:11	conversations	1:14 2:6,6,7,19	67:20 81:24
71:2 72:10,16	constitute 48:2	121:4	4:9 15:3,7,10	131:18 132:7
72:20,22 74:20	82:2	coordinated	15:11,12 17:8	139:18
75:24 76:10,19	constituted 48:6	29:3	17:9,11,12,15	courses 13:20
76:22,25 77:14	104:25 105:22	copies 58:8	17:16,22 18:11	court 1:1 17:17

17:22 18:6,7,8	107:24 108:1	Debbie 61:23	83:24 86:9,12	36:21
24:8,9,13	133:25	Debi 64:10	86:15 91:11	determine 31:17
25:24 26:3,4	customary	Debra 1:10 2:10	95:11,16	31:20 35:7
42:8 43:6 44:9	100:21	99:1	105:21 109:21	59:7 86:4
45:25 64:14	cut 44:1 79:21	December 8:8,9	116:1,12,20	110:12 113:7
82:1 93:15,21	Cut 44.1 /9.21	decide 36:10	119:4 120:3	141:13
93:25 94:3	D	decided 44:14	122:2,14 135:5	determined
102:10 116:7	D 1:22 2:2 3:1	67:25 95:20,25	138:3 139:9	38:20 136:22
116:17 118:4	Dahlkemper	decision 4:14	departments	
125:11 130:23	10:13	35:24 63:13,22	22:9	determining 32:5
130:25 131:16	daily 20:13	63:23,25 64:3	department's	Deveney 115:13
131:23 132:8	damning 114:23	64:6,9,11,18	50:22 64:3	116:17 117:2
132:16,20	date 30:13 32:9	64:19,20,22,24	depended 20:15	119:7 122:22
135:1,19 136:2	32:12 60:16	65:1,6,9 66:9	100:18	124:19,20
139:22 140:12	61:10 63:12	67:10,24 68:1	dependency	125:6,13
140:12	66:13,13	68:8 72:19	50:23	130:25 131:11
courthouse	dated 60:15,19	96:18 114:2,2	depends 141:22	131:11,15
40:20	61:9 124:19,24	114:6,8,9,15	Depo 61:1	132:3
courtroom 32:9	125:7,13,17	114:16	deposition 1:18	Deveney's
32:12 45:3	dates 34:15	defend 99:23	3:10,11,12	115:17,23
58:2 70:13	65:23 66:18	106:3 109:12	60:18 71:22,25	Devlin 2:7 51:6
courts 24:8	99:10	109:13	81:1 88:25	52:7 137:12
93:18 135:5	Dave 24:25	Defendant 2:14	89:24 98:9,12	140:16
CPSL 84:16,18	David 25:13	4:12	104:5,8 107:13	diagnose 140:2
85:25	day 19:24,25	Defendants 1:15	108:7 113:16	Dible 69:6,9
created 38:17,18	28:17 30:9	2:10	143:6	71:10 73:21
credibility 31:5	36:15 61:10	defender 50:8	depositions	Dickinson 6:7,7
32:25 33:15	62:24 65:22	defense 7:20	42:23 138:6	6:14,18
34:1 58:3	67:4,6,7 99:16	81:6,7 104:18	142:2	difference 47:11
credit 14:7	107:8 132:8	define 15:20	described 44:23	47:15,17
credits 10:10	day-to-day	18:6,20 27:4	68:7	different 4:13
criminal 21:5	18:16	degree 5:24	designate 91:5	25:9 46:24,25
82:2,25 83:3	deal 119:20	10:14 141:20	desk 77:18	85:22 108:24
83:16 85:2,12	deals 117:19	degreed 141:12	despite 79:18	126:2
85:13,15,18,24	dealt 48:3	degrees 134:25	99:1	difficult 20:4,9
86:19 88:9	Deanna 74:13	deliver 127:7	detained 135:13	difficulties
criminally	80:5,7 82:19	Dell 2:15	detective 82:9	20:25
131:12	84:10 121:9	Democrat 29:22	detention 48:20	diligence 28:6
cross 16:19	128:15 142:12	demonic 96:15	48:23 74:12	direct 3:4 4:4
Cross-Examin	death 20:21 21:3	deny 130:7	78:10 79:1	12:5 79:19
3:5 117:9	21:8,11	department	80:8 83:12	111:2 114:24
CSA 117:15	Deb 21:3,12,15	10:12 28:6	84:23 88:13	130:12
120:24	40:16,17,24	31:5 32:25	91:2 92:21	directed 127:9,9
CSH 125:14	41:20,20 63:10	34:1 35:21	106:1 136:25	direction 127:2
currently 14:18	67:23	49:1 58:4,10	137:3 138:1	directive 124:4
custody 107:3	debate 29:12	64:8 79:4 83:9	determination	directly 39:6
	I	<u> </u>	l	

78:25 114:8	39:9 42:2,15	126:13 127:3	E 3:1	36:3 47:7
director 1:10,12	53:18 70:3,19	127:14,15,22	Earll 11:4,7,22	49:18,22 51:12
21:16,16,19,22	71:1 76:25	129:16,21,22	12:2 16:6	52:10 53:15
22:5 27:18,21	79:11 92:8	129:23	Earll's 12:19	55:20 56:25
27:23 28:14,25	94:11	documentation	Early 63:12	57:1 64:4,12
40:14,15 61:18	discussions 78:8	89:16	easy 127:3	95:15,15 99:17
disagreed 65:5	dispensed 42:6	documents 13:7	economies	101:7,19 102:5
65:10 116:6	dispensing	66:8 113:9,11	101:22	109:16 118:13
134:23	64:16	113:18,20,23	Ed 44:11 70:4	119:6,8 131:10
disciplinary	displaying	129:1 131:17	73:17	employees 19:2
49:20	141:13	doing 10:23	editing 116:11	19:4,7 20:11
discipline 35:9	disposed 21:6	12:14 27:21	editing/correc	24:9,19 35:23
49:14,17	disrespectful	41:21 47:4	131:16	61:13 74:16
disciplined	89:1	103:8 132:12	editor 6:18	86:12,18 88:15
54:22	disseminate	141:17	editors 68:25	105:4 128:18
disclose 47:25	98:18	Dombrowski	Edmund 2:11	128:22
98:18	distinction	82:10	education 8:12	employee's 48:4
disclosed 94:4	103:6	door 133:15,16	educational 5:13	55:3
disclosure 84:22	district 1:1,1	dot 84:12	EEOC 25:5	employers 99:12
85:3 118:10	11:5,13 21:4	doubt 112:4,9	effect 57:19	employment
124:17 138:1	24:8 26:4	Dowes 24:25	82:15 92:20	4:10,14 7:9 8:1
discovered	28:25 29:23	25:13	120:17 131:13	10:17,22 11:25
118:25	71:2 82:8,8,17	dozen 100:12,13	effort 29:12 52:4	13:11,22 14:10
discovery 9:13	82:21,22 83:4	100:16	52:13 55:25	14:24 21:25
discrete 92:7	83:6,7,10 85:4	DPW 124:1	efforts 16:25	22:3,13,22
discretion 55:10	85:7,8 130:24	draft 31:8,14	29:16 37:2	24:7,14,18
56:2	disturbing 45:2	32:6 33:24	74:18 109:21	25:24 32:16
discuss 40:8	45:13,14 52:22	34:1 44:25	egregious 59:2	34:25 35:3
62:1 71:4,9	divulged 135:20	drafted 60:7	79:3	49:23 50:11,11
77:19 78:4,18	doctor 19:16	98:4	either 22:5 24:8	56:24 63:14
82:17 83:4,6	doctors 140:3	drafter 60:7	36:24 50:10	67:12,15 68:9
92:15	document 31:9	drafting 13:1,6	53:18,19 70:3	68:17 69:18
discussed 21:4	31:15,21 32:6	15:17	127:7,8 134:2	70:10,15 71:17
34:3 35:18	33:3,14 34:4	draw 115:1	134:18 135:1	77:19 80:20
39:21 53:22	34:20 37:2,8	drives 29:8	136:5 142:21	97:18 99:10,14
67:23,24 69:15	37:15 38:15,17	due 28:5 65:15	election 11:20	99:19 101:20
70:22 77:13,21	42:14,15,18	80:21 91:16	11:21,24 12:1	106:4 109:19
77:24 78:2,6	44:25 49:5,25	100:6 138:16	16:25 29:1	114:3
78:11,15 92:6	52:20 56:12	duly 4:2	else's 51:14	ended 38:19
92:14,17 95:3	57:5,8,20,23	Dunlavey 16:23	emotion 50:25	58:5 59:23,24
95:19 96:3	58:4 62:13	duties 12:21,24	employed 7:11	engaged 7:19
99:22 119:9	67:9,21 89:18	17:7,14 18:19	7:13 8:4,11,23	10:10 40:25
123:13	89:20,21,25	19:3,5,9 20:12	10:24	English 16:11
discussing	98:4 104:15	140:10,11	employee 14:3	enhance 49:24
103:25 124:6	115:21 116:25	E	24:1 26:9	ensure 74:18
discussion 35:22	125:20 126:8		28:11,13 35:8	enter 24:10,16
	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1

f	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
entered 17:21	127:2 130:14	79:5 105:22	142:4,10,10	figure 85:13
25:2,3 46:18	exam 6:23 7:11	explaining 78:25		file 39:10,13
entire 98:23	7:12	explanation	<u> </u>	46:8,10
entirely 51:22	examination 3:4	43:3 44:3,4	face 131:15	filed 4:9 18:7
entitled 77:6	3:6 4:4 131:18	express 33:20	facilitate 39:25	25:5,25 53:20
117:24 120:4	137:14	50:16	facilitated 15:16	99:25 101:7,19
entity 23:10	exception 23:1	extended 12:2	fact 21:4 35:19	119:14 121:5
Equal 24:18	133:20	extension 100:8	47:16 48:8,18	filing 130:25
Erie 1:5,5,6,8,10	excess 29:2,3	eyes 32:25	50:24 69:17	final 67:3
1:12,13,14,23	excluded 27:9	e-mail 38:9,20	78:24 83:10	find 10:17,22
2:3,5,6,6,7,9	excuse 9:25 12:6	39:1,22 40:7	99:1 110:11	11:25 32:10
2:19 4:9,10 5:9	13:16 17:8	42:15 44:22	116:15,16	34:3 37:2
8:10,11 12:5,7	34:11 115:4	47:22,25 48:2	122:18 125:22	59:11,15 62:15
15:3 17:12	execute 98:5	49:3 53:11	125:22 130:11	89:21 110:21
19:8,9 20:12	Executive 1:8,11	56:8,14 57:13	132:9 134:9	125:2,19
22:23,25 25:13	15:11 54:7	58:8 60:9	142:2	127:13
28:24 32:17	67:25	77:23 78:10	factor 122:12	findings 27:24
47:13 68:20	exercise 32:1	80:4,17 82:19	facts 28:3 57:17	fine 24:15
72:1,9,15,21	exercising	84:5,10 95:21	112:13 141:5,8	138:18
96:25 103:2	141:17	95:24,25 96:22	141:9	fingers 90:17
104:9 128:18	Exhibit 3:10,11	97:11 104:25	factual 50:19,25	finish 45:8,8
136:20	3:12 60:18	109:8,10,13	fails 106:25	81:16 87:1
Esquire 1:14,22	61:1 71:22,25	110:20 121:8	107:4	fired 70:1
2:2,4,7,11,14	98:9,12 104:5	121:18 122:21	fair 16:24 17:3	131:14
2:14,18	104:8 115:2	123:10 124:18	24:5 33:16,19	firing 80:16
essence 104:16	124:20	124:24 125:6	65:1 114:1	137:6
135:4	exhibits 3:9	127:4 129:9	126:11 128:21	firm 7:14 8:5
established	102:9	130:13	128:25	100:4 102:21
41:13 94:7	exigent 136:5	e-mailed 38:9,21	false 36:12	103:3,4,12
esteem 35:21	existence 46:11	e-mailing 126:8	familiar 74:14	firms 9:19
estimate 18:2	48:20 91:2	e-mails 37:19,22	86:15 101:22	first 4:1 7:4
et 123:15	92:21	38:14,23 39:3	102:9	11:15 28:17
evaluate 56:11	exists 90:10	39:22 41:12,19	family 122:4	30:2 32:13
event 21:1 26:18	expect 83:5	41:21,25 45:1	far 45:2 52:22	37:21 38:12
32:11	91:14 96:11	49:9,10,24	59:2 85:5	51:7 54:2,4
events 30:23	expected 123:2,3	51:2,7 52:1,19	111:16,19	59:20,25 60:11
eventually 10:18	expend 58:20	52:21 53:1	132:12 134:12	61:10 63:1
126:22 127:9	expended 56:14	55:3,14 58:6,7	fear 46:5 138:2	66:2 67:12
everybody 41:23	expenditure	58:20 59:8,8	Federal 24:18	68:10,16 77:15
evidence 38:14	55:25	59:16 79:7,9	26:4 43:6	77:17 80:1
48:1 59:12	expenditures	79:11,13	fee 104:17	99:7 105:13
106:15,18	103:17	112:15,16,17	feedback 99:11	116:8 117:12
108:11 114:23	experience	113:22 121:14	feel 39:2	134:20
ex 135:11 136:2	100:19 103:8	124:11,18	feels 4:15	five 80:24
exactly 73:25	expertise 55:22	128:6,10 129:1	Ferguson 1:25	118:15,16
113:17 124:10	explain 42:12	130:7,9,17	Fifth 72:12	flight 46:5
		<u> </u>	l	l .

focus 80:5 118:4	86:10 95:13	front 83:4 90:5	22:5 34:16	graduated 6:5
130:10	112:13	90:12,14,16	39:5,6 43:10	6:20 7:8
followed 27:5	fortunate 53:11	94:16	43:19,20,23	graduating 7:1
91:10	forward 65:10	frothing 51:24	44:14 68:23	great 111:5
		frustrates	72:13 74:7	greater 41:13
following 27:10	95:21	109:21		Griffith 7:14 8:5
91:18 116:21	forwarded		87:14 88:5,20	
follows 4:2	127:2	frustrating	92:22 95:20,25	grounds 48:3,6
foreseeable	forwarding	50:22	107:8,11 115:6	48:19 49:14
138:22	125:5	fulfill 105:21	117:14 118:3,4	group 64:23
forget 50:12	foster 123:14	106:25	124:11 129:10	guess 18:1,1
54:16 63:8	134:2	fulfilled 106:21	131:3,4	29:21 34:8
84:8 88:2	found 40:9	full 5:6	goal 50:22	64:25
113:17	41:13,22 42:4	fully 46:11 96:15	goes 131:7	guy 81:4
forgotten 98:7	42:5 45:13,17	full-time 13:15	going 4:17 16:12	guys 80:16
form 25:17,20	45:18,18 46:22	13:25	20:5 22:15	H
26:10,11 27:3	52:22 53:12	function 106:21	33:24 35:7,11	
35:11,15 36:9	57:13 59:2	140:6,6,7,8	35:14 36:9	H 4:1
36:19 38:25	67:21 68:1	funds 56:1,14	42:24 43:11,17	Half 100:16
42:16,17 43:13	79:2,9 115:12	58:20	43:19 57:8	hand 61:14
44:19 47:2,19	115:17,23,25	further 114:24	60:17 64:14	128:2
48:5,10 49:7	foundation		68:22 69:3,18	handing 89:25
49:16 51:4,5,6	48:10,13 51:16	<u> </u>	69:24 72:24	handle 100:4
51:15 52:7,8	86:1 125:21	Gannon 5:19,20	85:6 86:20,22	handled 22:24
52:16 53:7,8	127:11,16	5:24 6:6 8:12	87:2,3,13,21	22:25 24:3,22
55:12 56:3	139:24,25	9:3 13:12,14	87:24 88:2,25	92:7 100:13,16
57:25 58:22,23	140:22	13:23 14:5,11	89:4 99:16,19	115:13
59:5,18 64:1,7	four 17:25 22:11	14:25 30:16	107:22 108:12	handles 86:13
65:3 67:9	23:4 24:3 25:6	general 14:18	108:13 111:5	handling 20:25
72:25 74:22	25:18 26:1,7	27:1,4,14	117:15 120:12	92:14 100:4
82:4 93:5,7	44:14 76:15	29:13 46:3	125:13 128:15	hands 57:9
95:22 97:9	81:1 139:19	generally 111:15	131:11,14	129:24
105:24 109:14	140:10	generic 67:9	135:12 136:17	handwritten
111:11 112:1	fourth 115:8,10	George 16:11,20	142:13	60:25
114:5 139:6	four-year 24:10	give 5:3,3 18:1	good 4:7 65:23	handy 53:6
140:16,22	frankly 57:13	20:7 43:3	66:18	Hang 86:21
formalize 53:23	64:21 96:10	60:17 85:1	Gornall 2:8	138:5
formally 17:21	frequent 20:21	89:17 99:11	gotten 34:4	happen 19:15
formatting	frequently 19:7	117:15 129:21	52:20 57:8,10	21:2 134:7
116:12	19:14 20:16,17	129:21 139:21	governing 55:3	happened 21:2
formed 115:22	22:2,6,6	given 32:22 46:3	74:15	30:18,19,20
I .	Friday 30:7 67:4	98:11 104:7	government	32:8 45:2
former 42:7	-	120:14 137:4	6:22 93:19	50:17 53:1
44:23 103:1	131:13	gives 63:18	99:17,17	58:2 59:7
121:19,22	friend 92:11	giving 33:3	graduate 5:16	60:11 96:24
forms 91:20,25	94:18,20	glad 4:24	5:20 6:9 9:3	97:2,4,6
93:2	friends 92:17	go 5:13 7:9 8:9		138:20
forth 17:9 55:8	95:3	500.10 7.50.5	10:10,11	100.20
	I	1	1	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

hard 66:23	102:11,14	32:24 33:4,25	83:22,24 84:3	instructed 39:20
108:11	Howard 69:6,10	106:12	91:9,15,21,22	76:22
harm 137:18	71:10 73:21	incident 54:8	92:3,4,6,13,16	instructor 13:16
Harrisburg 12:6	human 13:21	123:4,7,8,12	93:3,3,12,13	13:25
12:8	18:9 24:17	123:19 124:6	94:4 95:2 96:6	insurance 7:19
head 64:8	hurriedly 98:4	incidents 54:22	105:2,4,9,11	24:15
heads-up 68:3	husband 121:20	133:24	105:19 109:20	intended 5:3
hear 132:18	hypothetical	include 26:3	112:3,6 114:3	intent 42:10
138:9	141:3,7	included 113:18	114:6,10,14,16	45:21 88:14
heard 35:20		including 13:20	114:20,22	91:2 92:21
134:5 137:21	I	23:13 26:24	117:20,25	110:20 142:13
hearing 30:6,7	idea 17:20 51:1	independent	118:1 120:5,14	interest 136:12
30:14,23 31:3	51:13,14,19,20	55:17 112:5,12	121:19 130:11	interested
31:21 32:7	52:9 59:6	independently	136:25 137:4	108:17
33:14 37:9,12	68:17	28:7	139:21 142:8	intermittently
37:12 42:14	identification	indicate 127:21	informed 41:6	101:24
50:17 81:15,21	71:23 98:10	135:19	45:21 46:2,4	internal 55:23
100:17,22	104:6	indicated 82:11	46:14 48:20	International
101:1,4,5,7,12	identify 22:20	113:17 120:22	66:2,4,5 67:8	6:19
101:12 103:13	84:11 94:8,14	128:8	75:1 77:18	interpretation
109:16 115:12	137:19	indicates 46:10	79:10 80:1	37:7 139:7
130:22 135:12	ill 139:3	indication 32:5	91:3 92:20	interpreted
135:15 136:17	Illig 102:20	124:8	105:25 111:13	104:23
hearings 101:23	103:3,4,12	individual 18:23	111:14 130:13	interrupt 80:23
heeded 48:9	illnesses 140:3	28:22 29:2	informing 68:2	81:13 87:1
held 35:21 66:3	impact 96:17	38:6 39:7	91:1	interrupting
66:5 76:6	impacted 33:15	96:13 109:19	initial 11:12	87:5
helping 89:7	implied 99:15	114:10 122:17	48:2 95:12,20	interviewed 28:2
high 5:14	importance	individually 1:7	96:3 117:5	119:3 129:7
highly 126:14,16	91:16	1:9,11,14	initially 10:18	intolerable
126:18	important 79:2	individuals	21:15 41:11	86:17 87:3,4,8
hire 102:20	. 91:9	18:24 39:24	65:25 78:17	109:18
holding 21:7	improper	54:24 94:20	initiated 10:16	Introductions
28:24	118:10 124:17	112:8 139:9	injure 46:6	77:15
Holdnack 1:19	improperly	individual's	injured 134:17	investigate 28:7
1:24,25	44:24 110:24	55:14	injurious 134:20	37:17 39:3
home 5:9 9:9	improprieties	infant 83:12	input 19:10	124:1
125:3 126:9,21	131:16	inform 45:25	inspect 51:8	investigated
126:22 127:4,4	impune 119:11	54:6 67:25	56:7,8	139:10
honorable 50:15	impuned 131:10	74:5 129:20	instance 48:15	investigating
honors 6:13	inaccurately	information	109:7 137:20	34:23
hopes 49:13,17	73:15,15	23:2,3 33:22	137:23,24	investigation
hospitals 46:4	inappropriate	38:6,7 39:5,8	138:19,21	26:8,21 27:2,6
hours 14:7 88:25	51:9 57:6,7	42:6 47:25	instances 36:12	27:7,14,20,25
100:21 101:18	58:9	64:16 68:12	137:18	28:4 35:7,9,12
101:25 102:3	mappropriately	80:2,7 83:11	instruct 39:18	35:16 42:18
101:25 102:3	inappropriately	80:2,7 83:11	instruct 39:18	35:16 42:18

43:8 47:4,9	134:11 136:13	48:17 51:5	jurisdiction	85:7,15 86:16
49:4 50:5	issues 4:18,19	53:7 58:23,25	134:18 137:25	86:20,22 89:10
58:15 59:21	21:10 22:1,8,9	64:1 72:25	justice 82:2,6,14	89:19,20 90:2
96:12 112:12	22:10,12,13	74:22 81:16	131:1,12	90:3,4,9,15
114:24 139:12	29:13 35:3	88:18,21,24		93:6,6,22 94:5
investigator	130:16	89:7,17 102:22	K	94:21,22,23
124:1	issuing 136:16	107:22 108:1	keep 42:17	97:3,4,5
involve 12:21	item 77:23 95:13	109:14 117:10	86:12 87:13	102:14,19,19
involved 9:11	118:9,9,10,12	120:8 125:24	102:4 122:17	102:19 106:21
11:2,5 13:1,6	118:12 128:1	126:2 129:13	132:19	108:2,4,6,7,9
16:22 17:2	142:9,9	133:14 134:14	keeping 115:25	108:10,15,15
20:17 22:11,22	iteration 117:5	137:11 139:2	Kelly 33:1,16	108:16,20
24:2,7,10	I/we 98:17	139:16,24	35:20,21 81:25	109:3,10,23
25:12,15,21		140:20 141:15	82:14 136:7,15	110:3,9,13,19
28:4,11,14	<u>J</u>	Joyce 16:12	kept 80:3,5	111:9,12,16,19
29:8,12 40:1	J 2:18 4:1	Jr 2:11	91:15 105:11	111:21,22,23
59:11 66:6	jail 131:15	judge 32:25	105:20 130:10	111:24 112:2
68:3 82:18,18	Jane 11:4,22	33:16 35:20,21	136:6	116:2,4 117:3
84:5 91:24	12:19	36:14 81:25	kids 108:2	117:5 118:3
92:11,13 94:19	Jane's 11:8	82:14 112:6	kilobytes 125:15	123:18 125:22
94:21 110:20	January 8:17	130:23 134:11	Kim 119:3	125:24 130:14
113:4 136:1	9:24,24,25	135:7,10 136:7	kind 97:23 139:2	133:8,10,11
involvement	14:22,23 15:5	136:15	knew 29:5,22	137:22 138:2
18:16 20:24	15:12 103:23	judged 54:15	37:24 59:15,19	140:11,17,25
71:1 100:7	104:9 115:3,5	judgment 112:5	59:23,24 85:23	141:11,23,25
involving 22:18	121:8	135:5 139:17	99:18 123:16	141:25 142:1,6
23:1,4 24:9,14	Jerry 33:15	141:17,18	129:15 133:4	142:7
24:19 25:7,8	38:23 39:4	judgments	know 10:7 18:24	knowledge 28:3
25:15,24,25	57:20	134:25	24:25 28:2,16	29:7 30:2
46:23 63:2	Jim 50:13 69:6	July 30:8,14,24	29:2,19,24,25	46:17 61:20
70:13 77:24	71:10	31:3 32:7	31:12,16,20	63:1,3 67:12
82:11,19 86:13	job 10:16 12:4	33:14 34:10,11	34:7,24 36:4,7	83:17 92:10
129:1 133:24	99:23 106:11	34:14,19 35:13	37:12 38:7	97:12 103:22
in-house 100:5	106:21,25	36:5,17 42:14	41:6 46:10,20	126:23 127:14
irrelevant 57:12	122:19	45:16 50:18	47:7,10,12	127:22,25
81:11 133:13	John 1:13,18	79:13,16,23	48:23 49:1	128:3 135:17
issue 22:2,7,23	2:14 3:3 5:8	80:10,13,17	51:20 54:21,24	known 111:15
30:11 34:3	27:23 71:13	81:14,20	55:13 56:5,6	knows 108:20
40:2 45:5,15	join 35:14 74:24	122:21 123:9	56:19,21 60:1	142:13
50:2 52:5,14	Joseph 2:11	123:10,21,23	62:12,15 64:19	Knox 2:8,18
56:9 57:11,12	Journal 6:18	124:14 127:15	65:14 66:22	
59:13 63:2,2	Joyal 2:11 3:5	131:19	69:21 70:14	L
71:12 78:10,21	25:7 35:11	June 121:5,5,10	76:20,21,24	labor 103:1
83:10 85:8	36:9 42:17,21	121:11,12,13	77:8,11 80:14	lack 48:10 51:15
110:1 136:2,10	43:6,12,17,22	121:18 123:23	80:22 81:6	86:1 139:24,25
issued 46:3	44:5,7,11,13	123:25 128:7	83:22 84:7	140:22

John Onorato Page 12

Lane 2:14,15	22:3,23 25:24	libelous 4:16	59:14 79:21	making 34:16
25:17,19,21	35:1 46:1	Liberty 2:5	87:2	35:20 74:25
26:10,13,17,20	56:24 84:14,24	library 29:4	look 27:21 38:12	79:21 81:5
27:3,7 34:10	85:14,15,18	licensed 135:1	39:1,2,22	114:1,2
34:12 35:14	86:6 91:13	lie 48:17	42:20 43:25	í í
		Liebel 1:11 2:10	1	maligning 122:5
36:19 38:25	92:1 94:9,10		51:25 59:8,9	man 50:15
42:16 44:19	100:4 102:9	21:3,12,15	59:25 85:7,22	management 13:21 35:24
45:8 47:2,19	109:5 111:25	40:16,17 41:7	86:11 105:10	
48:5,10,12,14	133:21	53:20 61:20,23	110:12 118:16	manner 34:22
49:7,16 51:4	lawyer 120:9	63:10 64:10	118:23 119:18	64:13 87:18
51:15 52:8,16	122:11	67:23 68:7	119:25 120:11	92:7 114:7
53:8 55:12	lawyers 85:11	75:17,18,25	121:3,7 125:5	Manufacturers
56:3 57:25	lead 38:14 82:2	76:9 78:17	125:9	14:19
58:22,24 59:5	leaking 33:22	79:18 80:1	looked 27:11	March 12:11
59:18 64:7	learn 44:5	99:2 112:2,7	41:19 55:14	Mark 2:14 81:16
65:3 72:24	lease 65:15	114:4,18	59:9 60:9	89:17
74:24 80:23	leave 14:1 99:16	Liebel's 64:18	79:11 86:4	marked 60:17
81:3,8,13,19	lecture 43:7 44:6	lied 90:1	129:2	61:3,4 71:22
82:4 86:1,3,21	lectures 42:21	life 46:2 133:22	looking 38:13	71:24 98:9,11
86:25 87:5,9	left 13:11 14:10	light 20:22	41:18 49:4,8	104:5,7 113:15
87:12,20,24	21:17 76:8	lights 59:13	50:21 51:19	matter 21:6
88:2,4 89:23	legal 17:11,15	likes 125:5	52:10 53:3,5	23:15 25:20
90:1,4,12,14	26:17,20	line 27:10 35:23	79:6 118:19	27:11,19 46:14
90:19 95:22	109:24 120:4,7	36:2	136:9	46:23 47:18
97:9 103:9	139:1	list 16:20,24	lost 10:4 109:15	48:17 53:22
105:24 107:6	legislation 13:1	listen 88:10	lot 79:21	57:11,17 58:16
107:12,16	legitimate 138:2	106:5	Loughney 2:15	58:17 66:6
108:18,24	Legler 20:22	listened 35:18	Ls 98:7	68:3 71:2
109:2 110:15	Legler's 21:11	listening 43:25	lumping 89:6	77:19 78:1,4
111:1,4,7,11	lengthening	80:25	lying 90:7,8,8	78:18,20 80:6
112:1 113:13	42:23	literally 142:9		82:7,9,11,18
113:15,25	lengthy 69:12	litigants 136:8	M	82:23 83:4
114:5 115:5	113:23	litigation 18:7	MacDonald	85:22 100:11
116:22 123:16	Lerman 7:14	24:7	102:20 103:3,4	110:21 124:13
138:5,10,14,17	letter 60:19 90:5	little 5:13 25:21	103:12	132:9 134:12
139:6,25	95:14 98:12	63:20	mail 127:7	134:15 136:5
140:22 141:4,6	112:14,24	LLC 2:15	main 35:19 58:3	140:18 142:1
,	'	Local 6:22	maintain 14:14	matters 17:15
141:9 143:4	113:15,16	1	14:16 80:22	
language 98:20	let's 8:17 43:10	located 13:8	91:10	22:18 24:1,19
larger 32:24	59:10 67:18	long 8:4 12:10	maintained	86:13 110:2
law 2:11 6:8,17	68:23,24,24	12:16 13:23	38:18 80:20	111:14 122:18
6:19,22 7:8,14	115:6 123:5	39:14 41:10	123:4	139:9
7:24,25 9:5	124:11,12	65:24 69:9	1	MBA 8:13,14
10:9 12:21	level 137:22	81:1 108:12	maintaining 91:16	9:23 10:14
			· WILLIN	1 57.714
13:4,18,22 14:14,17 21:25	liability 91:17	133:19 longer 58:18	major 6:1	56:24 McLaughlin 2:8

Ferguson & Holdnack Reporting, Inc. 814-452-4556

McNair 1:22 2:2	meant 22:6	98:4	45:16	105:25
3:4,6 4:5,7	34:14 123:7,17	mention 68:10	money 109:12	Neal 2:7
25:8,20 26:11	123:18 127:24	82:24 100:15	monitoring	necessity 114:25
26:19,22 34:11	medication	mentioned 18:12	128:9	need 19:19,22
34:14 36:13	19:17	79:8 82:7,8,20	month 20:14	39:2 43:1,2,3
42:20 43:6,7	meet 21:11,15	82:20 83:1	monthly 19:23	44:3 66:5
43:10,15,21,22	21:18,20 40:10	85:5 96:4	months 8:17,18	86:24 141:12
43:25 44:5,6	40:17 66:15	Mercyhurst	8:20 85:12	needed 54:6
44:10,13,16,20	69:5,9	5:15	139:20 140:10	55:18 97:7
45:10 48:11,13	meeting 34:8,9	met 21:20 28:17	morning 4:7	negative 99:11
80:25 81:4,10	34:18 37:24	40:4,8,19	Moser 2:15	neglect 106:24
86:2 87:6,10	39:10,14,17	41:11,11,18	mother 42:9,10	133:5
87:17,22 88:6	40:21 41:1,10	66:7,12,12,25	45:19,20,21,22	neglected 106:19
88:7,21,24	41:13,24 42:3	67:4,15 77:16	45:24 46:4,5,5	neglecting
89:4,9,23 90:3	50:17 54:2,2,3	77:17	46:11,17,19	108:21 109:4
90:11,13,15,18	54:4,5 63:4,5,7	Michael 16:11	88:14 91:3	134:3
90:20 105:17	63:8,11,15	16:23 22:5	92:22 111:15	negotiations
107:7,14,25	65:12,20,25	30:4 39:21	134:9,18	103:1
107.7,14,23	66:3,4,6,10,16	40:7,24 46:1	139:19,22	Neither 140:20
108.3,19,23	67:1,8,13,16	50:14 51:24	motivated 80:13	never 24:13 25:2
111:5 113:20	67:17 68:5,6,9	58:1 84:21	motivation	43:8 50:20
117:7,13 118:3	69:7,12,13,14	90:23 129:7	30:22 31:1	57:3,22,23
120:6,10,12,25	69:16 74:7	Michele 125:13	79:17	58:21 59:1
125:21,25	75:3,7,25 76:3	Mike 35:17	motto 29:1	66:15 82:6
126:17,20	76:8,12,18,19	37:19,23 38:1	mouth 51:25	127:17 129:10
127:11,16	76:23 77:1,3,5	38:5 40:8	move 88:16	New 129:4
129:11,15	78:11 79:23	41:11,18,19,21	138:24	newspaper 4:16
130:1 132:25	81:15,21,25	50:8 53:23	moving 132:19	69:23 71:4,5
133:3,13,15	83:1 95:12,20	67:20,23	MTR 69:4 70:22	72:6 73:14
134:13 135:3	96:3 122:25	mind 48:2	municipal 12:15	75:5,9 103:22
135:22 137:2	130:6 136:20	109:21 110:16	13:7	104:10 131:13
137:15 138:8	142:2,3	136:22		newspapers
138:12,15,16	meetings 17:5	mine 51:21	N	132:24
138:18 140:20	21:3,22 40:1	minutes 39:16	N 3:1 4:1,1	nonoccurence
141:5,7 143:3	53:19 54:6	44:14 125:17	name 4:7 5:6	32:10
mean 24:10 26:4	member 12:22	129:19,19	29:6 89:13,14	nonresponsive
34:24 47:20	15:24 29:23	mischaracteri	98:6	138:25
52:25 61:15	50:9 75:2	58:1	named 4:12 41:4	nonsense 90:4
64:15 67:18	92:10,12 99:17	misrepresenta	narrow 52:4,13	normally 102:11
79:20 85:21	members 91:10	48:16	63:19	North 42:7
107:18 112:22	91:17	misstating 90:13	national 137:22	Northwest 14:19
114:9 123:8	membership	moment 6:21	nature 67:24	nose 90:16
142:21	28:23	57:4	68:4,12 77:21	Notary 1:19
meaning 67:22	memo 77:23	Monday 1:20	78:25 84:13	noted 61:22
109:5	90:23	30:10,10 32:18	88:11 95:9	notes 60:25
means 56:10	memorialized	33:12 34:8	98:19 100:6	noticed 98:5
ARROGRES JU.10	AP SINCE NO RECORDED AND RECORD			

John Onorato Page 14

notified 76:19	139:6,24,25	133:25 136:15	40:4,23 41:15	139:16 140:9
76:22	140:16,22	odd 135:9	44:11 45:4	141:23 143:1
Ns 98:7	141:15	offer 12:2	46:7 47:16,22	old 5:10,12
number 4:13,15	objections	office 1:6,12 2:6	49:10 50:16,20	once 8:2 10:14
4:17 13:20	132:15	2:11 4:10 9:6,7	51:13,23 52:3	20:14
22:22 23:8	objective 35:9	12:5,8,8 14:16	52:4 53:3 54:8	ones 49:10
94:5 112:18	objectivity 50:5	18:17,18,20,21	54:21 55:15,21	112:20 113:21
Numerous 18:15	obligation	18:22 19:3,5,8	56:13,23 57:15	ongoing 110:2
nurse 140:5	105:21	19:11 20:11,17	59:3,15 60:10	Onorato 1:13,18
Nurses 140:2,4	observations	21:25 22:13,23	61:3,4,7,22	2:14 3:3,10,11
	132:9 140:4	24:9,14,20	62:6 63:5,13	3:12 4:7 5:8,10
	observed 139:3	25:15,23,25	63:16,24 64:9	71:22,24 72:11
O 4:1,1,1	obstructed 88:4	26:9 29:9 30:3	64:18 65:1,8	72:18 98:9,11
oath 131:23	obstruction 82:2	30:17 33:12	67:11,14 68:15	104:5,8,17
142:22	82:6,14 131:1	50:9 70:19	69:5,15,23	115:11 137:17
object 26:10	131:12	74:15 75:8,10	70:19 71:4,14	opened 13:15
35:11,15 36:9	obtained 37:2	76:9 81:21	72:8,14 73:19	133:14,16
42:25 43:4	obtaining 33:25	85:5 98:3	74:8 75:3,21	operates 86:9
72:24,25 73:11	obviously 34:16	119:5 122:14	75:25 76:3,12	operating 116:1
74:22 107:22	occasion 17:18	130:24	77:10 78:22	operations 49:1
120:12	21:21 65:15,21	officer 17:11	79:12,25 80:9	86:15
objection 25:17	129:5	offices 1:21	82:13,24 85:10	opined 81:25
25:19 27:3	Occasional	official 64:23	85:20,24 88:8	opinion 83:16
36:19 38:25	14:13	officially 92:13	88:16 89:2	84:25 85:1
42:16,17 43:13	occasions 18:14	officials 61:13	90:21 91:5	95:4 120:4
44:2,7,10,15	21:24 24:16	oftentimes 39:5	92:23 94:2,7	122:11 134:25
44:19 47:2,19	occur 56:25	okay 5:5,6,20	94:17 95:2	139:1 141:2
48:5,10 49:7	132:21	6:5,9,20 7:2,6	96:17 97:2,7	opinions 131:21
49:16 51:4,5,6	occurred 32:12	8:4 9:2,4,9	97:15 98:2,15	132:10,20
51:15 52:7,8	33:7 41:24	10:6,17,19	99:1,13,18,22	141:16,16
52:16 53:7,8	54:15 57:3,23	11:2,15,24	100:12 101:2,9	opportunity
55:12 56:3	74:19,20 78:8	12:7,23 13:3	102:11 103:7	24:19 132:8
57:25 58:22,23	137:23	14:23 15:24	103:11,15,17	option 67:11
59:5,18 64:1,7	occurrence 30:5	17:1,10,13,24	104:12,15	68:10 99:7
65:3 82:4 86:1	32:10 55:13	18:2 19:14	105:7,22 106:3	orally 62:4
95:22 97:9	96:4 138:22	20:1,3 21:7,18	109:7,11,23	order 42:8 46:12
102:22 103:9	occurring	23:12,16,22,25	110:5,23	46:17 48:21,23
105:24 109:14	137:21	24:3 25:10	111:16,23	74:12 78:11
110:15 111:11	OCY 22:4 23:4	26:22 27:1,13	112:4,21 113:2	79:1 80:8
112:1 114:5	23:10 24:4	27:20 29:7	113:10 115:1,8	84:23 88:13
120:6,25	25:7,8 35:22	30:22 31:1,6	115:17,23	91:2 92:21
125:21 126:17	35:24 38:3	31:10 32:1,4	116:13,16,24	93:15,22 94:1
126:20 127:11	40:5,10 41:7	33:2,8,20	117:2,4 118:14	95:10 106:1
127:16 130:1	46:7 51:3	34:17 35:22	121:7 122:20	109:23 110:6,8
133:13 134:13	53:21 81:25	36:4 37:7,21	123:5,21	110:10,13
135:3,22 137:2	105:4,9 120:3	38:2,8,13,22	124:23 138:17	111:9,12,13,24
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>

Ferguson & Holdnack Reporting, Inc. 814-452-4556

		<u></u>		
121:15,16	72:12,12 93:1	parties 29:25	29:15 96:24	12:20 13:6,9
134:10 135:7	98:15 104:16	38:7 39:8	permitted 139:4	13:11 14:1,2
135:10,11,19	115:9,10 116:5	64:17 80:3	per initied 139.4 person 37:21	14:10
136:2,9,10,13	118:23 120:23	86:18 105:4,25	45:25 68:10,13	pocket 120:16
		135:11	76:10 110:20	120:17
136:17,25 137:3 138:1	131:4,6	partner 103:2	120:4 135:18	point 4:23 22:8
	paranoid 128:13 128:14	partner 103.2 party 29:19,24	141:19,21,22	37:13 38:19
141:13	· · · ·	35:23 36:2	personnel 1:10	40:25 63:13
ordered 109:17	Pardon 61:6 80:11	39:7 45:19	35:3 39:10,13	78:11 109:15
orders 46:3			61:18 66:6	118:7 119:12
93:21,25	parent 123:14	46:14,23 48:20	Į.	122:7 123:6
organization	133:16 135:20	83:11 88:10	71:5 77:5	
83:14	137:24	91:1 92:20	118:20	127:8
outcome 99:4	parenting	105:3 109:20	persons 126:11 Pete 40:25	pointed 126:7
outlined 30:20	131:21 141:14	110:1,2,17	Peter 40:25 Peter 1:9 2:10	policies 39:23
outlining 67:21	141:21	114:11 126:15	1	86:16 89:12,14
Outlook 66:22	parents 123:14	130:11 136:9	63:9 67:22 74:25 99:2	89:17 90:24
outright 48:17	134:2,3,6	part-time 14:2,3		106:7
outside 92:17	parent's 140:13	passed 7:4 8:2,7	petition 29:8	policy 15:17
95:3 100:4,10	parking 59:10	Pat 69:6 71:10	136:16	37:24 55:2,5,7
overly 26:13,21	59:12	pay 43:11	Petulla 21:17,21	55:23,23 56:6
140:23 141:15	part 16:16 21:21	payment 103:21	27:23 28:2,5	56:7 87:6,23
overreaching	46:1 91:5	PC 2:8	Phil 16:11	88:8,17 89:10
32:24	98:22 99:22	Peebles 119:4,7	phonetic 24:25	89:13,13 90:5
oversight 12:5	116:11 117:16	119:10 131:6,9	PHRC 25:5	90:9,21,25
overwhelming	120:16,17	penalties 82:3	physical 127:14	91:4,6,7,11
114:23	128:21	pendency 63:2	physically	92:19 95:8
P	parte 135:11	Penn 2:15	106:15	97:10,10 106:6
	136:3	Pennsylvania	Pittsburgh 2:12	110:4 118:11
PA 2:3,5,9,12,16	participants	1:1,9,20,23 5:9	2:16 12:6	118:12 128:19
packet 142:8	41:8,14	6:23 7:17	place 2:15 9:8	128:22,25
page 42:24,24	participate	14:20 18:9	38:12 41:1	political 6:4 16:7
90:6 104:15	15:13 16:13	24:4,17 93:24	55:24 59:25	29:19,24
115:8,10 131:7	17:6 25:22	133:22	65:21 75:7	poses 109:22
131:8	26:7 75:2	people 28:3 41:3	88:13 127:3	position 11:7
pages 108:19	participated	64:24 68:8	placed 29:5	12:10,13,20
121:14 122:21	16:7,25 43:9	113:4 117:20	plain 128:22	13:9,15,25
paid 11:7 16:21	participation	117:24 137:18	Plaintiff 1:3 2:1	14:21 15:6,10
43:12 P 1-4-11-70-4-12	4:14 56:11	perform 112:12	planned 65:13	15:17,19,20,21
Palatella 70:4,12	particular 11:2	122:19	65:17,25 72:9	28:24 36:14
Palattella 72:14	35:24 48:14	performed 32:2	72:16,21	72:10,22
73:3,5,18	49:3 50:22	140:10,11	Pleas 18:8 24:8	102:10,13
104:1,12	83:20 84:11	performing	26:4 94:3	137:9 139:21
paper 69:1	86:5 94:19	12:23 20:12	please 5:7 26:22	positions 12:24
70:14 74:5	119:10 124:6	64:13	44:1,12,17	positive 126:21
papers 15:17	particulars	period 8:23 10:8	105:18 137:19	possessed 31:21
paragraph	119:9	10:20 24:10	PNC 12:13,16	possession 37:5
	<u> </u>		I	1

37:8,13 38:19	president 14:18	professionals	95:4	68:24 72:3
125:20 127:10	presume 136:7	91:23 93:12	provisions 94:15	79:20,22 80:15
127:15,23	presuming	123:13 141:18	public 1:19 29:9	81:5,8,10,12
128:4	35:12	professor 13:14	29:13 50:8	81:16,18 85:14
•		13:16,24 14:5	91:12 93:21,22	87:1,9,14,16
possible 82:24	pretty 17:1 63:18	14:24 34:25	95:17 103:21	87:17,18 88:17
83:3 92:7		56:24	122:2	1
136:23	previously 32:1			95:18,19 96:21
potential 99:12	47:8 54:22	prognostic 74:12	publicity 29:16	101:14,17
109:16	primarily 7:19	79:1 80:8	publicize 98:18	102:1,24
practice 9:5	9:8,19 137:3	84:22 88:13	published 72:1	105:13,14,15
12:21 13:4	print 127:4	106:1	73:9 103:22	105:16,17
14:14,17 45:25	prior 7:11 11:4	progressing 99:6	pull 58:6	106:23,23
131:25	29:11 31:11,21	prohibit 139:2	purpose 29:17	107:6,7,15,16
practiced 133:21	32:7,15 37:8	promise 98:17	68:22	107:20,23
practices 91:18	40:2 42:14	99:10	purposes 92:8	108:18,24
116:20	47:8 63:15	proper 35:21	put 98:20 118:19	110:7 111:1,2
practicing 10:9	67:13,16 70:20	91:24	putting 43:16	111:8 115:22
practitioner	73:4 77:21,24	properly 106:11	PW 54:11 95:17	116:16 120:8
14:25	78:2 91:21	property 39:23	122:2,5,13	133:14 135:25
prenatal 91:2	92:3 93:2	51:8,8 56:9	123:23 137:1	138:13 141:3,7
92:21 138:1	99:12 119:2	protect 91:17	PW's 121:19	questioning
preparation	127:15 128:7	95:10 105:21	P.A 117:15	138:14,17
54:5	133:23	protected	120:24	questions 4:18
Preparatory	prison 70:25	138:22	p.m 69:8 76:6,6	22:16 68:14
5:15	private 14:13,25	Protective 84:7	143:6	81:3 85:11
prepare 67:20	privilege 22:16	84:23 85:17		91:18 107:5,9
101:25	privileged 38:6	91:12 92:1	Q	117:7,12 120:9
prepared 100:24	PRN 19:17	94:8 109:5	quash 132:19	133:4 137:12
131:17	probable 136:23	111:25 117:16	question 4:21,24	quite 57:12 58:8
preparing 9:13	probably 53:2	provide 49:14	5:4 19:6,14,22	64:12,21 96:10
100:17,22	65:11 96:15	94:10 139:2	20:4,4 24:23	quotation
101:4,18,23	129:13	141:9	25:2,9 26:10	104:20
101:4,16,23	problems 115:13	provided 23:2	26:12,14,23	quote 72:23 73:1
prescribe 19:16	procedure 27:5	27:22 31:8,15	27:9 29:21	132:24
presence 78:22	44:8	31:16,24 32:6	33:5 34:4	quoted 72:18
		33:14 42:13	36:13 37:16	73:15 104:16
142:16	procedures	44:25 49:25	39:7 42:25	
present 2:18	116:1,2	1	43:14 44:12,16	quote/unquote
40:23 41:3,6	proceeding	55:17 58:7	44:21 45:4,6,7	137:6
76:3 78:7	132:20	62:10 94:3	45:11 47:21	R
102:10	process 116:12	106:7 112:16	49:2 51:13	R2:7,11,144:1
presentation	product 118:11	114:3,6 141:5		· ' '
50:19,24	124:17	141:8 142:8	52:12,18 53:9	race 11:5
	professional	providing 49:5	53:10 56:9	raise 79:25
presented	l **			
102:15 105:7	44:2 46:2	57:5	57:16,18 58:14	raised 27:11,18
_	44:2 46:2 91:24 92:8 93:14 110:19	57:5 provision 85:24 93:10 94:8,14	58:21 60:10 65:4,5,7,9,18	51:2,11 52:6 67:12 68:16

John Onorato Page 17

ran 11:13,13 41:1,15 54:1 110:4 118:24 105:2,4 118:1 120:14,22 range 100:19 63:12,17 65:14 131:5 140:4 120:5 136:24 121:4 122:5 ranking 64:23 68:11,16,19 record's 44:10 released 23:3 123:23 124 rationale 80:19 73:10,19,25 Redirect 3:6 83:11 91:23 reported 1:2-4 105:19,20 75:19 77:20 75:19 77:20 137:14 93:3,16 130:11 15:21 82:7 read 26:14,16 78:2,6 82:13 Reference releases 68:12 10:1 15:21 82:7 31:14 32:3,4,8 84:6 85:4 123:12 93:7 109:20 reported 1:2-4 13:19 44:18 44:18 62:4,6 99:9 100:15,20 128:13,14 releasing 91:21 104:2 115:1 73:8 91:7 103:11,14,21 98:13 115:18 relevance 58:25 92:13 110:4 118:24 103:25 104:10 referring 89:22 102:22 103:9 reports 60:23 128:23 129:4,6 113:13,14,25 reflect 89:23 46:13,16 49:11 118:2 119:2 133:23 137:21 131:19 142:5,6 refreshes 30:7 59
range 100:19 63:12,17 65:14 131:5 140:4 120:5 136:24 121:4 122:9 ranking 64:23 68:11,16,19 record's 44:10 released 23:3 123:23 124 rarest 136:4 69:11 73:7,8 red 59:13 39:8 80:2,7 131:3 139:4 rationale 80:19 73:10,19,25 Redirect 3:6 83:11 91:23 reported 1:24 105:19,20 75:19 77:20 137:14 93:3,16 130:11 15:21 82:7 read 26:14,16 78:2,6 82:13 Reference releases 68:12 110:1 31:14 32:3,4,8 84:6 85:4 123:12 93:7 109:20 reporter 26:1 32:13 44:12,16 96:10,11 97:13 referenced 114:10 43:19 44:18 44:18 62:4,6 99:9 100:15,20 128:13,14 releasing 91:21 104:2 115:1 73:8 91:7 103:11,14,21 98:13 115:18 relevance 58:25 92:13 110:4 118:24 103:25 104:10 referring 89:22 102:22 103:9 reports 60:23 128:23 129:4,6 113:13,14,25 Refresh 118:18 52:5 58:18,19 119:23 133:22 32:9 <th< td=""></th<>
ranking 64:23 68:11,16,19 record's 44:10 released 23:3 123:23 124 rarest 136:4 69:11 73:7,8 red 59:13 39:8 80:2,7 131:3 139:4 rationale 80:19 73:10,19,25 Redirect 3:6 83:11 91:23 reported 1:24 105:19,20 75:19 77:20 137:14 93:3,16 130:11 15:21 82:7 read 26:14,16 78:2,6 82:13 Reference releases 68:12 110:1 31:14 32:3,4,8 84:6 85:4 123:12 93:7 109:20 reporter 26:1 32:13 44:12,16 96:10,11 97:13 referenced 114:10 43:19 44:18 44:18 62:4,6 99:9 100:15,20 128:13,14 releasing 91:21 reporter 26:1 73:8 91:7 103:11,14,21 98:13 115:18 relevance 58:25 92:13 104:1 118:24 103:25 104:10 98:13 115:18 relevance 58:25 92:13 128:23 129:4,6 113:13,14,25 reflect 89:23 46:13,16 49:11 118:2 119:23 133:23 137:21 131:19 142:5,6 refreshes 30:7 59:14 110:11 represent 4:8 143:4 <th< td=""></th<>
rarest 136:4 69:11 73:7,8 red 59:13 39:8 80:2,7 131:3 139:4 rationale 80:19 73:10,19,25 Redirect 3:6 83:11 91:23 reported 1:24 105:19,20 75:19 77:20 137:14 93:3,16 130:11 15:21 82:7 read 26:14,16 78:2,6 82:13 Reference releases 68:12 110:1 31:14 32:3,4,8 84:6 85:4 123:12 93:7 109:20 reporter 26:1 32:13 44:12,16 96:10,11 97:13 referenced 114:10 43:19 44:18 44:18 62:4,6 99:9 100:15,20 128:13,14 releasing 91:21 104:2 115:1 73:8 91:7 103:11,14,21 98:13 115:18 relevance 58:25 92:13 110:4 118:24 103:25 104:10 referring 89:22 90:2,3,21 relevant 46:13 117:19,22,2 128:23 129:4,6 113:13,14,25 reflect 89:23 46:13,16 49:11 118:2 119:2 133:23 137:21 131:19 142:5,6 refreshes 30:7 59:14 110:11 represent 4:8 reading 31:17 receive 5:24 regard 53:11 10:13,16 17:16 18:13
rationale 80:19 73:10,19,25 Redirect 3:6 83:11 91:23 reported 1:24 105:19,20 75:19 77:20 137:14 93:3,16 130:11 15:21 82:7 read 26:14,16 78:2,6 82:13 Reference releases 68:12 110:1 31:14 32:3,4,8 84:6 85:4 123:12 93:7 109:20 reporter 26:1 32:13 44:12,16 96:10,11 97:13 referenced 114:10 43:19 44:18 44:18 62:4,6 99:9 100:15,20 128:13,14 releasing 91:21 104:2 115:19 73:8 91:7 103:11,14,21 98:13 115:18 relevance 58:25 92:13 110:4 118:24 103:25 104:10 99:2,3,21 relevance 58:25 92:13 128:23 129:4,6 113:13,14,25 reflect 89:23 46:13,16 49:11 118:2 119:2 130:14 131:5 123:20 129:17 Refresh 118:18 52:5 58:18,19 119:23 143:4 recalling 80:4 regard 53:11 110:13,16 17:16 18:11 reading 31:17 receive 5:24 regarding 21:25 relied 112:11 84:4 103:7 31:22 32:9 6:13 42:1
105:19,20 75:19 77:20 137:14 93:3,16 130:11 15:21 82:7 read 26:14,16 78:2,6 82:13 Reference 123:12 93:7 109:20 reporter 26:1 31:14 32:3,4,8 84:6 85:4 123:12 93:7 109:20 reporter 26:1 32:13 44:12,16 96:10,11 97:13 referenced 114:10 43:19 44:18 44:18 62:4,6 99:9 100:15,20 128:13,14 releasing 91:21 104:2 115:18 73:8 91:7 103:11,14,21 98:13 115:18 relevance 58:25 92:13 110:4 118:24 103:25 104:10 referring 89:22 102:22 103:9 reports 60:23 128:23 129:4,6 113:13,14,25 reflect 89:23 46:13,16 49:11 118:2 119:2 130:14 131:5 123:20 129:17 Refresh 118:18 52:5 58:18,19 119:23 143:4 recalling 80:4 regard 53:11 110:13,16 17:16 18:11 reading 31:17 6:13 42:11 22:2,16 31:6 114:3 represent 4:8 72:4 73:10 60:14 75:1 33:13 42:7 rely 112:7 77:6 100:10 128:7
read 26:14,16 78:2,6 82:13 Reference releases 68:12 110:1 31:14 32:3,4,8 84:6 85:4 123:12 93:7 109:20 reporter 26:1 32:13 44:12,16 96:10,11 97:13 referenced 114:10 43:19 44:18 44:18 62:4,6 99:9 100:15,20 128:13,14 releasing 91:21 104:2 115:18 73:8 91:7 102:3 103:10 referred 82:9 93:3 reporting 1:2 94:11 105:17 103:11,14,21 98:13 115:18 relevance 58:25 92:13 110:4 118:24 103:25 104:10 referring 89:22 102:22 103:9 reports 60:23 128:23 129:4,6 113:13,14,25 reflect 89:23 46:13,16 49:11 118:2 119:2 130:14 131:5 123:20 129:17 Refresh 118:18 52:5 58:18,19 119:23 143:4 recalling 80:4 regard 53:11 10:13,16 17:16 18:13 143:4 recive 5:24 regarding 21:25 84:4 103:7 31:22 32:9 6:13 42:11 22:2,16 31:6 114:3 representation 72:4 73:10 60:14 75:1 33:13 42:7
31:14 32:3,4,8 84:6 85:4 123:12 93:7 109:20 reporter 26:1 32:13 44:12,16 96:10,11 97:13 referenced 114:10 43:19 44:18 44:18 62:4,6 99:9 100:15,20 128:13,14 releasing 91:21 104:2 115:1 73:8 91:7 102:3 103:10 referred 82:9 93:3 reporting 1:2 94:11 105:17 103:11,14,21 98:13 115:18 relevance 58:25 92:13 110:4 118:24 103:25 104:10 referring 89:22 102:22 103:9 reports 60:23 128:23 129:4,6 113:13,14,25 reflect 89:23 46:13,16 49:11 118:2 119:2 130:14 131:5 123:20 129:17 Refresh 118:18 52:5 58:18,19 119:23 143:4 recalling 80:4 regard 53:11 10:13,16 17:16 18:11 reading 31:17 receive 5:24 regarding 21:25 relied 112:11 84:4 103:7 31:22 32:9 6:13 42:11 22:2,16 31:6 114:3 representation 72:4 73:10 60:14 75:1 33:13 42:7 rely 112:7 77:6 100:10 128:7 received 6:21 70:6,24 80:7 remained 12:22 representation
32:13 44:12,16 96:10,11 97:13 referenced 114:10 43:19 44:18 44:18 62:4,6 99:9 100:15,20 128:13,14 releasing 91:21 104:2 115:18 73:8 91:7 102:3 103:10 referred 82:9 93:3 reporting 1:2 94:11 105:17 103:11,14,21 98:13 115:18 relevance 58:25 92:13 110:4 118:24 103:25 104:10 referring 89:22 102:22 103:9 reports 60:23 127:19,25 104:12 111:18 90:2,3,21 relevant 46:13 117:19,22,2 130:14 131:5 123:20 129:17 Refresh 118:18 52:5 58:18,19 119:23 143:4 recalling 80:4 regard 53:11 110:13,16 represent 4:8 reading 31:17 receive 5:24 regarding 21:25 relied 112:11 84:4 103:7 31:22 32:9 6:13 42:11 22:2,16 31:6 114:3 representation 72:4 73:10 60:14 75:1 33:13 42:7 rely 112:7 77:6 100:10 128:7 received 6:21 70:6,24 80:7 remained 12:22 representation
44:18 62:4,6 99:9 100:15,20 128:13,14 releasing 91:21 104:2 115:1 73:8 91:7 102:3 103:10 referred 82:9 93:3 reporting 1:2 94:11 105:17 103:11,14,21 98:13 115:18 relevance 58:25 92:13 110:4 118:24 103:25 104:10 referring 89:22 102:22 103:9 reports 60:23 128:23 129:4,6 113:13,14,25 reflect 89:23 46:13,16 49:11 118:2 119:2 130:14 131:5 123:20 129:17 Refresh 118:18 52:5 58:18,19 119:23 143:4 recalling 80:4 regard 53:11 10:13,16 17:16 18:11 reading 31:17 receive 5:24 regarding 21:25 relied 112:11 84:4 103:7 31:22 32:9 6:13 42:11 22:2,16 31:6 114:3 representation 72:4 73:10 60:14 75:1 33:13 42:7 rely 112:7 77:6 100:10 128:7 received 6:21 70:6,24 80:7 remained 12:22 representation
73:8 91:7 102:3 103:10 referred 82:9 93:3 reporting 1:2 94:11 105:17 103:11,14,21 98:13 115:18 relevance 58:25 92:13 110:4 118:24 103:25 104:10 referring 89:22 102:22 103:9 reports 60:23 127:19,25 104:12 111:18 90:2,3,21 relevant 46:13 117:19,22,2 130:14 131:5 123:20 129:17 Refresh 118:18 52:5 58:18,19 119:23 133:23 137:21 131:19 142:5,6 refreshes 30:7 59:14 110:11 represent 4:8 143:4 recalling 80:4 regard 53:11 110:13,16 17:16 18:11 reading 31:17 6:13 42:11 22:2,16 31:6 114:3 represent 4:8 72:4 73:10 60:14 75:1 33:13 42:7 rely 112:7 77:6 100:10 128:7 received 6:21 70:6,24 80:7 remained 12:22 representation
94:11 105:17 103:11,14,21 98:13 115:18 relevance 58:25 92:13 110:4 118:24 103:25 104:10 referring 89:22 102:22 103:9 reports 60:23 127:19,25 104:12 111:18 90:2,3,21 relevant 46:13 117:19,22,2 128:23 129:4,6 113:13,14,25 reflect 89:23 46:13,16 49:11 118:2 119:2 130:14 131:5 123:20 129:17 Refresh 118:18 52:5 58:18,19 119:23 133:23 137:21 131:19 142:5,6 refreshes 30:7 59:14 110:11 represent 4:8 143:4 recalling 80:4 regard 53:11 110:13,16 17:16 18:11 reading 31:17 6:13 42:11 22:2,16 31:6 114:3 represent 4:8 72:4 73:10 60:14 75:1 33:13 42:7 rely 112:7 77:6 100:10 128:7 received 6:21 70:6,24 80:7 remained 12:22 representation
110:4 118:24 103:25 104:10 referring 89:22 102:22 103:9 reports 60:23 127:19,25 104:12 111:18 90:2,3,21 relevant 46:13 117:19,22,2 128:23 129:4,6 113:13,14,25 reflect 89:23 46:13,16 49:11 118:2 119:2 130:14 131:5 123:20 129:17 Refresh 118:18 52:5 58:18,19 119:23 133:23 137:21 131:19 142:5,6 refreshes 30:7 59:14 110:11 represent 4:8 143:4 recalling 80:4 regard 53:11 110:13,16 17:16 18:11 reading 31:17 receive 5:24 regarding 21:25 relied 112:11 84:4 103:7 31:22 32:9 6:13 42:11 22:2,16 31:6 114:3 representation 77:6 100:10 72:4 73:10 60:14 75:1 33:13 42:7 rely 112:7 77:6 100:10 128:7 received 6:21 70:6,24 80:7 remained 12:22 representation 77:6 100:10
127:19,25 104:12 111:18 90:2,3,21 relevant 46:13 117:19,22,2 128:23 129:4,6 113:13,14,25 reflect 89:23 46:13,16 49:11 118:2 119:2 130:14 131:5 123:20 129:17 Refresh 118:18 52:5 58:18,19 119:23 133:23 137:21 131:19 142:5,6 refreshes 30:7 59:14 110:11 represent 4:8 143:4 recalling 80:4 regard 53:11 110:13,16 17:16 18:11 reading 31:17 receive 5:24 regarding 21:25 relied 112:11 84:4 103:7 31:22 32:9 6:13 42:11 22:2,16 31:6 114:3 representation 77:6 100:10 72:4 73:10 60:14 75:1 33:13 42:7 rely 112:7 77:6 100:10 128:7 received 6:21 70:6,24 80:7 remained 12:22 representation 77:6
128:23 129:4,6 113:13,14,25 reflect 89:23 46:13,16 49:11 118:2 119:2 130:14 131:5 123:20 129:17 Refresh 118:18 52:5 58:18,19 119:23 133:23 137:21 131:19 142:5,6 refreshes 30:7 59:14 110:11 represent 4:8 143:4 recalling 80:4 regard 53:11 110:13,16 17:16 18:11 reading 31:17 receive 5:24 regarding 21:25 relied 112:11 84:4 103:7 31:22 32:9 6:13 42:11 22:2,16 31:6 114:3 representation 72:4 73:10 60:14 75:1 33:13 42:7 rely 112:7 77:6 100:10 128:7 received 6:21 70:6,24 80:7 remained 12:22 representation
130:14 131:5 123:20 129:17 Refresh 118:18 52:5 58:18,19 119:23 133:23 137:21 131:19 142:5,6 refreshes 30:7 59:14 110:11 represent 4:8 143:4 recalling 80:4 regard 53:11 110:13,16 17:16 18:11 reading 31:17 receive 5:24 regarding 21:25 relied 112:11 84:4 103:7 31:22 32:9 6:13 42:11 22:2,16 31:6 114:3 representation 72:4 73:10 60:14 75:1 33:13 42:7 rely 112:7 77:6 100:10 128:7 received 6:21 70:6,24 80:7 remained 12:22 representation
133:23 137:21 131:19 142:5,6 refreshes 30:7 59:14 110:11 represent 4:8 143:4 recalling 80:4 regard 53:11 110:13,16 17:16 18:11 reading 31:17 receive 5:24 regarding 21:25 relied 112:11 84:4 103:7 31:22 32:9 6:13 42:11 22:2,16 31:6 114:3 represent 4:8 72:4 73:10 60:14 75:1 33:13 42:7 rely 112:7 77:6 100:10 128:7 received 6:21 70:6,24 80:7 remained 12:22 representation
143:4 recalling 80:4 regard 53:11 110:13,16 17:16 18:11 reading 31:17 receive 5:24 regarding 21:25 relied 112:11 84:4 103:7 31:22 32:9 6:13 42:11 22:2,16 31:6 114:3 representation 72:4 73:10 60:14 75:1 33:13 42:7 rely 112:7 77:6 100:10 128:7 received 6:21 70:6,24 80:7 remained 12:22 representation
reading 31:17 receive 5:24 regarding 21:25 relied 112:11 84:4 103:7 31:22 32:9 6:13 42:11 22:2,16 31:6 114:3 representation 77:6 100:10 72:4 73:10 60:14 75:1 33:13 42:7 rely 112:7 77:6 100:10 128:7 received 6:21 70:6,24 80:7 remained 12:22 representation 77:6 100:10
31:22 32:9 6:13 42:11 22:2,16 31:6 114:3 representation received 6:21 72:4 73:10 60:14 75:1 33:13 42:7 rely 112:7 77:6 100:10 128:7 received 6:21 70:6,24 80:7 remained 12:22 representation rep
72:4 73:10 60:14 75:1 33:13 42:7 rely 112:7 77:6 100:10 128:7 received 6:21 70:6,24 80:7 remained 12:22 representative
128:7 received 6:21 70:6,24 80:7 remained 12:22 representative
reality 85:6 61:22 83:11 110:2 remember 23:14 76:16,17 77
really 20:3 57:15 receiving 103:11 120:2 122:18 28:22,25 30:12 77:14,20,22
65:18 79:21 103:14 122:25 123:4 30:15,15 60:15 78:3,7,23,2
111:1 124:23 Recess 76:6 131:21 136:24 65:24 73:4,17 79:6,10 142
142:9
reason 17:7 recognize 72:2,5 regulations 81:19 85:21 represented
58:16 70:15 recognized 91:12 92:2 87:21,24 128:6 83:23 84:2
74:10,11 85:10 16:16,17 reiterated 119:8 133:3 90:25 102:2
100:3 105:5,10 recollection reiterating 81:5 remote 47:1,3 105:8
110:3,19 112:9 26:24 30:7 relate 52:14 removed 53:2 Representing
126:8 138:6 40:21 42:1 related 45:17 85:5 17:14
139:14 141:24 61:15 67:3 74:12 82:10 rep 78:5,16 reprint 71:25
reasonable 74:4 78:9 119:5 131:9 79:12 104:8
103:19 126:11 118:18 126:3 relation 30:6 repeat 4:23 19:6 Republican
135:18 128:17 129:3 Relations 18:9 72:3 10:25,25 12
reasons 4:13 142:25 143:2 24:18 repeating 111:3 17:6
98:24 recommending relationship rephrase 4:23 Republicans
recall 9:21 16:3 140:12 133:12 139:22 29:21 16:25
16:4,5 17:24 record 26:16 relative 92:11 replaced 50:11 reputation 1:
20:19,20 22:10 43:10,15,18,23 94:18 report 15:22 request 56:4
23:8,18 25:23
29:14 32:15
33:10,23 34:21 91:7 92:12 91:20,24 92:3 62:6 66:8 require 19:10
39:15 40:18,19 94:11 102:14 93:2,5,13 118:5 119:2,13 141:10

Ferguson & Holdnack Reporting, Inc. 814-452-4556

1	1	1	I	1
required 102:7	57:16 58:12,13	16:11	salaried 102:5	scope 49:23 52:4
106:22,25	87:18,20 88:12	right 11:15,18	sanctions 82:25	52:13 103:19
requirement	88:16 92:24	11:24 13:5	83:3 85:2	140:7
91:9	101:15 107:7	14:4,12 17:4	Santorum 16:11	Scores 18:15
requirements	107:20 110:25	17:16 18:11	sat 77:18	screen 128:9
92:2	138:24	19:20 22:10	satisfied 27:22	SD 122:2
requires 26:17	restricted 38:22	32:21 37:16	28:5	seal 93:25 94:1
26:20 86:12	result 27:24	39:22 49:3	saw 39:13 61:10	111:13,17,20
140:1,23 141:4	37:23 42:4	51:8 55:7 56:7	132:13	search 10:16
requiring 35:22	80:10 122:19	56:8,21 59:9	saying 48:15	38:22 52:5,13
rescheduled	138:1	61:9,23 65:17	56:23 72:18	56:14 58:20
65:15,20	retainer 103:19	66:14 68:16	82:13 90:1	59:4 79:7
resident 42:7	retribution	70:18 72:18	104:16 108:13	seasoned 112:8
133:19	50:21	73:25 74:10	141:12	seat 11:22
residing 9:8	retrospect 97:24	75:1,18 77:13	says 55:7 61:1	second 7:24 11:9
resign 58:17	return 75:5	77:19 79:5	72:8 115:10	11:10 51:10
97:18,25 99:7	109:17	87:12 89:8	123:13 125:10	54:2,5 115:9
99:13	Returned 8:10	90:6,7,12,14	125:14	115:10 118:23
resignation	98:5,8	90:17 91:14	scale 101:23	123:1,5
28:18 32:16	revelation 42:10	94:25 115:8,17	schedule 65:16	section 84:12
65:22 98:16	review 21:4,8	118:21 119:18	66:5	117:15 119:20
99:5	40:7 46:7	122:9 123:11	scheduled 63:8,9	120:1,1,24
resigned 62:25	49:24 50:15	123:22 126:10	65:20	sections 139:1
72:20	55:3 58:6	128:16,19	Schenker 1:7	secure 105:12
resolution 39:18	61:12 62:2,18	131:7,23	2:10 15:12	secured 92:4
resolutions 13:2	79:13 92:3	132:10 135:2	16:6 62:9,10	security 105:8
resolve 50:2	95:24 96:1,23	140:4	62:19 63:9,15	see 8:17 59:12
82:23	103:15 112:17	rights 43:1,4	66:2,7,12,25	86:11 120:11
resolved 27:12	113:6,10,12	44:2 136:8	67:5,13,15	124:23 125:9
resource 13:21	115:20 117:18	140:13	68:2,3,20	130:21 132:15
respect 89:3	reviewed 41:21	risk 106:12,13	69:17 70:4,12	132:18 142:4
138:14,16	41:25 45:1	Roger 102:25	71:12,16 72:9	seeing 73:4
140:13	49:9 52:19,21	103:5	72:15,17,19,20	128:6
respected 112:8	58:7 61:20	role 15:15 140:5	72:21 73:24	seek 7:8 20:24
responded 35:17	62:20 66:8	Ron 9:19	74:1,4,5 76:3	29:16
responding 45:7	79:9 112:15,18	room 64:20	136:19 137:5	seen 17:5 62:12
response 45:5	112:19,19,21	RPR 1:24	Schenker's	139:19,20
responsibilities	113:3,9,11	rule 46:3 86:10	15:13 63:1	140:9
10:11	115:11 130:13	86:11	65:16	selected 6:17
responsibility	reviewing 128:7	rules 44:5,6,8,9	Schetter 125:14	self-employed
118:13	reviews 97:11	44:11 86:9,10	school 5:14,15	9:5,12 10:23
responsible	reword 109:1	run 66:22	6:7 7:8,9,25	semester 14:8
63:24 64:6	Rich 62:9	running 29:8	10:9,13 28:24	senate 11:10,11
106:20,24	Richard 1:7	3	29:23,23	11:14,16,22
responsive 20:3	2:10	<u>S</u>	133:21	Senator 11:4
23:24 45:4,6	Rick 15:11	S 2:11	science 6:4	12:2,25
45.47 75.7,0	I I ON AN I J. I I	}		1,

112:13 115:6					
12:19 share 89:18 share 89:18 share 12:8 share 61:18 shortly 40:6,6 141:12,20 sending 32:17 12:21 91:10,17,17 12:21 138:14 solicitor 1:15 showed 23:3 sentence 123:1 showed 23:3 sentence 123:1 ssparate 14:16 93:18,20 142:10 showing 71:24 21:14 22:11 showing 71:24 21:14 22:11 specifically sitandards 55: 93:18,20 142:10 showing 71:24 21:14 22:11 specifically start 4:20 37:14 111:5 shows 37:4 sic 44:10 95:16 55:12 63:19,21 63:19,21 63:	Senatorial 10:25	sexually 106·15	snoop 95:21 23	91.8 96.5 7	stack 124·18
sending 84:10 share 89:18 social 134:22,24 speaking 22:17 16:21,21,22 Sennet 16:13 shortly 40:6,6 134:21 135:1 60:20 73:5,17 21:21 91:10 128:8 62:24 76:18 show 31:23 136:24 soel 125:6 142:10 142:3 92:10,12,14 126:21 130:7 142:11,12,13 show 31:23 136:24 speaks 31:19 standard 55:3 separate 14:16 122:16 130:12 15:12 17:8,8 52:5 85:15 standard 55:3 speaks 31:19 shad 30:21 3 standard 55:3 standard 55:3 standard 55:3 separate 14:16 122:16 130:12 17:11 18:19 86:16 91:18 standard 55:3		•	•	1	l '
Sennett sense 16:13 shortly 40:6,6 134:24 135:1 60:20 73:5,17 21:21 91:10 128:8 62:24 76:18 solely 56:1 82:22 104:12 91:17,17 92 126:21 130:7 138:14 solely 56:1 42:20 37:14 111:5 142:11,12,13 showed 23:3 2:19 15:7,10 speedife 39:3 standard 55: sentence 123:1 45:3 66:11 15:12 17:8,8 52:5 85:15 116:1,2 september 122:16 130:12 17:11 18:19 86:16 91:18 standard 55: 29:11 32:19 40:2 63:6,12 142:10 19:9 20:12 92:2 137:23 55:25 85:15 63:19,21 65:12 shows 37:4 47:13 50:9 49:2 174:24 84:20 7:2 63:19,20 65:12 sick 43:25 96:25 10:12 speciffically start 4:20 7:2 68:19 70:6 sign 99:5,19 128:22 135:11 13:214 84:6 speciffics 22:19 123:1 71:7 72:1 13:20 signatures 29:4 signatures 29:4 solicitors 100:9 speciffica 22:19 12:3 13:1 81:22,97:19 122:21 83:0 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>· · ·</td></t<>				1	· · ·
sense 16:13 shortly 40:6,6 141:12,20 82:22 104:12 91:17,17 92 92:10,12,14 sent 125:6 62:24 76:18 solely 56:1 142:3 92:10,12,14 92:10,12,14 128:8 show 31:23 136:24 speaks 31:19 stand 30:21 3 32:10,12,14 stand 30:21 3 37:14 111:5 122:11,12,13 showed 23:3 2:19 15:7,10 specific 39:3 standards 55: 52:5 85:15 116:1,2 specific 39:3 standards 55: 516:12 52:19 15:7,10 specific 39:3 standards 55: 52:5 85:15 116:1,2 specific 39:3 standards 55: 55:25 58:10 116:1,2 specific 39:3 standards 55: 55:25 58:10 99:11 99:21	•	į .	· '		1 ' '
128:8 sent 125:6 6c:24 76:18 show 31:23 solely 56:1 142:3 speaks 31:19 92:10,12,14 stand 30:21,3 37:14 111:3 126:21 130:7 142:11,12,13 sentence 123:1 45:3 66:11 22:16 130:12 2:19 15:7,10 specific 39:3 standard 55:5 37:14 111:5 separate 14:16 93:18,20 122:16 130:12 19:9 20:12 17:11 18:19 92:12 17:23 specific 39:3 standard 55:5 116:1,2 standard 55:5 September 29:11 32:19 shows 37:4 40:2 63:6,12 63:19,21 65:12 63:19,21 65:12 63:19,21 65:12 63:19,21 65:25 67:2 8ign 99:5,19 17:77:1 128:22 135:11 15:11 132:21 73:20 74:19 signature 61:24 signatures 29:4 signed 60:19 81:22 97:19 112:13 115:6 120:21,21 93:2,5,7 99:1 12:13 115:6 120:21,21 12:13 115:6 120:21,21 12:13 115:6 120:21,21 12:13 115:6 120:21,21 12:13 115:6 120:21,21 12:13 115:6 120:21,21 12:13 115:6 120:21,21 12:13 115:6 120:21,21 12:13 115:6 120:21,21 12:13 115:6 120:21,21 12:13 115:6 120:21,21 12:13 115:6 120:21,21 12:13 115:6 120:21,21 12:13 115:6 120:21,21 12:13 115:6 120:21,21 12:13 115:6 120:21,21 12:13 115:11 12:111 12:111 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 12:11 13:11 12:11 13:11 12:11 13:11 12:11 13:11 12:11 13:11 12:11 13:11 12:11 13:11 12:11 13:11 12:11 13:11 12:11 13:11 12:11 13:11 12:11 13:11 12:11 13:11 12:11 13:11 12:11 13:11 12:11 13:11 12:11 13:11 13:11 12:11 13:11 12:11 13:11 1		\$		1	1
sent 125:6 show 31:23 136:24 speaks 31:19 stand 30:21 3 37:14 111:5 stand 30:21 3 37:14 111:5 speaks 31:19 stand 30:21 3 37:14 111:5 speaks 31:19 stand 30:21 3 37:14 111:5 specific 39:3 s					1
126:21 130:7 138:14 showed 23:3 45:3 66:11 42:20 37:14 111:5 standard 55:9 standard 55:9 116:12 standard 55:9 start 4:20 7:2 start 1:12:2 state 1:22 2:2 5:6 6:22 10:2 specifics 2:19 state 1:22 2:2 5:6 6:22 10:2 5:6 6:22 10:2 5:6 6:22 10:2 5:6 6:22 10:2 5:6 6:22 10:2 5:6 6:22 10:2 5:6 6:22 10:2 <				1	1 ' '
142:11,12,13 sentence 123:1 45:3 66:11 15:12 17:8,8 52:5 85:15 116:1,2 senarate 14:16 122:16 130:12 17:11 18:19 86:16 91:18 standards 55:9 116:1,2 standards 55:9 142:10 19:9 20:12 92:2 137:23 standards 55:9 55:25 58:10 16:1,2 standards 55:9 16:1,2 standards 5:				1 -	1
sentence 123:1 45:3 66:11 15:12 17:8,8 52:5 85:15 116:1,2 standards 55: 55:25 58:10 116:1,2 standards 55: 55:25 58:10 52:58 50:10 standards 55: 55:25 58:10 32:10 7:22 55:25 58:10 32:10 7:22 55:11 72:11 32:11 41:12 32:11 41:12 32:11 41:12 32:11 13:22 32:11 13:22 32:11 13:22 32:11 13:22 32:11 13:22 32:11 13:22 32:11 4]
separate 14:16 122:16 130:12 17:11 18:19 86:16 91:18 standards 55: 55:25 58:10 93:18,20 showing 71:24 19:9 20:12 92:2 137:23 55:25 58:10 September showing 71:24 21:14 22:11 specifically start 4:20 7:2 29:11 32:19 80:2 25:18 26:2 32:10 34:8 89:4 40:2 63:6,12 shows 37:4 47:13 50:9 49:4 78:24 started 133:3 starte 11:22 specifics 22:19 state 1:22 2:2 specifics 22:19 specifics 22:19 state 1:22 2:2 specifics 22:19 specifics 22:19 state 1:22 2:2 specifics 22:19 state 1:22 2:2 specifics 22:19 state 1:22 2:2 specifics 22	, ,		1 '	*	1
93:18,20 142:10 19:9 20:12 92:2 137:23 55:25 58:10 September showing 71:24 21:14 22:11 specifically start 4:20 7:2 29:11 32:19 89:2 32:10 34:8 89:4 40:2 63:6,12 shows 37:4 47:13 50:9 49:4 78:24 started 133:3 63:19,21 65:12 sick 43:25 96:25 101:21 specifics 22:19 starts 118:24 68:19 70:6 sign 99:5,19 102:4 103:2 specifics 22:19 starts 118:24 71:7 72:1 128:22 135:11 115:11 132:21 specifics 22:19 specifics 22:19 75:4 76:8 signatures 29:4 signatures 29:4 solicitors 100:9 98:23 141:1,3 start 1:22 2:2 81:22 97:19 signed 60:19 Solicitor's 19:11 Solicitor's 19:11 Speculate 98:19 10:25 11:4 120:21,21 93:2,5,7 99:1 35:7 somebody 16:22 speculating 36:24,25 51 134:15 simple 107:15 sory 11:23 speculative 36:23 80:12 23:10,13,20 94:13,15 52:17 57:15 speech 45:10 speech 45:10					i '
September showing 71:24 21:14 22:11 specifically start 4:20 7:2 29:11 32:19 89:2 25:18 26:2 32:10 34:8 89:4 40:2 63:6,12 shows 37:4 47:13 50:9 49:4 78:24 start 4:20 7:2 63:19,21 65:12 sick 43:25 96:25 101:21 specifics 22:19 start 4:18:24 68:19 70:6 sign 99:5,19 102:4 103:2 specifying 71:20 state 1:22 2:2 71:7 72:1 128:22 135:11 115:11 132:21 specifying 71:20 5:6 6:22 10: 75:4 76:8 signatures 29:4 solicitors 100:9 speculate 98:19 10:25 11:4 81:22 97:19 signed 60:19 Solicitor's 19:11 speculate 98:19 36:24,25 51 120:21,21 93:2,5,7 99:1 50licitor's 19:11 speculating 36:24,25 51 142:2,3 similar 54:22,25 similar 54:22,25 somebody 16:22 speculation 36:23 80:12 134:15 simple 107:15 sit 68:14 79:20 sory 11:23 speculative 36:23 80:12 22:21,24,25 sit 68:14 79:20 52:17 57:15 specifics 22:19			I		
29:11 32:19 89:2 25:18 26:2 32:10 34:8 89:4 40:2 63:6,12 shows 37:4 47:13 50:9 49:4 78:24 started 133:3 63:19,21 65:12 sic 44:10 95:16 55:11 74:14 84:6 49:4 78:24 started 133:3 68:19 70:6 sign 99:5,19 102:4 103:2 specifics 22:19 123:1 starts 118:24 71:7 72:1 128:22 135:11 115:11 132:21 specifying 71:20 5:6 6:22 10:21 <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	,				
40:2 63:6,12 shows 37:4 47:13 50:9 49:4 78:24 started 133:3 63:19,21 65:12 sick 43:25 96:25 101:21 84:6 specifics 22:19 68:19 70:6 sign 99:5,19 102:4 103:2 specify 138:21 123:1 71:7 72:1 128:22 135:11 115:11 132:21 specifying 71:20 5:6 6:22 10:2 75:4 76:8 signatures 29:4 signed 60:19 Solicitor's 19:11 speculate 98:19 98:23 141:1,3 12:18 13:10 81:22 97:19 signed 60:19 Solicitor's 19:11 speculating 98:23 141:1,3 12:18 13:10 81:22 81 30:6 135:7 51:14 60:11 140:1,23 141:4 56:4 73:2 80 8eries 90:24 similarly 131:10 89:3 120:13 140:1,23 141:4 stated 73:15 service 1:7,13 sir 68:14 79:20 sit 66:218 speculative 36:23 80:12 22:7 22:24,25 sit 36:16 62:18 52:17 57:15 speeches 44:1 speeches 44:1 99:23,25 sitting 90:7,12 sort 38:11 sought 19:10 101:3,18 102:2 122:2,136:15 134:24 133:17	_	_		,	
63:19,21 65:12 sic 44:10 95:16 55:11 74:14 84:6 specifics 22:19 starts 118:24 65:25 67:2 sick 43:25 96:25 101:21 specify 138:21 123:1 state 1:22 2:2 123:1 71:7 72:1 128:22 135:11 115:11 132:21 specifying 71:20 5:6 6:22 10:2 5:6 6:22 10:2 5:6 6:22 10:2 10:25 11:4 specilying 71:20 5:6 6:22 10:2 5:6 6:22 10:2 10:25 11:4 specilying 71:20 specilying 71:20 5:6 6:22 10:2 5:6 6:22 10:2 specilying 71:20				1	
65:25 67:2 sick 43:25 sign 99:5,19 96:25 101:21 specifics 22:19 123:1 state 1:22 2:2 5:6 6:22 10:21 123:1 state 1:22 2:2 5:6 6:22 10:2:2 123:1 state 1:22 2:2 5:6 6:22 10:2:2 12:2:3 13:2:2 13:2:2 13:2:2 13:2:2 13:2:2 13:2:2 13:2:2 13:2:2 13:2:2 13:2:2 13:2:1 12:2:3 13:2:1 12:2:3 13:2:1 12:2:3 13:2:1 12:2:3 13:2:1 12:2:3 13:2:1 12:2:3 14:1:1 14:1:0 14:1:0 14:1:0 14:1:0 14:1:0 14:2:1 14:1:0 14:2:1 14:1:0 14:2:1 14:1:0 14:2:1 14:1:0 14:2:1 14:1:1 14:1:0 14:2:1 14:1:1 14:1:1 14:2:1 14:2:1 14:	•				1
68:19 70:6 sign 99:5,19 102:4 103:2 specify 138:21 state 1:22 2:2 71:7 72:1 128:22 135:11 133:24 specifying 71:20 5:6 6:22 10: 75:4 76:8 signatures 29:4 signed 60:19 solicitors 100:9 98:23 141:1,3 12:18 13:10 81:22 97:19 82:1 91:21 Solymos 7:15 speculate 98:19 36:24,25 51 120:21,21 93:2,5,7 99:1 somebody 16:22 speculating 36:24,25 51 142:2,3 similar 54:22,25 somebody 16:22 speculation 96:13,13 serious 134:12 simple 107:15 38:3 120:13 130:2 141:10 stated 73:15 serious 134:12 similarly 131:10 simple 107:15 somewhat 47:1 speculation 96:13,13 2:7 22:24,25 sit 36:16 62:18 52:17 57:15 speculative 36:23 80:12 23:10,13,20 94:13,15 72:3,12 79:20 specifying 71:20 state 1:22 2:2 133:7 sits 65:14 79:20 sit 6:218 30:2 130:2 104:22 105:1 23:10,13,20 90:14 soit 6:21 <t< td=""><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>l .</td></t<>	•			1	l .
71:7 72:1 128:22 135:11 115:11 132:21 specifying 71:20 5:6 6:22 10:10:25 11:4 73:20 74:19 signature 61:24 signatures 29:4 solicitors 100:9 98:23 141:1,3 12:18 13:10 81:22 97:19 signed 60:19 Solicitor's 19:11 speculating 36:24,25 51 112:13 115:6 82:1 91:21 somebody 16:22 speculating 36:24,25 51 120:21,21 93:2,5,7 99:1 somebody 16:22 speculation 96:13,13 122:8 130:6 135:7 51:14 60:11 140:1,23 141:4 stated 73:15 142:2,3 similarly 131:10 89:3 120:13 speculation 96:13,13 serious 134:12 simple 107:15 138:15 139:3,5 130:2 stated 73:15 134:15 simple 107:15 sit 36:16 62:18 52:17 57:15 specch 45:10 109:11 115: 22:7 22:24,25 sit 36:16 62:18 52:17 57:15 speeding 59:10 52:21 36:15 23:10,13,20 94:13,15 sort 38:11 speeding 59:10 52:21 36:15 99:23,25 sitting 90:7,12 sort 38:11 sounce 114:20		1		1 ~	i
73:20 74:19 signature 61:24 133:24 speculate 98:19 10:25 11:4 75:4 76:8 signatures 29:4 solicitors 100:9 98:23 141:1,3 36:24,25 51 81:22 97:19 signed 60:19 Solicitor's 19:11 speculating 36:24,25 51 120:21,21 93:2,5,7 99:1 somebody 16:22 speculation 96:13,13 122:8 130:6 135:7 51:14 60:11 140:1,23 141:4 stated 73:15 142:2,3 similar 54:22,25 68:12 86:3 141:10 statement 24: serious 134:12 simple 107:15 38:15 139:3,5 130:2 36:23 80:12 134:15 simple 107:15 six 68:14 79:20 sit 36:16 62:18 52:17 57:15 speculative 36:23 80:12 2:7 22:24,25 sit 36:16 62:18 52:17 57:15 speeches 44:1 statement 24: 23:10,13,20 94:13,15 72:3,12 79:20 spelled 98:6 142:16,16 24:5 55:17 111:19 south 19:10 101:3,18 102:2 128:25 100:12,22,23 90:14 south 19:10 101:3,18 102:2 stating 109:24		,		, * v	
75:4 76:8 signatures 29:4 solicitors 100:9 98:23 141:1,3 12:18 13:10 81:22 97:19 112:13 115:6 82:1 91:21 Solicitor's 19:11 36:24,25 51 120:21,21 93:2,5,7 99:1 Solymos 7:15 speculating 96:13,13 122:8 130:6 135:7 51:14 60:11 140:1,23 141:4 stated 73:15 142:2,3 similar 54:22,25 68:12 86:3 speculation 96:13,13 serios 90:24 similarly 131:10 89:3 120:13 speculative 36:23 80:12 serious 134:12 simple 107:15 138:15 139:3,5 130:2 104:22 105: 134:15 simply 43:4 somewhat 47:1 speech 45:10 81:5,11 111:3 137:5,7 2:7 22:24,25 sit 36:16 62:18 52:17 57:15 speeches 44:1 speeches 44:1 speeches 44:1 speeches 44:1 speeches 44:1 speeches 44:1 speeches 90:20 speeches 90:20 speeches 90:10 speeches 90:10 speeches 90:10 speeches 90:10 speeches 90:10 speeches 90:10 speeches 90:11 speeches 90:11 speeches 90:10 speeches 90:10 speeches					
81:22 97:19 signed 60:19 Solicitor's 19:11 speculating 36:24,25 51 112:13 115:6 82:1 91:21 93:2,5,7 99:1 50lmos 7:15 94:24 65:4 73:2 80 122:8 130:6 135:7 51:14 60:11 140:1,23 141:4 stated 73:15 142:2,3 similar 54:22,25 similarly 131:10 89:3 120:13 141:10 stated 73:15 serious 134:12 simple 107:15 138:15 139:3,5 130:2 104:22 105: 134:15 simply 43:4 somewhat 47:1 speculative 36:23 80:12 2:7 22:24,25 sit 36:16 62:18 52:17 57:15 speech 45:10 109:11 115: 2:7 22:24,25 sitting 90:7,12 52:17 57:15 speeches 44:1 speeches 44:1 23:10,13,20 94:13,15 72:3,12 79:20 speeding 59:10 22:21 36:15 99:23,25 111:19 sitting 90:7,12 sort 38:11 speed 100:17,21 states 1:1 95:1 103:8,13 136:2 situation 135:15 100:10 114:22 102:3,7,17 stating 109:22 85:18 91:12 six 100:15 sources 114:14		1 0		_	
112:13 115:6 82:1 91:21 93:2,5,7 99:1 94:24 65:4 73:2 80 120:21,21 93:2,5,7 99:1 50lymos 7:15 94:24 96:13,13 122:8 130:6 135:7 51:14 60:11 140:1,23 141:4 stated 73:15 142:2,3 similar 54:22,25 68:12 86:3 141:10 statement 24: serios 90:24 similarly 131:10 89:3 120:13 speculative 36:23 80:12 134:15 simple 107:15 138:15 139:3,5 130:2 104:22 105: service 1:7,13 sir 68:14 79:20 sorry 11:23 81:5,11 111:3 137:5,7 2:7 22:24,25 sit 36:16 62:18 52:17 57:15 speeches 44:1 137:5,7 23:10,13,20 94:13,15 72:3,12 79:20 speelled 98:6 22:21 36:15 99:23,25 sitting 90:7,12 sort 38:11 speelled 98:6 142:16,16 99:23,25 situation 135:15 100:10 114:22 102:3,7,17 stating 109:24 103:8,13 136:2 sounds 8:19 109:12 stating 109:24 85:18 91:12 six 100:15 sources 11		-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
120:21,21 93:2,5,7 99:1 somebody 16:22 speculation 96:13,13 122:8 130:6 135:7 51:14 60:11 140:1,23 141:4 stated 73:15 142:2,3 similar 54:22,25 68:12 86:3 141:10 statement 24: series 90:24 similarly 131:10 89:3 120:13 speculative 36:23 80:12 134:15 simple 107:15 138:15 139:3,5 130:2 104:22 105: service 1:7,13 sir 68:14 79:20 somewhat 47:1 speech 45:10 109:11 11: 2:7 22:24,25 sit 36:16 62:18 52:17 57:15 speeches 44:1 statements 4: 23:10,13,20 94:13,15 72:3,12 79:20 spelled 98:6 122:13 36:15 24:5 55:17 111:19 95:18 121:12 spelled 98:6 142:16,16 99:23,25 sitting 90:7,12 90:14 sought 19:10 101:3,18 102:2 128:25 103:8,13 136:2 sounds 8:19 109:12 stating 109:24 85:18 91:12 six 100:15 sources 114:14 spoke 34:19 84:17,11,11 92:1 94:9 sixth		1 0		. ~ ~	36:24,25 51:22
122:8 130:6 135:7 51:14 60:11 140:1,23 141:4 stated 73:15 142:2,3 similar 54:22,25 68:12 86:3 141:10 36:23 80:12 series 90:24 similarly 131:10 89:3 120:13 speculative 36:23 80:12 serious 134:12 simple 107:15 138:15 139:3,5 130:2 104:22 105: 134:15 simply 43:4 somewhat 47:1 speech 45:10 109:11 115: service 1:7,13 sit 68:14 79:20 sorry 11:23 81:5,11 111:3 137:5,7 2:7 22:24,25 sit 36:16 62:18 52:17 57:15 speeches 44:1 statements 4: 23:10,13,20 94:13,15 72:3,12 79:20 speeding 59:10 22:21 36:15 24:5 55:17 111:19 95:18 121:12 speeding 59:10 22:21 36:15 99:23,25 sitting 90:7,12 sort 38:11 spend 100:17,21 states 1:1 95:1 103:8,13 136:2 sounds 8:19 109:12 stating 109:24 85:18 91:12 six 100:15 sources 114:14 spoke 34:19 84:17,11,11 92:1 94:9 sixth 72:12	112:13 115:6	82:1 91:21			65:4 73:2 80:9
142:2,3 similar 54:22,25 68:12 86:3 141:10 statement 24:36:23 80:12 series 90:24 similarly 131:10 89:3 120:13 130:2 36:23 80:12 134:15 simple 107:15 138:15 139:3,5 130:2 104:22 105: service 1:7,13 sir 68:14 79:20 sorry 11:23 81:5,11 111:3 137:5,7 2:7 22:24,25 sit 36:16 62:18 52:17 57:15 speeches 44:1 speeches 44:1 statements 4: 23:10,13,20 94:13,15 72:3,12 79:20 speeding 59:10 22:21 36:15 99:23,25 sitting 90:7,12 sort 38:11 speed 100:17,21 statements 4: 99:23,25 situation 135:15 100:10 114:22 101:3,18 102:2 128:25 100:12,22,23 136:2 Sounds 8:19 109:12 stating 109:24 134:24 situations source 114:20 109:12 statitical 15: Services 84:8,24 133:17 114:22 speet 46:1 statute 83:15 92:1 94:9 sixth 72:12 114:15 35:17 40:7 120:2 17:16 skills	120:21,21	93:2,5,7 99:1		speculation	96:13,13
series 90:24 similarly 131:10 89:3 120:13 speculative 36:23 80:12 serious 134:12 simple 107:15 138:15 139:3,5 130:2 104:22 105: service 1:7,13 sir 68:14 79:20 somewhat 47:1 speech 45:10 109:11 115: 2:7 22:24,25 sit 36:16 62:18 52:17 57:15 speeches 44:1 speeches 44:1 statements 4: 23:10,13,20 94:13,15 72:3,12 79:20 speeding 59:10 statements 4: 22:21 36:15 24:5 55:17 111:19 95:18 121:12 speedled 98:6 speedled 98:6 speedled 98:6 states 1:1 95:1 100:12,22,23 situation 135:15 100:10 114:22 101:3,18 102:2 128:25 101:1,4,19,22 situations source 114:20 109:12 stating 109:24 134:24 six 100:15 sources 114:14 spoke 34:19 84:13,7,11,11 85:18 91:12 sixth 72:12 114:15 35:17 40:7 120:2 109:5 111:25 104:16 so-and-so 84:12 58:2 75:6 statutes 83:18 117:16 skills 131:21 speak 28:10,13<	122:8 130:6	135:7	51:14 60:11	140:1,23 141:4	stated 73:15
serious 134:12 simple 107:15 138:15 139:3,5 130:2 104:22 105:11 115: service 1:7,13 sir 68:14 79:20 sorry 11:23 81:5,11 111:3 137:5,7 2:7 22:24,25 sit 36:16 62:18 52:17 57:15 speeches 44:1 statements 4: 23:10,13,20 94:13,15 72:3,12 79:20 speeding 59:10 statements 4: 24:5 55:17 111:19 95:18 121:12 speeding 59:10 statements 4: 99:23,25 sitting 90:7,12 sort 38:11 spend 100:17,21 states 1:195:1 100:12,22,23 90:14 sought 19:10 101:3,18 102:2 stating 109:2 stati	142:2,3	similar 54:22,25	68:12 86:3	141:10	statement 24:5
134:15 simply 43:4 somewhat 47:1 speech 45:10 109:11 115: service 1:7,13 sir 68:14 79:20 sorry 11:23 speech 45:10 109:11 115: 2:7 22:24,25 sit 36:16 62:18 52:17 57:15 speeches 44:1 statements 4: 23:10,13,20 94:13,15 72:3,12 79:20 speeding 59:10 22:21 36:15 24:5 55:17 111:19 95:18 121:12 speeding 59:10 22:21 36:15 99:23,25 sitting 90:7,12 sort 38:11 speeding 59:10 states 1:1 95:1 100:12,22,23 90:14 sought 19:10 101:3,18 102:2 128:25 103:8,13 136:2 sounds 8:19 source 114:20 109:12 stating 109:24 Services 84:8,24 133:17 114:22 spent 46:1 statute 83:15 85:18 91:12 six 100:15 sources 114:14 spoke 34:19 84:12 119:2 92:1 94:9 sixth 72:12 114:15 35:17 40:7 120:2 109:5 111:25 104:16 scalled 84:22 58:2 75:6 statutes 83:18 117:16 skills 131:2	series 90:24	similarly 131:10	89:3 120:13	speculative	36:23 80:12
service 1:7,13 sir 68:14 79:20 sorry 11:23 81:5,11 111:3 137:5,7 2:7 22:24,25 sit 36:16 62:18 52:17 57:15 speeches 44:1 statements 4: 23:10,13,20 94:13,15 72:3,12 79:20 speeding 59:10 22:21 36:15 24:5 55:17 111:19 95:18 121:12 speeding 59:10 22:21 36:15 100:12,22,23 90:14 sort 38:11 speeding 59:10 states 1:1 95:1 101:1,4,19,22 situation 135:15 100:10 114:22 101:3,18 102:2 128:25 103:8,13 136:2 sounds 8:19 109:12 stating 109:24 Services 84:8,24 133:17 114:22 spent 46:1 statute 83:15 85:18 91:12 six 100:15 sources 114:14 spoke 34:19 84:12 119:2 92:1 94:9 sixth 72:12 114:15 35:17 40:7 120:2 109:5 111:25 104:16 scand-so 84:12 58:2 75:6 statutes 83:18 117:16 skills 131:21 scand-so 84:12 127:17 113:12 117: 55:8 86:10 skim 120:1 speak 28:	serious 134:12	simple 107:15	138:15 139:3,5	130:2	104:22 105:6
2:7 22:24,25 sit 36:16 62:18 52:17 57:15 speeches 44:1 statements 4: 23:10,13,20 94:13,15 72:3,12 79:20 speeding 59:10 22:21 36:15 24:5 55:17 111:19 95:18 121:12 speeding 59:10 22:21 36:15 100:12,22,23 sitting 90:7,12 sort 38:11 speeding 59:10 states 1:1 95:1 101:1,4,19,22 situation 135:15 100:10 114:22 102:3,7,17 stating 109:24 103:8,13 136:2 sounds 8:19 spent 46:1 statute 83:15 Services 84:8,24 133:17 114:22 spent 46:1 statute 83:15 85:18 91:12 six 100:15 sources 114:14 spoke 34:19 84:12 119:2 92:1 94:9 sixth 72:12 114:15 35:17 40:7 120:2 109:5 111:25 104:16 so-and-so 84:12 58:2 75:6 statutes 83:18 117:16 skills 131:21 84:13 127:17 113:12 117: 55:8 86:10 skim 120:1 speak 28:10,13 spoken 82:21 statutory 84:5	134:15	simply 43:4	somewhat 47:1	speech 45:10	109:11 115:14
23:10,13,20 94:13,15 72:3,12 79:20 speeding 59:10 22:21 36:15 24:5 55:17 111:19 95:18 121:12 spelled 98:6 142:16,16 99:23,25 sitting 90:7,12 sort 38:11 spend 100:17,21 states 1:1 95:1 100:12,22,23 90:14 sought 19:10 101:3,18 102:2 128:25 103:8,13 136:2 Sounds 8:19 stating 109:24 134:24 situations source 114:20 spent 46:1 statute 83:15 Services 84:8,24 133:17 114:22 104:17 109:12 84:1,7,11,11 85:18 91:12 six 100:15 sources 114:14 spoke 34:19 84:12 119:2 92:1 94:9 sixth 72:12 114:15 35:17 40:7 120:2 109:5 111:25 104:16 so-and-so 84:12 58:2 75:6 statutes 83:18 117:16 skills 131:21 84:13 110:18 111:10 84:8 113:7,1 set 17:9 33:5 141:14,21 so-called 84:22 127:17 113:12 117: 55:8 86:10 skim 120:1 speak 28:10,13 spoken 82:21 statutory 84:5	service 1:7,13	sir 68:14 79:20	sorry 11:23	81:5,11 111:3	137:5,7
24:5 55:17 111:19 95:18 121:12 spelled 98:6 142:16,16 99:23,25 sitting 90:7,12 sort 38:11 spend 100:17,21 states 1:1 95:1 100:12,22,23 90:14 sought 19:10 101:3,18 102:2 128:25 103:8,13 136:2 Sounds 8:19 109:12 stating 109:24 134:24 situations source 114:20 spent 46:1 statute 83:15, Services 84:8,24 133:17 114:22 104:17 109:12 84:1,7,11,11 85:18 91:12 six 100:15 sources 114:14 spoke 34:19 84:12 119:2 92:1 94:9 104:16 so-and-so 84:12 58:2 75:6 statutes 83:18 117:16 skills 131:21 84:13 110:18 111:10 84:8 113:7,1 set 17:9 33:5 141:14,21 so-called 84:22 127:17 113:12 117: 55:8 86:10 skim 120:1 speak 28:10,13 spoken 82:21 statutory 84:5	2:7 22:24,25	sit 36:16 62:18	52:17 57:15	speeches 44:1	statements 4:15
24:5 55:17 111:19 95:18 121:12 spelled 98:6 142:16,16 99:23,25 sitting 90:7,12 sort 38:11 spend 100:17,21 states 1:1 95:1 100:12,22,23 90:14 sought 19:10 101:3,18 102:2 128:25 103:8,13 136:2 Sounds 8:19 109:12 stating 109:24 134:24 situations source 114:20 spent 46:1 statute 83:15, Services 84:8,24 133:17 114:22 104:17 109:12 84:1,7,11,11 85:18 91:12 six 100:15 sources 114:14 spoke 34:19 84:12 119:2 92:1 94:9 sixth 72:12 114:15 35:17 40:7 120:2 109:5 111:25 104:16 so-and-so 84:12 58:2 75:6 statutes 83:18 117:16 skills 131:21 84:13 110:18 111:10 84:8 113:7,1 set 17:9 33:5 141:14,21 so-called 84:22 127:17 113:12 117: 55:8 86:10 skim 120:1 speak 28:10,13 spoken 82:21 statutory 84:5	23:10,13,20	94:13,15	72:3,12 79:20	speeding 59:10	22:21 36:15
100:12,22,23 90:14 sought 19:10 101:3,18 102:2 128:25 101:1,4,19,22 136:2 100:10 114:22 102:3,7,17 stating 109:24 103:8,13 136:2 sounds 8:19 109:12 statistical 15: 134:24 situations 114:22 spent 46:1 statute 83:15, 85:18 91:12 six 100:15 sources 114:14 spoke 34:19 84:12, 119:2 92:1 94:9 sixth 72:12 114:15 35:17 40:7 120:2 109:5 111:25 104:16 scand-so 84:12 58:2 75:6 statutes 83:18 117:16 skills 131:21 84:13 110:18 111:10 84:8 113:7,1 set 17:9 33:5 141:14,21 sc-called 84:22 127:17 113:12 117: 55:8 86:10 skim 120:1 speak 28:10,13 spoken 82:21 statutory 84:5		1	95:18 121:12	spelled 98:6	142:16,16
100:12,22,23 90:14 sought 19:10 101:3,18 102:2 128:25 101:1,4,19,22 136:2 100:10 114:22 102:3,7,17 stating 109:24 103:8,13 136:2 sounds 8:19 109:12 statistical 15: 134:24 situations 114:22 spent 46:1 statute 83:15, 85:18 91:12 six 100:15 sources 114:14 spoke 34:19 84:12,7,11,11 92:1 94:9 sixth 72:12 114:15 35:17 40:7 120:2 109:5 111:25 104:16 sc-and-so 84:12 58:2 75:6 statutes 83:18 117:16 skills 131:21 84:13 110:18 111:10 84:8 113:7,1 set 17:9 33:5 141:14,21 sc-called 84:22 127:17 113:12 117: 55:8 86:10 skim 120:1 speak 28:10,13 spoken 82:21 statutory 84:5	99:23,25	sitting 90:7,12	sort 38:11	spend 100:17,21	states 1:1 95:14
101:1,4,19,22 situation 135:15 100:10 114:22 102:3,7,17 stating 109:24 103:8,13 136:2 sounds 8:19 109:12 statistical 15: 134:24 situations source 114:20 spent 46:1 statute 83:15, 85:18 91:12 six 100:15 sources 114:14 spoke 34:19 84:12 119:2 92:1 94:9 sixth 72:12 114:15 35:17 40:7 120:2 109:5 111:25 104:16 so-and-so 84:12 58:2 75:6 statutes 83:18 117:16 skills 131:21 84:13 110:18 111:10 84:8 113:7,1 set 17:9 33:5 141:14,21 so-called 84:22 127:17 113:12 117: 55:8 86:10 skim 120:1 speak 28:10,13 spoken 82:21 statutory 84:5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		sought 19:10		1
103:8,13 136:2 Sounds 8:19 109:12 statistical 15: 134:24 situations 133:17 114:20 spent 46:1 statute 83:15, Services 84:8,24 133:17 114:22 104:17 109:12 84:1,7,11,11 85:18 91:12 six 100:15 sources 114:14 spoke 34:19 84:12 119:2 92:1 94:9 sixth 72:12 114:15 35:17 40:7 120:2 109:5 111:25 104:16 so-and-so 84:12 58:2 75:6 statutes 83:18 117:16 skills 131:21 84:13 110:18 111:10 84:8 113:7,1 set 17:9 33:5 141:14,21 so-called 84:22 127:17 113:12 117: 55:8 86:10 skim 120:1 speak 28:10,13 spoken 82:21 statutory 84:5			_	· ·	
134:24 situations source 114:20 spent 46:1 statute 83:15, Services 84:8,24 133:17 114:22 104:17 109:12 84:1,7,11,11 85:18 91:12 six 100:15 sources 114:14 spoke 34:19 84:12 119:2 92:1 94:9 sixth 72:12 114:15 35:17 40:7 120:2 109:5 111:25 104:16 so-and-so 84:12 58:2 75:6 statutes 83:18 117:16 skills 131:21 84:13 110:18 111:10 84:8 113:7,1 set 17:9 33:5 141:14,21 so-called 84:22 127:17 113:12 117: 55:8 86:10 skim 120:1 speak 28:10,13 spoken 82:21 statutory 84:5		l l	Sounds 8:19	1 ' '	statistical 15:16
Services 84:8,24 133:17 114:22 104:17 109:12 84:1,7,11,11 85:18 91:12 six 100:15 sources 114:14 spoke 34:19 84:12 119:2 92:1 94:9 sixth 72:12 114:15 35:17 40:7 120:2 109:5 111:25 104:16 so-and-so 84:12 58:2 75:6 statutes 83:18 117:16 skills 131:21 84:13 110:18 111:10 84:8 113:7,1 set 17:9 33:5 141:14,21 so-called 84:22 127:17 113:12 117: 55:8 86:10 skim 120:1 speak 28:10,13 spoken 82:21 statutory 84:5		1			statute 83:15,16
85:18 91:12 six 100:15 sources 114:14 spoke 34:19 84:12 119:2 92:1 94:9 109:5 111:25 104:16 35:17 40:7 120:2 117:16 skills 131:21 84:13 110:18 111:10 84:8 113:7,1 set 17:9 33:5 141:14,21 so-called 84:22 127:17 113:12 117: 55:8 86:10 skim 120:1 speak 28:10,13 spoken 82:21 statutory 84:5		1	i e	1 ^	!
92:1 94:9 sixth 72:12 114:15 35:17 40:7 120:2 109:5 111:25 104:16 so-and-so 84:12 58:2 75:6 statutes 83:18 117:16 skills 131:21 84:13 110:18 111:10 84:8 113:7,1 set 17:9 33:5 141:14,21 so-called 84:22 127:17 113:12 117: 55:8 86:10 skim 120:1 speak 28:10,13 spoken 82:21 statutory 84:5	,		1	1	84:12 119:20
109:5 111:25 104:16 so-and-so 84:12 58:2 75:6 statutes 83:18 117:16 skills 131:21 84:13 110:18 111:10 84:8 113:7,1 set 17:9 33:5 141:14,21 so-called 84:22 127:17 113:12 117: 55:8 86:10 skim 120:1 speak 28:10,13 spoken 82:21 statutory 84:5			į	1 ^	
117:16 skills 131:21 84:13 110:18 111:10 84:8 113:7,1 set 17:9 33:5 141:14,21 so-called 84:22 127:17 113:12 117: 55:8 86:10 skim 120:1 speak 28:10,13 spoken 82:21 statutory 84:5		l .	1		1
set 17:9 33:5 141:14,21 so-called 84:22 127:17 113:12 117: 55:8 86:10 skim 120:1 speak 28:10,13 spoken 82:21 statutory 84:5		i	i	1	i
55:8 86:10 skim 120:1 speak 28:10,13 spoken 82:21 statutory 84:5		ļ	i e	1	!
		•	1	1	ŧ
	95:13 112:13	slips 102:4	37:11 46:19	spouting 85:12	stayed 14:2
55.15 112.15 Sups 102.4 57.11 40.15 Spouring 05.12 Stayeu 14.2	93.13 114.13	311p3 102.4	37.11.70.19	Spouring 05.12	Jesty vu 17.2

-4 50 00	120.17	20 10 22 17	104.10.100.5	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
step 59:20	139:17	sure 20:10 22:17	124:12 139:5	terminate 4:14
steps 99:6	substituted	35:20 38:4	talked 56:4	53:17 64:9
steward 75:2	135:4	41:20 53:1,6	70:24 97:10	65:9 67:10
stop 4:22 42:21	successfully	66:4 74:25	125:23	68:9 72:10,16
43:17 87:5	88:4	86:14 89:14,15	talking 41:24	72:22 114:2,17
90:8 123:5,6	Sue 122:22	94:1 101:16	42:17 44:14	140:13
138:14,17	125:6,13	102:1 111:6	59:17 76:7	terminated
stopped 129:22	130:25 131:10	112:4,19	78:24 80:3	58:17 63:14
132:12	suggest 43:2	140:19 143:1	83:9 107:24,25	69:24 80:10
stopping 136:15	51:18 53:25	surprised 34:17	117:13 123:19	97:24 99:14,20
stories 133:23	60:8 83:2	71:15	124:4 139:9,15	106:3,8
Street 1:22 2:2,5	130:23 132:3	Susan 21:23	142:5	terminating
2:8 5:8 13:10	suggested 37:19	Susmarski 9:19	talks 117:22,24	67:11,14 68:17
Strickler 7:14	37:25 39:20,24	suspected 53:21	122:24	97:22
strict 91:15	41:19 49:9	suspicious	taught 13:20,21	termination
strictly 52:23,25	51:11,17 53:23	126:16,18	teach 13:17 14:7	4:10 48:3,7,19
112:7	56:5,10 58:6	switch 61:3	34:25 35:3	64:2 66:13
strike 88:16	67:14 96:5	sworn 4:2	teaches 56:24	68:10 70:15
133:18 134:23	suggestion 38:2	system 38:18	technical 55:22	79:17 80:6,12
135:9 138:24	97:14	59:24 60:1	tell 4:23 18:22	98:19,24 100:7
stuck 142:9	Suite 2:16		18:23 22:21	102:23 110:18
Students 6:18	summaries	T	30:19 34:2,18	136:23
stuff 124:9	131:16	T 4:1	36:3,16 41:20	terms 27:1,4,14
125:15 129:14	summarize	Taft 102:25	43:21 52:24	74:14,20 80:20
subcontracting	17:10	103:5,7	59:20 60:2	86:16 98:17
9:15	summary 33:18	tainted 100:9	64:5 69:23	111:25 122:12
subject 21:3	82:1 116:7,17	take 6:23 7:9	71:11,14 72:14	terrible 34:15
37:22 69:19	125:11	14:21 19:18,18	73:14 74:11	testified 4:2
124:13 129:2	summer 7:1	37:1 75:7	79:12 80:18	80:17 96:14
134:19	30:12,16,16	96:18 98:22	81:24 84:9	127:17 131:25
subjected 35:8	Sunday 129:6	101:24,24	86:6 88:21	testify 35:23
submitted	supervise 26:8	102:11 118:16	90:9,11,15	122:1 132:9,16
116:18	supervisor 15:8	118:23 119:18	93:9 94:2 96:9	testifying 64:14
submitting 38:6	15:9 28:13	119:25 120:11	99:13 120:1	132:20
119:2	48:4 91:19	121:3,7 134:11	126:5 134:9	testimony 3:3
subpoena	92:15 119:4,7	taken 1:18 27:24	telling 71:13	31:2,4,7,11,24
132:19	120:3 124:19	42:8 45:19	86:18 110:17	32:22 33:11,13
subsequent 40:1	131:10,15	69:18 95:9	112:9 113:7	33:18 36:2,12
65:19	supervisors	101:8,20 108:6	142:15	36:17,23,24
subsequently	115:13	109:8 130:15	ten 18:4,4,5 23:9	42:20 57:18
47:22 62:12	supplied 55:6	137:25	tend 17:6	79:14,16,23
substantial	58:7 89:15	talk 30:25 41:20	Tenth 5:8	80:3,10,13
112:18	supplying 56:12	59:21 69:3,17	term 13:16	86:2 88:5
substantive	support 10:19	71:12 78:18,19	54:16,17,20	96:14 102:8
77:21 78:1,8	supported 64:21	78:20 79:16	69:25 70:2	116:6 118:4
substitute	96:12	102:23 113:4	73:19	124:14 130:19
	1	1	1	

Thomas 24.11	100.14.110.20	120.4 126.1 20	21.19 10 22 22	134:10 139:1
Thank 34:11	100:14 118:20	129:4 136:1,20 Times-News	31:18,19,22,23	1
43:5 88:6	130:24	i	32:3,4,8,13	type 7:18 9:11
94:17 96:22	threat 105:1,7,8	68:20 72:1,9	37:4,7,10,11	22:12 47:14
111:23	105:23 106:1	72:15,21 104:9	37:11 81:14,20	101:13,23
Thanks 34:18	109:22 133:1	Timothy 1:22	115:12,21	typical 102:17
89:6	134:20,22	2:2	127:19,21,24	typically 100:17
thereof 98:17	threatened	tired 43:25	127:25 130:21	101:3,18 102:2
thereto 17:14	109:9	80:25	131:19 132:13	typo 98:6
thing 56:19,20	threatening	title 84:8	treasurer 15:25	U
56:22 59:19	106:9	titled 117:18	16:4	ultimately 63:24
60:11 87:13	three 78:15	118:1	treated 139:3	64:5 72:20
117:13	88:25	today 4:18 36:16	tribunes 18:12	
things 78:19,20	Thursday 67:5	111:19	tried 78:18,19	Um-hum 23:16
98:1 108:9	ticket 59:10,12	told 30:4,18 36:5	78:20 80:19	125:12,18
134:6	ties 42:12	41:12,22 42:5	101:14	142:20
think 19:17 20:4	till 12:11 14:2	45:18 46:23	true 31:13 36:12	un 54:18
34:12 38:4	Tim 4:7 43:13	47:16,24 54:8	36:20,24 52:12	unacceptable
42:19 45:22	time 4:21 8:6,6,7	60:6 72:9,15	60:25 62:20	46:15 47:5
48:22 50:13,24	8:23 10:8,20	72:21 73:2	102:1 113:8	unaware 110:22
56:13,15,19,20	12:18 19:23	77:5,8,9,12	125:23 135:6	unbiased 35:10
57:21 59:6	20:15 21:13,14	84:18 86:3,3	137:7 142:11	unbridled 55:10
60:8 74:8 83:5	27:23 28:17	88:10 99:4,6	142:11,12	underneath
85:14 89:4	29:15 32:14	109:25 110:11	truly 23:18	61:23
94:7 99:22	34:5,6 37:13	112:11 114:17	truth 36:3,5	understand 4:22
107:12 113:6	40:4 42:22,22	115:14 124:3	107:21	5:2,5 33:11
120:17 124:15	47:1 51:9	125:25 126:4	truthful 32:22	42:25 44:21
126:12 139:8	55:14 67:1	128:12	36:18	46:7 47:20
139:18 140:5	69:7 73:9,12	tolerability	try 43:7 59:7	51:12 80:14
142:7,23,24	75:18 77:15,17	87:22	89:2 132:19	85:10 87:4
thinking 56:20	87:10,12 96:24	tolerable 57:14	trying 20:6,9	96:22 102:8,9
56:21,22	96:24 97:1	87:7	23:19,23 52:23	111:9
third 7:25 38:7	100:16,25	tolerate 47:13	57:10 67:19	understanding
39:6,8 45:18	101:3 102:2,4	64:12 88:15	79:19 80:5	32:11 38:5,17
46:14,23 48:20	102:7,14,17,23	105:3 134:16	83:7 85:13	45:1 49:18,21
64:16 68:13	103:17 107:11	tolerated 135:2	89:21 101:15	49:22,25 52:9
80:3 83:11	108:13 114:16	topic 42:2 70:8,9	107:14,16	52:20 57:11
86:18 88:10	116:21 119:12	70:10,11	108:9,25 109:1	59:22 69:3
91:1 92:20	120:9 122:7	topics 69:15	130:10	78:9 80:4
104:15 105:3,4	123:6 131:15	70:22	turn 49:14 78:14	85:21 86:7
105:25 109:20	132:7 133:18	totality 120:20	90:6	107:4 115:21
110:1,2,17	133:20 136:19	122:16	twice 19:23 20:2	123:7,25 124:7
114:10 126:15	times 17:19,24	touching 90:17	139:20	understood 5:4
130:11	22:22 25:3	trademark	two 2:12 4:15	119:12
thought 50:20	26:7 80:24	28:25	12:17 26:24	undertake 35:7
60:12 66:7	81:1 86:23	trampled 136:8	88:18 94:6	37:1,6
82:14 83:8	93:25 97:2,4	transcript 31:14	98:7,7 113:23	undertaken
		1		

96:23 103:18	various 9:13,19	122:12	59:7 61:5	43:3 88:1,3
undertaking	13:20 17:15	violations 85:18	64:14 81:6	89:24 90:8,9
98:22	28:23 83:18	85:23 118:12	87:15 88:5	90:15 100:7
underwriting	Vendetti 2:4,4	118:13,20	113:15 118:19	108:8 128:1
12:15	veracity 36:15	visit 68:20	126:12 127:3,8	
unearthed 45:2	verbiage 116:25	void 50:25	133:18 136:1	138:5,7,8 witnesses 28:3
unemployment	vice 14:18	voluntary 98:16	140:2 141:10	
18:10 25:14	view 32:4 49:19	voluntary 98.16 volunteer 11:6		28:10 89:1
98:1 99:8	views 73:15	vote 16:13	week 41:17 67:6	102:9
			139:20	woman's 52:1
unfortunate	Villella 31:8,15	VW 77:24	weekly 19:23	won 11:22
53:13,14,15,16	31:21 32:6	W	weight 96:18	word 74:22
133:24	33:3,15,24,25	W 54:21,25	Weimer 2:11	112:7 113:20
unfounded	34:3,19 37:2,8	96:14 119:7,9	Welfare 1:7,13	113:23
54:19,20	38:10,16,23		2:7 91:12	words 82:15
120:14	39:4 42:13	119:11,16 121:5	95:17 122:2	104:21
unilaterally	44:25 49:6	1	well-being 109:9	work 7:18,20
43:23	50:1,3 52:21	wait 62:17 Wallace 2:18	went 10:7 24:13	9:6,11,14
union 47:23 75:1	56:12 57:6,9		59:3 64:20	10:21,24 12:16
76:16,17 77:1	57:20,23 59:24	want 16:23 18:1	75:9 98:3	15:16 31:23
77:6,14,20,21	70:14 82:12	51:25 54:16	115:20 120:17	86:14 108:25
77:24 78:3,5,7	125:23 126:22	62:15 74:6,8	136:19 140:7	109:17 118:11
78:16,23,25	127:1,10,13,17	81:9,10,11	142:9	118:13 124:17
79:5,10,12	127:22 129:16	108:8,12,14	weren't 113:18	134:24 141:20
142:3,17	129:20,21	117:13,14	West 2:8 5:8	workday 92:5
unit 123:4,12	Villella's 38:19	130:16	WESTERN 1:1	worked 9:9
124:5 127:9	125:20 126:23	wanted 38:4,7	we'll 16:19	18:24 19:24
UNITED 1:1	126:25 129:22	59:22 70:14	45:11,12 83:6	30:2 83:23
University 5:19	129:24	74:5 81:17	131:4 143:4	worker 135:1
8:12 9:3 13:12	violate 83:15	86:11 87:15	we're 26:6 27:10	141:13
13:15,22	92:25	97:23 118:4	41:21 43:21,21	workers 18:9
unknown 50:2	violated 83:16	130:19	44:13 108:3,5	134:23
unrelated 45:15	84:18 85:14,15	warned 48:8,14	108:12	working 7:21
unsupported	85:25 86:8	124:8	we've 55:6 71:24	9:18 12:18
54:17	87:23 89:11	warning 48:9	94:7 98:11	work-related
untrue 36:25	93:9 94:5,9	warranted 46:22	what-have-you	108:23
95:16 119:8	95:5	wasn't 38:3	96:15	world 63:19
untruthful	violates 84:16	45:22 49:19	whistleblower	138:15
36:23	91:6	58:14 59:3,21	73:20 74:3,6,9	worry 59:12
update 70:24	violation 47:23	87:2,3 94:2,18	137:6	worth 59:16
use 55:5,7 83:7	58:10,11 79:3	97:7 111:16	William 2:15	129:19
115:22 118:11	83:13 84:5,10	waste 107:11	willing 122:1	wouldn't 47:1
118:12 129:9	84:23 85:13,17	wasted 107:12	wind 108:13	56:6 83:2 97:3
	86:6,19 88:8,9	wasting 87:10	wishes 53:2	130:12
V	91:3 95:8	87:12 102:23	withdrawn 23:2	written 31:25
v 1:4	110:3 118:11	way 7:7 19:17	witness 30:5	91:11
vacation 7:10	120:23,24	33:2,3 51:11	34:15 42:22	wrong 26:11
	,		1	

wrongdoing	0 4:1	150 108:19	103:23 104:9	117:19
26:8,13,17,19	02 14:10,23 15:5	15219 2:12,16	120:18	
57:1,4 83:8	15:12	16501 1:23 2:3,9	2006 1:21	5
wrote 124:15	03 9:24	16502 5:9	21 123:23	5 92:6 95:13
	04 8:21,22 121:8	16509 2:5	21 st 121:5	118:12,16
X	121:11,13	17 104:9	23 117:15	131:4,6
X 3:1	05-76E 1:4	17th 103:23	120:24	525 2:15
Y	06 14:23	115:5	27th 115:3	56 86:23
****		18 8:17,18,20	28 32:7	57 109:11
Yeah 34:14	1	19 124:19	28th 30:8,14,24	<i>C</i>
61:17 112:24	1 3:10 60:18	19th 125:7,13,17	31:3 33:14	6
115:6 121:17	61:1,4 71:22	1983 5:17	36:5,17 42:14	6 92:10 94:17
123:18	71:25 77:23	1987 5:23	50:18 79:13,16	131:13
year 7:25 14:22	91:20 93:1	1990 6:12 7:3,21	79:23 80:10,13	6th 139:13
17:1 25:6	118:9 142:9,9	8:8	80:17 81:15,20	6339 117:15,22
years 5:12 12:17	1:00 69:8	1992 8:8,9	121:8,10,11,13	119:18 120:24
17:25 22:11	10 23:18,20 24:3	1996 11:23 12:1	121:18 124:14	6340 117:16,24
23:5 24:3	29:11 125:17	12:1	127:15 131:19	120:24
25:18 26:1,7	129:19	1998 13:22,24	286.4 100:21	7
yell 138:5,7	10th 2:8 32:19		101:18 102:11	
yelling 138:8,10	40:2 63:6,19	2		7 92:16
138:11	63:21 65:12,25	2 3:11 91:22	3	71 3:10
yet-to-be-born	67:2 68:19	98:9,12 118:10	3 1:21 3:12 92:1	711 5:8
95:6	70:6 71:7	2nd 119:6	104:5,8 115:2	8
York 7:17 129:4	73:20 74:19	2:15 143:6	118:10 124:15	8:30 30:17
133:21	75:4 76:8	2:30 75:20	3:00 75:20	821 1:22 2:2
Youth 1:6,12 2:7	81:22 97:19	20 39:16 60:19	30 123:25	OZI 1.22 2.2
4:11 18:17,18	120:21,21	20th 61:9 63:21	31st 32:19 34:9	9
18:20,21 19:3	122:8 130:6	65:19 90:24	34:10,10,11,12	9th 122:21 123:9
19:5,8 20:11	142:3	95:14 112:14	34:14,19 35:13	123:10,21
20:18 21:25	10,000 29:4	113:16 120:21	45:16	9:43 1:21
22:14,23 24:9	104 3:12	122:8	33 117:21	901 13:10
24:14,20 25:15	11th 73:18	200 108:19	3339 117:19	93 9:24,25,25
26:1,9 30:3	11:01 125:17	2000 12:11	3340 117:19	94 10:2,9,15,22
50:10 70:20	11:41 125:10,10	58:20	3700 2:16	975 2:12
74:16 119:5	11:42 125:10	2001 13:25	3820 2:5	983:11
122:14	117 3:5	2002 14:3		
***	12 10:10 14:8	2003 8:18 29:7	4	
Z zero 24:21 25:4	23:18,20 24:3	2004 11:23	43:492:4	
zero 24:21 25:4	12th 72:1 115:6	20:16 29:7,11	118:12 124:24	
s	12:35 76:6	30:8 32:7	125:14	
\$56,000 103:12	12:47 76:6	36:17 60:19	4th 118:24 119:3	
109:11	120 2:8	72:1 119:3,6	120:15 126:8	
\$56,371 104:17	124 91:13 92:1	124:24 125:7	128:7	
ψυ υ 9υ/1104.1/	137 3:6	131:14	4:30 30:17	
0	15 129:19	2005 8:18	40 5:11,12	



YOUR source for local news, information and fun!

This is a printer friendly version of an article from www.goerie.com To print this article open the file menu and choose Print.

Back to: http://www.goerie.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20040912/FRONTPAGE/109120499&SearchID=73200835690532

Article published Sep 12, 2004

Whistleblower ousted

County aide had testified supervisor altered document in childwelfare case The disputed changes County backs supervisor

Read More Local News

By <u>Ed Palattella</u> ed.palattella@timesnews.com

At a court hearing in late July, an aide in the Erie County Office of Children and Youth testified that her supervisor altered a court document, a practice the aide called "not appropriate."

The aide is now out of a job.

Erie County Executive Rick Schenker on Friday arranged for the dismissal of the employee, Abby Conley, who had worked with troubled families for four years as an OCY social-service aide.

Schenker and his top legal adviser, county Solicitor John Onorato, declined to comment on the reasons behind the dismissal, saying county personnel rules prohibit them from discussing the case in detail.

Schenker and Onorato, however, did tell the Erie Times-News that Schenker was not letting Conley go because she was a "whistleblower" or because she testified against OCY.

Onorato said he and Schenker are comfortable with the decision. He said Conley ultimately resigned, though he acknowledged Schenker told the Erie Times-News that Schenker had planned to terminate Conley from her position.

Conley declined comment. She had worked for Erie County government for 13 years, including the four years with OCY. Conley is a former candidate for Erie City Council, and was vice chairwoman of the city of Erie's Human Relations Commission, which became the Erie County Human Relations Commission.

The court hearing at which Conley testified was July 28 before Erie County



Judge Elizabeth Kelly. The hearing concerned the case of 2-year-old twin girls whom OCY had removed from their parents.

Conley, the aide on the case, was critical of her superior at OCY and provided testimony that was damaging to OCY's position. OCY came into court opposing reunification of the twins with their parents. Kelly ended up ruling against the agency.

Conley testified about the problems she had with OCY's handling of the case. At one point she said she was concerned about testifying, and she started to cry.

"What are you concerned about?" Kelly asked Conley, according to a transcript of the hearing.

"That I'm going to get into trouble," Conley replied.

"What kind of trouble?" Kelly said.

"I'm going to lose my job," Conley said.

"Why are you afraid of that?" Kelly said.

"Because some of the things they've done (are) not appropriate, and I don't want to lie," Conley said.

"You don't need to be afraid," Kelly replied. "And you are to never lie in this courtroom, and you are not going to lose your job based on anything that occurred in this courtroom today."

"OK," Conley said.

Conley also testified that, at that point, no one at OCY had threatened to fire her over what she might say in court. Without going into detail, Conley also said she had other professional difficulties with her superiors at OCY.

The dismissal of Conley and the events leading up to it come at a sensitive time for OCY, which investigates allegations of child abuse and neglect and places dependent children in foster homes or with adoptive parents.

The agency is the subject of an internal probe and a state investigation into its handling of the case of 15-year-old Brittany Legler, who died May 9 after collapsing at the home of her adoptive mother, Lisa M. larussi.

larussi is awaiting trial on the felonies of aggravated assault and endangering the

welfare of a child and the misdemeanor of recklessly endangering another person.

Police allege she caused more than 200 bruises and other injuries to Legler, whom she adopted in 2001.

According to the arrest warrant for larussi and other information in the case, the Millcreek Township School District repeatedly complained of the suspected abuse to OCY, though the office did not forward the complaints to the police.

Conley was not involved in the larussi case, and she did not mention that case during her testimony at the hearing about the twins.

The lawyer for the mother of the twins called Conley as a witness at that hearing. The mother and father asked to be reunited with the twins, while OCY, claiming suspected abuse, wanted to terminate the parents' rights and have the children put up for adoption.

The parents' lawyers argued the abuse claims lacked substantiation. The children were in foster care at the time of the hearing, though the parents had met with them in supervised visits.

As the aide on the case, Conley observed how the parents interacted with the children and wrote summaries for presentation in court. She testified that her supervisor on the case, Sue Deveney, altered Conley's evaluation of the mother to make it less favorable.

Reading from documents submitted as evidence, Conley said she originally wrote: "This social-service aide has no concerns or recommendations when it comes to parenting needs in the current or the future when it pertains to (the mother). She clearly excels in her parenting abilities."

Conley said Deveney changed that section to read: "(The mother) displays appropriate parenting skills during supervised visitations at the agency."

In another section of the summary, Conley testified, she wrote that the mother "does exceptionally well parenting and interacting with her children during visits. She is consistently involved with both her twin daughters and equally divides herself between the two of them."

Deveney, Conley testified, changed her summary by taking out the word "exceptionally."

Judge Kelly commented on the changes while Conley was on the witness stand. The differences in the summaries, Kelly said, "are very clear to me."

Conley went on to testify that she realized Deveney, as the supervisor on the case, had "the right to correct my court summaries." But Conley testified that professional disagreements with Deveney prompted her to ask to be taken off the twins' case and out of Deveney's unit. Conley said OCY granted those requests.

Document 70-10

"I didn't share the opinion of my supervisor" on the twins' case, Conley testified. "And it was apparent that my opinion (and) professional involvement was different than her professional opinion."

Gerald Villella, the lawyer for the twins' mother, asked Conley if she had ever written negative reports about parents during her career at OCY. Conley said she had.

"Ever stopped from doing that?" Villella said.

"No, no," Conley testified.

"Ever been corrected by your supervisor for doing that?" Villella asked.

"My punctuation has always been corrected because I make mistakes." Conley said.

"No one ever told you couldn't render an opinion on that, did they?" Villella said.

"No," Conley replied.

After hearing Conley's testimony, as well as the testimony of the twins' parents. Kelly issued her ruling. In a setback to OCY's pursuit of termination of parental rights, Kelly ruled that the goal of the case is for the twins to be reunified with their parents, and that OCY "is directed to continue to work actively with these parents towards that goal.

"At this point in time we need to move cautiously to be sure that we ensure the best interests of these children," Kelly said.

Deveney, Conley's super-visor, did not testify at the hearing.

Onorato, the county solicitor, said he reviewed a transcript of the hearing, and said the county found no problems with how Deveney and other supervisors handled the case.

He said he disagreed with Conley's testimony about the alteration of the court summary.

"I am not aware that is what actually occurred," Onorato said.

He also said, "I am confident that after reviewing the transcript and checking into the matter that the Office of Children and Youth acted in a manner that is consistent with the policies and procedures of the office."

ED PALATTELLA, can be reached at 870-1813 or by e-mail.

Last changed: Sep 11, 2004

See this story as it was printed in Erie Times-News' electronic edition. Click here for a FREE trial.

This content provided by GoErie.com/Erie Times-News is copyrighted material and all rights are reserved. You may not reproduce this or distribute it electronically, in print or otherwise without written permission.

Friday, September 10, 2004

Debra Liebel,
Director
Office of Children and Youth
154 West 9th Street
Erie, PA 16501

Re:

My Resignation

Dear Ms. Liebel:

Please accept this as my voluntary resignation from my position with the Office of Children and Youth. I am voluntarily relinquishing my name badge, and any and all County property within my control or in my position.

I am also, by affixing my signature, releasing the County of Erie and The Office of Children and Youth, from any and all claims arising out of my employment with the County.

In turn I am requesting that should I apply for Unemployment Compensation, said application will not be challenged by the County.

I am also requesting that any and all communication with any and all potential employers be limited to confirming the dates worked and the positions held by the Respondent.

Finally, I promise that I will not disclose, disseminate, publicize, comment or speculate on the nature or cause of my termination or any other confidential information.

Sincerely,

Abby Conley

We hereby accept your voluntary resignation and the terms thereof, and on behalf of the County,, IWe promise that we will not disclose, disseminate ,publicize, comment or speculate on the nature or cause of my termination.

Debra Liebel,

re - cular

9/10/04

Peter J. Callan

DEPOSITION DEXABILITY

On orato #2



YOUR source for local news, information and fun!



This is a printer friendly version of an article from www.goerie.com To print this article open the file menu and choose Print.

Back to: http://www.goerie.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20050117/FRONTPAGE/101170438&SearchID=73200835690532

Article published Jan 17, 2005

County's legal bills mount

Read More Local News

By <u>Ed Palattella</u> ed.palattella@timesnews.com

Schenker administration pays \$56,000 to law firm in ouster of OCY aide Erie County government has spent \$56,000 to defend the ouster of a child-welfare aide, and the amount is likely to grow as the dispute goes on.

The administration of County Executive Rick Schenker paid the money to an Erie law firm in the case of Abby Conley, who resigned from her \$27,437-a-year job with the county Office of Children and Youth on Sept. 10.

Conley claimed she was wrongfully forced out after she tried to expose wrongdoing at OCY, and in late September she asked the state Civil Service Commission to reinstate her. Conley withdrew the appeal the day before the commission was to hear it in late November.

The law firm the county hired, MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton, spent seven weeks preparing for the appeal and received \$56,371 for its work, according to county billing records.

Despite the withdrawal of the Civil Service action, the labor union for OCY, Local 2666 of the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, is pursuing a grievance over Conley's ouster. MacDonald, Illig is expected to handle that case as well, said John Onorato, the solicitor for the Schenker administration.

The county has a staff of in-house lawyers on retainer. The Schenker administration hired MacDonald, Illig to handle Conley's case because of the firm's expertise in labor law and because of the unique circumstances of the Conley case, Onorato said.

Onorato said he and some of the county's other in-house lawyers, including those at OCY, were involved in the personnel action against Conley and were set to be witnesses in a case over Conley's ouster. Defending the county in a case

while being called as a witness would be "difficult and almost impossible." Onorato said. He said he was subpoenaed to testify at the hearing.

The county's liability insurance for legal claims provides no coverage unless Conley were to sue in court, Onorato said. But he said he believes the state government will reimburse the county for part of the bill.

The \$56,371 bill has become a concern of County Councilman Fiore Leone, a frequent critic of the Schenker administration and OCY.

"If it is their practice to go outside when something like this happens, then why do we have a solicitor's staff?" Leone said.

Onorato's retainer is \$31,000 a year, and the county's four assistant solicitors each have annual retainers of \$25,000, according to county records. OCY has a full-time solicitor paid a salary of \$71,620 a year as well as three other lawyers on retainers ranging from \$82 to \$71.75 an hour, according to OCY records. Those lawyers typically deal with child-welfare issues for OCY, which handles cases of abused and neglected children.

The lawyers at MacDonald, Illig charged the county at a rate of \$175 to \$165 an hour in the Conley case, according to the bill. The case's lead lawyer, Roger Taft, worked 163 hours at an hourly rate of \$175 for an individual bill of \$28,525. The rest of the overall bill covered the work of the other lawyers and expenses.

The accuracy of the bill is not in dispute. Onorato said he is satisfied with the work of MacDonald, Illiq, which the Schenker administration three years ago hired to negotiate the county's labor contracts. Taft has been the lead lawyer on those cases.

Taft said he aggressively pursued the Conley case because, at the time. Conley was threatening to take action against the county before the Civil Service Commission and through a union grievance.

"We were ready to go," he said of the Civil Service case. "We were ready to win the case and I am convinced we would have won if it had gone forward."

Conley withdrew her claim because the proceeding was "not in her best interest." according to a letter on file with the Civil Service Commission. Conley last week said her lawyers have told her not to comment on her case.

The \$56,371 spent on the Conley case is the second large expenditure the Schenker administration has paid over OCY personnel issues in the past year. In August, in an agreement the administration initially tried to keep secret, the county paid a \$100,000 settlement to fired OCY caseworker David A. Dows.

Conley, 43, had worked for the county for 13 years, including the past four years at OCY, when she resigned Sept. 10.

Conley claimed the Schenker administration forced her to resign to get back at her for being a whistleblower. Conley's ouster came about a month and a half after she testified in court against her supervisor at OCY, whom Conley said altered court records. The Schenker administration has disputed that claim.

In her Civil Service appeal, Conley said county officials told her the day of her resignation that she had violated OCY rules by using her office e-mail to disclose the telephone number of an OCY client to the client's former caseworker. Conley said she did nothing wrong.

The Schenker administration disagrees. The county's personnel director, Peter Callan, said in an October memo that Conley disclosed a confidential OCY court order "with the intent of alerting" the pregnant mother who was the subject of it.

Onorato, in an interview last week, said the county has "mounted a vigorous defense" against Conley because of her "egregious breach of confidentiality" regarding the court order. He said the county does not want Conley to return to OCY.

"In essence," Onorato said of the \$56,371 legal bill, "the fee was being spent in the defense of children."

Update

BACKGROUND: Abby Conley, an aide with the Erie County Office of Children and Youth, or OCY, resigned under pressure Sept 10. Conley claims the county wrongfully forced her out because she had tried to expose wrongdoing at OCY. Erie County Executive Rick Schenker's administration claims Conley was asked to resign because she violated OCY confidentiality rules.

THE LATEST: The Schenker administration paid the Erie law firm of MacDonald. Illig, Jones & Britton \$56,371 to defend the county against a Civil Service appeal Conley filed to try to get her job back. Conley withdrew the appeal the day before the Civil Service Commission was to hear it in November.

COMING UP: The labor union for OCY, Local 2666 of the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, is pursuing a grievance against the county over Conley's ouster. In addition, County Councilman Fiore Leone said he is preparing to move ahead with his investigation into OCY, which he has said will include an examination of Conley's ouster.

County has paid firm \$334,000

The Erie law firm of MacDonald, Illig, Jones & Britton's representation in the Abby Conley case is but one part of the work it has done for Erie County government

since County Executive Rick Schenker took office in January 2002.

Including the \$56,000 bill in the Conley case, the county had paid MacDonald, Illig \$334,255 through the end of December, according to county finance records. Of that amount, \$182,450 was payment for the work the firm has done negotiating county government's eight labor contracts.

The rest of the work ranges from environmental-law concerns to individual labor issues, such as Conley's contested ouster from the Erie County Office of Children and Youth, said John Onorato, the solicitor for the Schenker administration.

Onorato is on a \$31,000 retainer, his four assistant solicitors get retainers of \$25,000 each. Onorato said the Schenker administration has turned to the MacDonald, Illig firm because of its expertise. He called hiring the firm for certain issues "a cost of doing business" and in the best interest of Erie County government, which has an annual budget of \$240 million and 1,300 employees.

"MacDonald, Illig has provided representation for the county in matters where the county Solicitor's Office has not been able to provide support," Onorato said. "You have to use a little bit of perspective here. We negotiate eight labor contracts and we need a labor attorney."

The MacDonald, Illig firm has done work for the county in the past, including for the administration of County Executive Judy Lynch, a Democrat, who preceded Schenker, a Republican. The firm has political ties to the Schenker administration: John Mizner, a partner in the firm, is chairman of the Erie County Republican Party and was one of Schenker's biggest supporters during the 2001 campaign for county executive.

Mizner was out of the office and unavailable for comment. Onorato cited MacDonald, Illig's previous work for the county and said the firm long has been a legal resource for county government, no matter what the politics of the administration.

The Lynch administration used MacDonald, Illig for individual labor issues, but Lynch's staff negotiated the county's labor contracts on its own. That changed in early 2002, when Schenker hired the firm to handle labor contracts. County Council urged the move, citing intricacies of the labor contracts that made hiring outside counsel necessary.

The firm's lead labor lawyer on the contracts, Roger Taft, also handled the Conley case.

"I represented the Lynch administration on several matters," Taft said of his experience in county government. "I have always felt that when someone asked for my services, it was because of my expertise, not because I was 'connected' with any political party or administration. That is not the way I do things."

ED PALATTELLA, can be reached at 870-1813 or by e-mail.

Last changed: Jan 17, 2005

See this story as it was printed in Erie Times-News' electronic edition. <u>Click here for a FREE trial</u>.

This content provided by GoErie.com/Erie Times-News is copyrighted material and all rights are reserved. You may not reproduce this or distribute it electronically, in print or otherwise without **written permission**.